With Regard to the Chromic Luvisols in Romania
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Abstract

There are many written reports concerning the formation and the distribution of chromic luvisols of Romania. In many cases it has been appreciated the fact that their presence would due to some specific condition, the stress being focused on the Mediterranean nuance of climate.

Newer pedological studies show that these soils have a distribution area more restrained. In fact they appear within some narrow interfluves or marginal stripes of some well drained interfluves formed at their surface of loess or loess-like deposits.

A major role in their formation is that soil climate expressed by a definitive hydrical regime, probably an alternotranspercolative one.

The colour intensity seems to be influenced both by the hydration state of iron and of iron content of parent material. Iron hydroxide suffers a rapid dehydration under prolonged drought condition of soil; they turn into rusty sesquioxides of goethite type, hydrohematite type, inducing to the soil the reddish brown colour.

In a more recent work N. Florea and M. Cicotti (1976) stated that chromic luvisols should not be regarded as a zona structured soil formed in the current area, but as a largely inherited, developed under different climates.
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1. Introduction

Many authors refered at formation and spread area of chromic luvisols in our country (Gh. Murgoci, 1924; N. Cernescu, 1934; M. Popovăț, 1937, 1945; C. Chiriță, 1955, 1958). In most cases their presence was attributed to specific conditions, with the emphasis on
Mediterranean climate influence. Also, there have been allegations that the formation of these soils in Romania could be explained by the contribution of at least three factors, namely: the parental material, vegetation and, of course, the temperate climate with Mediterranean shade. After C. Chiriță, chromic luvisols could be considered a late developmental stage of luvic phaeozems and by other authors would come from the processing luvic phaeozems, following deforestation, while E. Ehwald, in the same year, estimated that the red color of these soils is not due in any case to the parent material, since this has a yellowish color. According to him, chromic luvisols seems to be just another variety of haplic luvisols.

Regarding the color of these soils, M. Popovăț stated in 1937 that this is due, at least in Oltenia, to the parental material. In fact, westwards of Olt River chromic luvisols formed on a reddish, claylike deposit, the thickness of which is between 12-15 m, and borders the southern margin of the Getic Piedmont. In all likelihood, this may represent an alteration crust translocated to the high mountain area, dating from the Lower Pleistocene.

2. Materials and Methods

The field studies consisted of mapping and spatial reambulating the studied area on maps at 1:10.000 and 1:25.000 scales, with collection of numerous soil and groundwater samples, with observations on relief, micro-relief and parent material.

The basic research and mapping unit of the areas with chromic luvisols was the soil profile, thus allowing the study of morphological characteristics of the soils. As a result, soils were classified based on intrinsic properties, namely the soil profile, taking into account diagnostic horizons and characteristics.

Soil profiles were located on the ground so that to form a network of studied points. The method of parallel routes, located almost at equal distances has been used, to cover more or less uniformly the whole working area.

The morphological description of soil profiles was done according to the Romanian System of Soil Taxonomy (SRTS, 2003, 2012).ICPA, Bucharest.

In order to establish the soils diagnosis, their morphological features have been taken into account, namely the thickness of morphological horizons, color, texture, structure, composition, adhesion, etc.

Soil samples were taken from genetic horizons both in modified and unchanged settings.

In modified settings, soil samples of 20 cm thickness were taken in bags, for the chemical characterization to be carried.

In natural (unchanged) settings, soil samples were taken using a metal cylinder of known volume (200 cm$^3$) to characterize the physical and hydro-physical features, as well as the momentary soil moisture.

The following methods have been used for the physical and hydro-physical features:
Particle size analysis (granulometry):

- pipette method for fractions <0.002 mm, including;
- wet sieving method for fractions from 0.002 to 0.2 mm and dried sieving method for fractions > 0.2 mm

For the textural classes and subclasses, we used the Romanian system, according to the Methodology developed for soil studies, ICPA, 1987.

Apparent density (AD) method: metal cylinder of known volume (200 cm$^3$) for the momentary soil moisture.

Total porosity (TP): by computing $PT = (1 - AD/ D) \times 100$

Fadind coefficient (CO) was estimated based on the content of clay (<0.002 mm) using the relationship:

$CO \, (\%) = 0,05 + 0,35 \, A$, where:

$A = \text{clay content} < 0.002 \text{ mm}$.

The chemical characteristics were determined using the following methods:

Total nitrogen (Nt): Kjeldahl method, decomposition of H$_2$SO$_4$ at 350°C, catalysts: potassium sulphate and copper sulphate.

pH: potentiometrically, with glass and calomel combined electrode, in aqueous suspension, at the ratio of $\frac{1}{2}$, 5.

