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Abstract

*Rhynchophorus phoenicis* (F) larva was used in the production of *Rhynchophorus* larva paste. The paste was then used as fillers in the preparation of pies and sandwich. Both the paste and products (pies and sandwich) were evaluated for proximate composition and sensory parameters and compared to commercial meat pies and sandwich. High level of moisture content of 20.10% (R. paste), 32.4% in the R. pie, and 30.2% in the commercial meat pie were observed. There was a significant difference between the moisture content of R. sandwich (33.6%) and commercially produced sandwich (26.8%). The R. Paste was high in protein content (20.45%) and improved significantly the protein content of R. pies (16.4%) when compared to commercial meat pies (12.4%), the energy value of the R. paste was high 498.83 kcal/g of paste. This was due to high fat content of 45.42% which also increased the fat content of the products (19.6% for R. pies and 16.3% for R. sandwich) compared to 7.2% and 5.4% for R. sandwich compared to 7.2% and 5.4% for commercial meat pies and sandwiches respectively. There was no significant difference in colour between the R. pie and the C-meat pies, however, a significant difference was observed in taste compared to the commercial products tested. The *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* (F) larva paste was generally accepted as a filler in pie production than sandwich.
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1. Introduction

Insects are used as human food in many parts of the world. In some developing countries, it is viewed with aversion but elsewhere, it is seen as a wise utilization of natural resources because insects form a greater part of the phylum arthropods which dominates the animal kingdom. The larva of the beetle *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* (F) is cherished as food among the many communities in Nigeria (Okaranye and Ikewuchi, 2008) and around the world especially in those places where palms (oil, raphia and coconut) are cultivated (Defoliat, 1992; Choon-Fah et al, 2008).

Ekakene and Igeleke (2007) reported that in the Niger Delta region and Eastern States of Nigeria, the Larva is a cherished delicacy and can be seen hawked along major roads, markets and motor packs in Edo and Delta States of Nigeria. In 1976, Oliveira et al studied the nutritional compositions of four insect including *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* and they observed that it was high in fat and protein. They reported that the larvae are rich sources of animal fat, most valuable sources of unsaturated fatty acids. Okaranye and Ikewuchi (2008) observed a high protein value of 31.61% wet basis with a protein score of 72.97%. They concluded that the protein of the larvae is rich in essential amino acids, high in methionine, histidine and phenylalanine while limiting only in valine. Nzikou et al (2010) reported that the larva as a good source of minerals with sodium (832.59 ± 03mg/100g) as the highest, followed in descending order by magnesium (132.7 ± 0.20 mg/100g); calcium (72.4 ± 0.22 mg/100g) and potassium (22.89 ± 1.7 mg/100g).

In view of the nutritional qualities of *Rhynchophorus phoenicis* (F) larva and the high level of consumption of this larvae in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria, this study is therefore aimed at the production of the larvae into a paste, investigating the utilization of the paste as a filler for pies and sandwich and finally to evaluate the sensory and general acceptability of the products (pies and sandwich) when compared to commercially produced samples.

2. Materials and Methods

*Sources of Larvae:* The *R. phoenicis* larvae used in this study was obtained by harvesting wild infested raffia palms (Raffia hookesi) and oil palm (Elacis quinensis) of the swampy tropical rain forest around Port Harcourt metropolis of Rivers State and Anyama–Ijaw community of Southern–Ijaw Local Government of Bayelsa State, all belonging to the palm belt of the Niger Delta Area of South South region of Nigeria.

The trunks of the infested palms were cut open using a sharp axe and machete. The larvae were harvested manually from the tunnels by hand as reported by Thomas et al (2006) and transported in aerated plastic containers together with their wet/moist feed of raffia palm pit to the Food science and Technology Laboratory Rivers State University of Science and Technology, Port Harcourt for preparation.

*Preparation of the R. Phoenicis Larva Paste:* The method of Thomas et al (2006) was used with modifications, 30 grams of ground larvae, 2g of crayfish, and other ingredients were added as shown in Table 1. The mixture was fried in heated vegetable oil with constant stirring for 5 minutes, six portions of the paste labelled A – F were prepared, portions A, B
and C was used as pie fillers while D and E was used as sandwich filler. The portion used for proximate composition of paste was prepared using the same method.

Preparation of Rhynochophorus Pie and Sandwich: 200g of flour was prepared as shot pastry, 100g of R. paste was used to replace minced meat. Other ingredient such as carrots (50g) potatoes (100g), Onions (50g) were added. The pastry was oven-baked at a temperature of 130°C for 40 minutes while the sandwich was prepared using a sandwich toaster.

Sensory Evaluation: The R. Phoenicus larva pastes and sandwiches were presented alongside with commercially produced meat pies and sandwich to a panel of 20 semi-trained evaluators consisting of staff and students of the Rivers State University of Science and Technology Port Harcourt and who are familiar with the R. Phoenicus larvae. The products were scored for colour, flavour, paste and general acceptability using a 5 – point hedonic scale with 5 = like extremely and 1 = dislike extremely.

Proximate Composition of Paste, Pie and Sandwich: Moisture content, crude fat (ether extract), crude protein were determined on the paste, R. pies and R. sandwich according to the AOAC (1990) standard methods, whereas total carbohydrate content was done using the anthrone method as reported by Osbone and Voogt (1978). Energy values were calculated using the Atwater energy factor. Commercial – meat pies and sandwich was also evaluated alongside with the products.

