Benchmarking Characteristics of Steam Assisted Gravity Drainage (SAGD) Projects: Based On Interview Findings
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Abstract
SAGD is a relatively new method of oil extraction and recovery in Alberta oil and gas industry and the number of new SAGD plants in Alberta is expected to increase within this decade. The paper discusses the interviews finding of benchmarking characteristics of the SAGD projects. The research reviewed and analysed definition of capacity, main features, life cycle/ phases of SAGD projects and also major risks associated with it. A qualitative research methodology was employed in investigating the characteristics of SAGD projects. Interviews were conducted with industry practitioners, which contained open-ended questions. The result found the definition of capacity of SAGD projects is barrels/day and from the lifecycle of SAGD projects procurement/construction phase is 75% of the total project cost while other phase in total constitutes the 25% of the total cost of the project. On the schedule prospective, procurement/construction phase constitute the 55% of the total project duration. This method has the potential to contribute to a reduction in cost and schedule overruns and improves SAGD project performance. It is concluded that the results of the study will help in achieving a higher rate of productivity in the Alberta oil and gas industry.
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1. Introduction

Benchmarking is regarded as a sequence of activities involving process and assessment (Moriarity & Smallman, 2009). It was applied in knowledge management initiatives (McAdam & McCreedy 1999), in total quality management (Franceschini et al., 2006), supply chain management (Deming, 1982), Six Sigma (Xerox, 1979) (as cited in Moriarity & Smallman, 2009), performance measurement (Anderson & McAdam, 2004; Alstete, 2008). It is applied in Alberta oil and gas industry to improve project performance. In the Alberta oil and gas industry, the application of benchmarking SAGD projects is important because of the vast reserves of oil. With large part of oil sands production coming from the employment of in situ extraction and SAGD being the most advanced technology currently available, the number of new plants using the technology is likely to increase significantly (Alnoor, 2010).

The paper discusses the interviews finding of characteristics of the SAGD projects, which would be very beneficial to project owners and the EPC contractors in effectively executing and completing their projects on time and within budget especially SAGD projects. The research reviewed and analysed definition of capacity, main features, life cycle/ phases of SAGD projects and also major risks associated with it. The paper found the most common definition of capacity of SAGD projects so that it could be used to produce the related benchmarking metrics. From the main feature, it generalizes a level 2 break down for SAGD projects where more benchmarking metrics can be created based upon the breakdown. The paper also determines how the life cycle of SAGD projects is different from other industrial projects. It concludes that the results of the study will help in achieving a higher rate of productivity in the Alberta oil and gas industry.

2. Background

The Canada’s Oil sands are mainly found in three areas: the Athabasca, Peace River and Cold Lake. Oil sands are near surface in Fort McMurray/Athabasca region while deeper in other areas (Alnoor, 2010). Depending upon the depth of oil sands, the oil sands are recovered mainly by two methods: mining and drilling (In-situ). Mining method is used if the oil sand reserves are shallow (less than 75m from surface) while drilling methods is used for deeper reserves. In situ oil extraction is more environmental friendly as compared to mining method (Alnoor, 2010). Jergeas (2008) identified complexity associated with the delivery of construction projects in the Alberta oil and gas sector as due to geographic location, weather condition, size of projects and contractual arrangement. These effects were further compounded by extremes experienced in Alberta related to such things as labour availability.

Figure 1 below shows that Canada has third largest oil reserves in the world after Venezuela and Saudi Arabia, and out of these reserves, 97% of these reserves are oil sands (CAPP, 2014). Oil sand reserves constitute the 53% reserves, which are open to private sector.
The success of Alberta oil and gas industry can be further improved by improving the SAGD project performance. Considering that many recent large oil & gas projects in Alberta have had significant cost overruns (Jergeas, 2008), a great deal of attention will be focused by project owners, contractors, suppliers, and academia on how to make the project management of SAGD projects more effective. Therefore, there is a need to analyse the project performance improvement for the successful execution of oil & gas projects with a focus on SAGD projects. A comprehensive benchmarking system can identify areas for work process improvement. The process helps organization to understand their operations better and sets goal for improvement. Any organization that wants to improve its performance should follow this process. The only way to truly and objectively know whether or not project execution is improving is through continuous measurement (Jergeas, 2009).