Humus: wet oxidation (Walkley-Black method, modified) and results expressed in percentage.

The degree of base saturation (V\%) and total cation exchange capacity (T me/100 g soil), by calculation.

Sum of bases (SB): extraction using 0.05 n hydrochloric acid (Kappen-Schofield-Chiriță method).

Available phosphorus (mobile): Egner-Riehm-Domingod method and colorimetric dosed with blue molybdenum, according to Murphy-Riley method (ascorbic acid reduction).

Available potassium (mobile): extraction according to Egner-Riehm-Domingo method and dosing by flame photometry.

3. Results and Discussion

More recent pedological studies undertaken in the Vlăsia Plain (Maia Plain, Snagov Plain, Ilfov Plain, Călnăului Plain), as well as in other geographical areas in our country where chromic luvisols have been best studied, have demonstrated that these soils occupy more reduced surfaces, even if their distribution area remains the same. There are totally out of the question those in Oltenia, Banat, the northwestern part of Romania and the Ploiești Plain, the
colour of which is actually inherited from the parental material, an alluvial-proluvial Pleistocene deposit, itself of red colour (Figure 1).

In the cases we studied it resulted that chromic luvisols characterize either very narrow interfluves or marginal, relatively well-drained strips of the interfluves, formed on loess or silty-loess sediments, such as those north and south of Bucharest, respectively Călărașul Plain, Burnas Plain, the terminal piedmontan Snagov Plain, or areas of slightly sloping marginal fields, or terraces, as observed in Neajlov Plain, Călăraș, Burdea and Iminogulului Plains.

Figure 1. Chromic luvisols in Romania. 1 – Chromic luvisols, developed on loamy clays, on alluvial-proluvial deposits of Pleistocene age; 2 – Chromic luvisols, developed on loess-like deposits, loamy clays and alluvial-proluvial deposits.

Since the relief is a cvasihorizontal plain, with fine-grained, clayey sediments at the surface, internal soil drainage is significantly hampered, so that stagnation of the rain waters at the surface is imminent. In this case, chromic luvisols do not appear so strongly pigmented with iron hydroxides, but get a yellowish-brown hue that closes them to haplic phaeozems.

Levigating process manifested primarily by deep-washing the CaCO₃ at depths of 150-200 cm. The greater the depth to which leaching produces, the adsorption complex debasement usually reaches the eubaciz stage. It is possible that bioaccumulation and wind deposition to contribute to it, ensuring a calcium intake for the complex exchange relations of the adsorption complex. Since soil textural differentiation is not too big, the levigation of the clay fraction is relatively low.
Under the action of water and weak acid forest humus, the weathering of minerals may continue up to turning silicates into clay; preceded by the heavy removal of colloidal iron silicates as colloidal hydroxide, which precipitates in situ. The phenomenon is present even starting from the upper horizon of the soil profile. This hydroxide undergoes subsequent partial dehydration and turns into less hydrated sesquioxides of rusty colors, such as goethite (Fe₂O₃·H₂O) and even hematite (Fe₂O₃) that accumulate in horizons A and B, thus giving the reddish-brown colour of the soil. Color intensity is conditioned both by the hydration state of iron and by the iron content in the parent material.

Analytical data on total iron content does not allow differentiations between chromic luvisols, haplic luvisols and not even to luvic phaeozems.

Chromic luvisols are characterized by a profile of type A₀⁻AB⁻Bt⁻C, relatively deeply developed, and carbonate horizon frequently situated at depths over 150-160 cm (Table 1, 2, 3, 4).

Table 1. Physical and chemical data on chromic luvisols (Ciofliceni - Snagovului Plain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>0,002 mm</th>
<th>0,002-0,02 mm</th>
<th>0,02-0,2 mm</th>
<th>0,2-2,0 mm</th>
<th>Humus %</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>V%</th>
<th>Total N %</th>
<th>Mobile P ppm</th>
<th>Mobile K ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>28,7</td>
<td>36,3</td>
<td>34,3</td>
<td>0,7</td>
<td>2,57</td>
<td>6,1</td>
<td>78,2</td>
<td>0,119</td>
<td>11,0</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₀</td>
<td>25-35</td>
<td>31,3</td>
<td>34,6</td>
<td>33,1</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>2,45</td>
<td>6,9</td>
<td>79,0</td>
<td>0,111</td>
<td>22,5</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>40-55</td>
<td>31,2</td>
<td>35,7</td>
<td>31,9</td>
<td>1,2</td>
<td>1,85</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>86,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₁</td>
<td>65-80</td>
<td>35,2</td>
<td>34,0</td>
<td>29,5</td>
<td>1,3</td>
<td>0,92</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>83,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₂</td>
<td>90-105</td>
<td>37,5</td>
<td>32,2</td>
<td>29,8</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td>83,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₃</td>
<td>130-145</td>
<td>40,0</td>
<td>30,2</td>
<td>29,3</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,6</td>
<td>85,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₄</td>
<td>170-185</td>
<td>38,5</td>
<td>32,2</td>
<td>28,9</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,7</td>
<td>87,0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₅</td>
<td>200-215</td>
<td>38,5</td>
<td>34,4</td>
<td>27,0</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,0</td>
<td>89,3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cca</td>
<td>240-250</td>
<td>37,1</td>
<td>29,1</td>
<td>33,0</td>
<td>0,8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The thickness of the upper horizon varies between 32 and 50 cm (frequently between 32 and 35 cm), while the argic Bt horizon may exceed 110 cm (65-150 cm). The Bt soil horizon differentiate by color, clay content and structure in at least two sub-horizons. It appears somehow richer in clay as compared with the A₀ horizon.