Statistical Analysis: All data from the experiment were analysed in triplicates and means subjected to analysis of variance (Iwe 2002). Where a significant F-test was noticed, means were separated using Duncan’s multiple range test. Significance was accepted at P ≤ 0.05.

3. Result and Discussion

The proximate composition of the Rhynochophorus phoenicus (F) larva paste, (R. paste, Rhynochophorus pies and R. sandwich as presented in table 2 showed no significant difference in moisture content between the larva paste used as a pie filler (R. pie) and the commercially produced meat pie (C. meat pie). The moisture content ranges from 20.10% for R. paste to 33.6% for R. Sandwich. However, there was a significant difference between the R. sandwich (33.6%) and the commercially produced sandwich (26.8%). These levels of moisture in both the R. pies and R. sandwich prepared from the paste may poison stability problems because of its susceptibility to micro-organisms. This observation is in agreement with the report of Uraihi and Izuagbe, (1990). The ash content of R. pastes and products are shown in Table 2. There was high ash value of 8.32% obtained in the Rhynochophorus larva paste. This observation is in agreement with the report of Okaraonye and Ikewuchi (2008) who also reported a high ash value because of the mineral content of the larva. The protein content of the larva ranged from 20.45% in the Rhynochophorus paste and decreased to 9.9% observed in the commercial sandwich. However, there was a significant difference in protein content between Rhynochophorous larva paste pie (16.4%) and the commercial meat pie (11.2%). This same trend was also observed between Rhynochophorus larva sandwich (12.4%) and the commercial sandwich (9.9%). This implies that Rhynochophorous phoenicus larva paste can contribute significantly to the daily human protein requirements, usually about 23-56g (FAO,
1991; Chaney, 2006). Although the carbohydrate content of the paste (2.2%), it increased significant when used as a filler in Rhynchophorus larva paste pie. (20.6%). The developed paste (R. paste) has high energy value of 498.83 kcal/g. this observation agreed with the report of Oliveria et al., (1976) who had earlier reported that R. phoenicus larvae is nutritious with a high energy value of 239.9kg/100g of larvae.

Table 3 shows the mean scores for sensory evaluation of R. phoenicus larva pie (R. pie) and R. larvae sandwich (R–sandwich) compared with commercially prepared meat pies (C-meat pie) and sandwich (C-sandwich). The results showed that there was no significant difference in colour between the R. pie and the commercial meat pie, however, there was a significant difference in taste in R. pie and R. sandwich compared to the commercial products. This may be due to the strong R. phoenicus larva flavour and other ingredients used in the preparation of the paste. The Rhynchophorus phoenicus larva paste was generally accepted as filler in meat pies than for sandwich.

4. Conclusion

The Rhynchophorus phoenicus (F) larvae paste was produced and successfully used in the preparations of R. phoenicus pie and R. sandwich as fillers. The R. paste improved the protein content of the pie. However, the Rhynchophorus pies were preferred, further work on the storage stability of the developed paste and the pies are in progress.
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**Table 1. Recipe for *R. Phoenicus* Larvae Paste**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Quantity of Larvae (g)</th>
<th>Crayfish (g)</th>
<th>Salt (g)</th>
<th>Onion (g)</th>
<th>Maggi (g)</th>
<th>Fresh Pepper (g)</th>
<th>Tinned Tomatoes</th>
<th>Veg Oil (ml)</th>
<th>Water (ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>8.30</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Thomas et.al; (2006).
Table 2. Proximate Composition of *R. Phoenicis* (F) larvae Paste, Pie and Sandwich.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Moisture content %</th>
<th>Ash %</th>
<th>Fat %</th>
<th>Protein %</th>
<th>Carbohyd rate %</th>
<th>Energy Kcal/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. Paste</td>
<td>20.10^c</td>
<td>8.32^a</td>
<td>45.42^a</td>
<td>20.45^a</td>
<td>2.2^d</td>
<td>498.83^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Pie</td>
<td>32.4^a</td>
<td>7.2^b</td>
<td>19.6^b</td>
<td>16.4^b</td>
<td>20.6^c</td>
<td>326.77^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Sandwich</td>
<td>33.6^a</td>
<td>7.8^a</td>
<td>16.3^c</td>
<td>12.4^c</td>
<td>32.6^a</td>
<td>324.68^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Meat Pie</td>
<td>30.2^a</td>
<td>8.1^a</td>
<td>7.2^c</td>
<td>11.2^c</td>
<td>27.8^b</td>
<td>217.13^d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Sandwich</td>
<td>26.8^b</td>
<td>7.7^a</td>
<td>5.4^d</td>
<td>9.9^c</td>
<td>40.6^a</td>
<td>243.06^c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures with the same superscript within the same column do not differ significantly.*

Table 3. Mean Score for Sensory Evaluation of *R. Phoenicis* Larva Pie and Larva Sandwich

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Flavour</th>
<th>Taste</th>
<th>General Acceptability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. Pie</td>
<td>4.1^a</td>
<td>3.6^a</td>
<td>4.2^a</td>
<td>3.9^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. Sandwich</td>
<td>3.0^b</td>
<td>3.4^b</td>
<td>3.9^a</td>
<td>3.6^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Meat Pie</td>
<td>4.2^a</td>
<td>3.8^a</td>
<td>3.5^b</td>
<td>3.7^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Sandwich</td>
<td>3.1^b</td>
<td>3.0^c</td>
<td>3.6^b</td>
<td>3.4^b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures with the same superscript within the same column do not differ significantly.*