3. Research Methodology

There are three principal research approaches that can be employed namely qualitative, quantitative and mixed methods (Creswell, 2003). The qualitative methodology was employed and is considered to be the most appropriate strategy in the context of this study for collecting data. Lincoln and Guba (1985) described the qualitative research approach as an enquiry process of comprehending a social or human problem phenomenon based on building a complex holistic picture formed with words, reporting detailed views of informants and conducted in a natural setting. Walker (1997) and Creswell (2003) further described qualitative methodology as explanatory in nature with the principal aim of trying to unearth answers to how? and why? questions. The method can be used to better understand and to gain new perspectives on issues about which is already known. The quantitative approach...
was not adopted because it would not be sufficient in this case with limited number of oil and gas projects in Alberta. For the purpose of this research, the authors consider qualitative methodology as more suitable to explore the metrics to benchmark SAGD projects.

4. Development of Questionnaire

The questionnaire was designed primarily to elicit information from participants in Alberta oil and gas industries on SAGD projects. The interviews were conducted from different companies including owner, engineering and contractor companies. The selected companies are involved in the COAA benchmarking program, which support the research activities carried at the University of Calgary. The interviews were conducted with experts in the Alberta oil and gas industry. They are mostly responsible for project performance in their respective organizations and also are knowledgeable on issues concerning COAA performance assessment systems. Interviews were conducted with these personnel from 17 construction and oil and gas industries in Alberta. The interviewees were chosen from owner, engineering, procurement and construction (EPC) companies. Semi-structured interviews were conducted to assess the effectiveness and use of existing system.

5. Research Findings of the Interview

General information was collected from the interview participants as shown in figure 2 below. These include: role, locations, experience related to SAGD projects. Name, company and contact information were optional.
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Figure 2. Experience related to SAGD projects

Approximate how many SAGD Projects have your company dealt during the last fifteen years? In response to this question, it was revealed by respondents that most companies have experience of 7 SAGD related projects in the last 15 years as shown in figure 3 below.
5.1 Definition of Capacity

The interviewers were asked to define the capacity of SAGD projects. In response to this question, most participants felt that the most common definition of capacity of SAGD projects is barrels/day. However, some respondents recommended the followings:

- Dollar Value of Project based upon the capacity.
- Steam Oil ratio
- Cold water equivalent Steam capacity in KPSD

5.2 Main Features

The interviewees were asked the work breakdown structure (WBS) of the SAGD projects. As per industry common practice, usually SAGD projects are broken down in level 2 WBS and executed by different subcontractor. For example, Central Processing Facility (CPF) of plant is engineering and constructed by one contractor while different contractors construct Well Pads concurrently. Based on the interviews, the most agreeable work breakdown structure for SAGD projects is:

- Central Processing Plant
- Well Pads/Well Pairs including drilling and completion
- Source and Disposal Water Wells
- Gathering & Distribution lines including export pipelines. Above ground and underground pipelines
- Utilities include storage, cogen, substation, infrastructure

Figure 3. Interviewee’s SAGD projects experience
Figure 4 above shows the agreeable work breakdown for SADG projects. During the interviews, it was also found that out of the above breakdown CPF is more expensive when compared to other breakdown structure.

5.3 Life Cycle/Phases

The interviewees were asked about the different phases of the SAGD projects. In response to this question, it was found that different companies are using different terminology to define the various life cycle phases of SAGD projects. The life cycle of SAGD projects is similar to other industrial projects. The interviewees were further asked for cost and schedule breakdown for each phase. Most respondents agreed that percentage varies according to the size and capacity of the project. It is necessary to mention that these numbers are standards but can change depending upon the size and complexity of projects. As per information obtained from the experts, table 1 below further shows the cost and schedule breakdown for life cycle of SAGD projects.

Table 1. Life cycle of SAGD projects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Name</th>
<th>Cost (%)</th>
<th>Schedule (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design Basis Memorandum (DBM)</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detail Engineering</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement, Construction &amp; Management</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-up &amp; Commissioning</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 above and Figure 5 below shows that Procurement/Construction phase is 75% of the total project cost while other phase in total constitutes the 25% of the total cost of the project.
Figure 5. SAGD projects cost breakdown (%)

On the schedule prospective, figure 6 below shows procurement/construction phase constitute the 55% of the total project duration. In this breakdown, the procurement and construction duration overlap with each other.