Table 2. Physical and chemical data on chromic luvisols (Dumbrăveni - Snagovului Plain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>0,002 mm</th>
<th>0,002-0,02 mm</th>
<th>0,02-0,2 mm</th>
<th>0,2-2,0 mm</th>
<th>Humus %</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>V%</th>
<th>Total N %</th>
<th>Mobile P ppm</th>
<th>Mobile K ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td>0-27</td>
<td>31,2</td>
<td>35,6</td>
<td>33,0</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>2,04</td>
<td>5,5</td>
<td>75,8</td>
<td>0,115</td>
<td>12,2</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>27-38</td>
<td>29,0</td>
<td>34,3</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>1,98</td>
<td>6,0</td>
<td>80,9</td>
<td>0,106</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A₀</td>
<td>38-50</td>
<td>36,7</td>
<td>33,1</td>
<td>29,9</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>1,62</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>83,2</td>
<td>0,096</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>50-65</td>
<td>37,4</td>
<td>30,9</td>
<td>31,2</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>1,08</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>86,3</td>
<td>0,075</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₁</td>
<td>65-85</td>
<td>39,7</td>
<td>25,8</td>
<td>30,9</td>
<td>0,6</td>
<td>0,96</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>86,3</td>
<td>0,060</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₂</td>
<td>85-110</td>
<td>36,6</td>
<td>30,5</td>
<td>32,5</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7,1</td>
<td>88,7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt₃</td>
<td>110-150</td>
<td>36,5</td>
<td>32,0</td>
<td>31,4</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Physical and chemical data on chromic luvisols (Lipia - Snagovului Plain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hori zon</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>0,002 mm</th>
<th>0,002-0,02 mm</th>
<th>0,02-0,2 mm</th>
<th>0,2-2,0 mm</th>
<th>Humus %</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>V%</th>
<th>Total N %</th>
<th>Mobile P ppm</th>
<th>Mobile K ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ap</td>
<td>0-22</td>
<td>29,2</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td>34,6</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>3,07</td>
<td>5,4</td>
<td>69,6</td>
<td>0,163</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aț</td>
<td>22-33</td>
<td>29,9</td>
<td>34,8</td>
<td>35,0</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td>3,15</td>
<td>5,6</td>
<td>72,0</td>
<td>0,157</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>33-48</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td>32,9</td>
<td>31,1</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>1,76</td>
<td>5,8</td>
<td>76,9</td>
<td>0,100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>48-70</td>
<td>37,8</td>
<td>32,3</td>
<td>29,5</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td>1,15</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>89,3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt1</td>
<td>70-100</td>
<td>39,7</td>
<td>31,0</td>
<td>28,8</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,4</td>
<td>84,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt2</td>
<td>100-131</td>
<td>36,0</td>
<td>29,1</td>
<td>34,4</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt3</td>
<td>131-162</td>
<td>25,5</td>
<td>28,5</td>
<td>35,8</td>
<td>0,2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,8</td>
<td>90,5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cca</td>
<td>193-245</td>
<td>34,9</td>
<td>28,1</td>
<td>38,8</td>
<td>0,1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8,5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all the analyzed cases the C horizon distinguishes by its yellowish color and appearance of calcium carbonate as spottings, at depths exceeding 150 cm (150-220 cm).

Physical, hydro-physical and chemical features strongly detach chromic luvisols from haplic phaeozems or even luvic faeozems. The parental material on which they formed contains 25-35% clay (grains smaller than 0.002 mm) and up to 32% dust. The clay is unevenly distributed along the soil profile. This increases from 28-30% at the surface to about 40-45% in the Bt horizon, which shows an index of textural differentiation between 1.2 to 1.4.

Table 4. Physical and chemical data on chromic luvisols (Bălăceanca – Câlnăului Plain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hori zon</th>
<th>Depth (cm)</th>
<th>0,002 mm</th>
<th>0,002-0,02 mm</th>
<th>0,02-0,2 mm</th>
<th>0,2-2,0 mm</th>
<th>Humus %</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>V%</th>
<th>Total N %</th>
<th>Mobile P ppm</th>
<th>Mobile K ppm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>0-14</td>
<td>28,2</td>
<td>30,7</td>
<td>41,1</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>5,00</td>
<td>4,90</td>
<td>56,1</td>
<td>0,192</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>14-24</td>
<td>29,4</td>
<td>33,2</td>
<td>37,4</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>5,05</td>
<td>2,67</td>
<td>57,8</td>
<td>0,119</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ao</td>
<td>24-38</td>
<td>30,0</td>
<td>32,4</td>
<td>37,6</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>5,60</td>
<td>2,46</td>
<td>74,5</td>
<td>0,102</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>38-53</td>
<td>38,0</td>
<td>28,4</td>
<td>33,4</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>5,90</td>
<td>1,45</td>
<td>78,2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt1</td>
<td>55-75</td>
<td>41,0</td>
<td>27,1</td>
<td>31,9</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>6,00</td>
<td>2,85</td>
<td>85,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt2</td>
<td>80-100</td>
<td>39,0</td>
<td>28,7</td>
<td>31,4</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>6,20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>86,4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bt3</td>
<td>100-120</td>
<td>35,2</td>
<td>31,2</td>
<td>33,6</td>
<td>0,0</td>
<td>6,30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>85,0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all the analyzed cases the C horizon distinguishes by its yellowish color and appearance of calcium carbonate as spottings, at depths exceeding 150 cm (150-220 cm).

Bulk density of these soils is medium at the surface (1.28 to 1.37 g/cm³), large and very large along the soil profile (1.50 to 1.66 g/cm³), while total porosity, as well as permeability decrease with depth. Only field water capacity remains constant but presents medium values (23-26%).
Soil reaction along the profile ranges from slightly acid (pH 5.7 to 6.5) to slightly alkaline (pH 8.2 to 8.3) due to the presence of carbonates (Table 1, 2, 3, 4, Figure 2, 3, 4, 5).

Humus content in the Ap horizon is generally small, between 1.7 and 3.0%. Humus composition is characterized by the ratio AH:AF higher than 1 one the surface, but subunit values for the rest of the profile.
The proportion of free humic acid and free, aggressive fulvic acids is similar with the composition of forest soils humus. The proportion of humic acids in connexion to calcium is similar to that of steppe soils.

The degree of saturation is variable, often around 80%, sometimes less, so most chromic luvisols are eubasic soils.
Total nitrogen content is low to middle (0.119 to 0.165%) in the Ap horizon, most commonly about 0.120% and the C:N ratio has values around 13 at the surface. Phosphorus and potassium contents are characterized by low and medium values, that distinguishes the chromic luvisols from the steppe soils (Table 1, 2, 3, 4, Figure 6, 7, 8, 9).

Figure 6. Chemical features of chromic luvisols (Ciofliceni – Snagovului Plain)

Figure 7. Chemical features of chromic luvisols (Dumbrăveni – Snagovului Plain)
4. Conclusion

Chromic luvisols formed in Romania both on loess and loess-like deposits (Wallachia) and also on red clayey deposits (Oltenia, Banat, north west of the country). Between Ialomița and Olt rivers they are stable only on the interfluves, forming strips of 1-2 km wide, then quickly make place to another types of soils (usually, weakly luvic phaeozem). Therefore, it is possible that the area occupied by these soils in our country be much smaller than that recorded on current maps of soils, even if their distribution area remains about the same.

An important role in the formation of these soils had soil climate expressed by the hydric
regime, probably alternatively trans-percolation.

Iron hydroxide undergoes rapid dehydration because of prolonged dry conditions, passing into rusty-colored sesquioxide such as goethite, hematite and even hydro-hematite, giving a reddish brown colour to these soils.

Fertility chromic luvisols is generally good. They are biologically active soil with humus bioaccumulation (in the forest) with medium or hard texture, compact and hard permeable argic Bt horizon.

Are considered forest soils with high fertility for lowland forests plainly, and agricultural crops. They require an adequate agrotechnic and increased amounts of mineral and organic fertilizers.

In order to achieve good production results, these soils require measures agropedoameliorative and surface drainage (scarification, modification depth plowing, leveling operation).
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