Figure 6. SAGD projects schedule breakdown (%)

5.4 Formation of Realistic Estimates/Schedule at Front-End Phases of the Project

The participants were asked if estimate and schedule developed at the front-end are realistic or not? According to the experts, the quality of estimate/schedule at Front End and Engineering Design (FEED) phase depends upon many factors such as size and complexity of the project. However, all the experts agreed that a day SAGD is a relatively established technology and estimate and schedule developed at the FEED phase end up +/- 15%.
6. SAGD Metrics

The paper acknowledges that there do exist COAA performance metrics, which are cost, schedule, change, safety and rework and engineering and construction productivity metrics. The engineering productivity metrics are captured for significant work activities for the following design disciplines: concrete, structural steel, piping, electrical, instrumentation, equipment while construction productivity metrics are captured for the following disciplines: concrete, structural steel, piping, electrical, instrumentation, equipment, module installation, insulation, scaffolding (CII, 2008). The metrics in the COAA benchmarking system are visually displayed in quartiles in reports and graphs. Many of these metrics relate to indirect and direct construction costs, mechanical and equipment costs, scaffolding work hours, the use of offsite modules, as well as various workforce metrics. COAA members input cost, schedule and safety data and engineering and construction information into the benchmarking system at two specific times in the project life cycle.

7. Major Risks in SAGD projects

What risk do you think are associated with a SAGD project?

The participants were asked about the major risks associated with SAGD projects. How they are different from other industrial projects. Most participants responded that SAGD projects are similar to other industrial projects. During the SAGD project execution most of the construction risks are similar to other industrial project and major risks identified from the interviews are listed below:

1. Quality of Reservoir.
2. Key project team members not qualified
3. Owner driven changes to project objectives or scope
4. Weather windows, bird nesting season and road bans
5. Owner personnel unfamiliar with Alberta conditions
6. Owner cash flow restrictions
7. Lack of sufficiently qualified resources
8. Changing regulatory requirements
9. Changing public opinion about oil sands related developments
10. Lack of pipeline capacity to export product
11. Poor understanding of site work that needs to be done early versus site work that should wait until sufficient backlog of material and drawings are available.
12. Inappropriate delegation of decision-making authority
13. Insufficient risk mitigation planning and acting
14. Poor definition or understanding of project objectives and value trade-offs (cost schedule, operability). Behaviour tends to be schedule-driven in the absence of this.

15. Dysfunctional teams and partnerships

8. Discussions of the finding

It was revealed that most companies have experience of 7 SAGD related projects in the last 15 years. This shows that any information provided on SAGD projects by these respondents can be credible and reliable. On the definition of capacity of SAGD projects, most of the respondents suggested that is barrels/day while few recommended dollar value of project based upon the capacity, steam oil ratio, cold water equivalent steam capacity. The research recommends the barrels/day as the definition of capacity of SAGD projects. From the life cycle of SAGD projects are broken down in level 2 WBS and which different subcontractors can execute. It was discovered from the analysis that CPF is more expensive when compared to other breakdown structure. However, different companies are using different terminology to define the various life cycle phases of SAGD projects. Take for example, for cost and schedule breakdown for each phase, most respondents agreed that percentage varies according to the size and capacity of the project.

The research reviewed that Procurement/Construction phase is 75% of the total project cost while other phase in total constituutes the 25% of the total cost of the project. On the schedule prospective, Procurement/Construction phase constitute the 55% of the total project duration. In the risk associated with the SAGD project execution, most of the construction risks are similar to other industrial project. That means irrespective of construction of projects all the risks are mostly the same. Although, the developments of capital projects in Alberta were compounded by extremes experienced related to such things as labour availability, harsh weather conditions, and remote project locations, amongst others. Perhaps this maybe indicative of the fact that due to geographic location, weather conditions and size of the projects, there is complexity associated with the delivery of construction projects in the oil and gas sector in Alberta.

9. Conclusion

This paper discussed the interview finding of characteristics of SAGD projects based on information from the respondents. It also discussed the definition of capacity of SAGD projects as barrels/day, main feature of SAGD projects, which consists of Central Processing Facility (CPF), well pads, source and disposal water wells, offside pipelines and utilities. The life cycle of SAGD projects were broken down in level 2 WBS. It presented the data analysis where Procurement/Construction phase is 75% of the total project cost while other phase in total constitute the 25% of the total cost of the project. On the schedule prospective, procurement/construction phase constitute the 55% of the total project duration. The paper also discussed the major risks associated with SAGD projects execution and found that they are similar to other project risks. It concludes that the results of the study will help in achieving a higher rate of productivity in the Alberta oil and gas industry.
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