

Contraction of Capital Investment in Retail Trade Sub-Sector Companies on the Indonesia Stock Exchange

Andy

Postgraduate Management, Pakuan University, Indonesia

S. H. Hengky

Bina Darma University, Indonesia

E-mail: hengky_halim@binadarma.ac.id; hengky_halim@yahoo.com.au

Received: May 1, 2021 Accepted: June 2, 2021 Published: June 10, 2021

doi:10.5296/ber.v11i3.18734 URL: <https://doi.org/10.5296/ber.v11i3.18734>

Abstract

This study aims to compare the investment contraction in the retail trade sub-sector on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). This study examines the effect of profitability as measured by ROE on capital structure as measured by DER in sub-sector companies in IDX during 2016-2020. This type of research uses verification research with explanatory survey method and sample method of a purposive-sampling in which there are 18 samples of retail company sub-sectors. Methods of data analysis using panel data regression with the results: Profitability has a negative and significant effect on capital structure.

Keywords: Return on Equity (ROE), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER)

1. Introduction

Indonesia was also affecting by the impact on the Covid-19 impact that caused the national economy to experience a recession in the last 22 years after two consecutive quarters of contraction in the Gross Domestic Product (GDP). One of the sectors that has been affecting is the capital market. Uncertainty is so high that it makes the stock market shaken, which causes capital outflows to come out of the domestic stock market. This phenomenon is also experience by all stock exchanges in the world. The Composite Stock Price Index fell to its lowest level in 2020 at the level of 3,997 on March 24, 2020 (Sidik, 2020).

So that, the correction of the Composite Stock Price Index (CSPI) is not so deeper, the

regulators, tried to reduce it caused by the pandemic. The CSPI fell 5% in a day, stock buyback policy on going through the General Meeting of Shareholders, prohibiting short selling transactions and other stimulus packages, and leniency in submitting financial reports for issuers. Not only that, positive sentiments regarding the recovery in the domestic economy are slowly recovering in line with the easing of social restrictions and government stimulus policies, on the stock market is slowly rising.

Stock market turmoil is inevitable amidst the uncertainty caused by the Covid-19 pandemic. However, the good news is that during the crisis, it becomes a momentum to increase the number of domestic retail investors. Retail investors act to a cushion or shock breaker out the stock market experiences a crisis. This phenomenon of increasing the number of retail investors also occurs to stock exchanges in Southeast Asian countries (Safitri, 2020; Sidik, 2020)

The government has issued a Work from Home (WFH) policy or work from home to prevent the spread of the Corona virus. Bank Indonesia (BI) stated that retail investors in the capital market are growing rapidly during the pandemic. This is reflected on the number of retail investors which reached 4.16 million, much higher than the number of retail investors amounted to 2.48 million (2019), 1.62 million (2018), and 1.12 million (2017). This means that retail confidence is extraordinary for future capital-market developments (Melani, 2021; Uly, 2020).

Due to the impact on this pandemic, it will affect its profitability and capital structure. In this study (Table 1), capital structure measured in a Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) (Kurniawan, 2021). While, the profitability is measured by Return on Equity (ROE). The optimal capital structure can change from time and can affect the changes. It will affect capital budget decisions. It ultimately will affect the company's stock price (Pennacchi & Santos, 2021; Situm, 2021).

Table 1. Profitability and Capital Structure of Retail Companies (2016-2020)

No	Company	Year	ROE (%)	DER (%)
1	ACES Ace Hardware Indonesia, Tbk	2016	23,16	22
		2017	22,24	26
		2018	18,41	27
		2019	21,6	22
		2020	14,5	43
2	AMRT Sumber Alfaria Trijaya, Tbk	2016	10,46	268
		2017	4,97	321
		2018	7,5	287
		2019	13,2	254
		2020	12,2	262
3	CSAP Catur Sentosa Adiprana, Tbk	2016	5,29	200
		2017	5,84	237
		2018	3,35	210
		2019	2,78	226
		2020	3,98	250
4	DAYA Duta Intidaya, Tbk	2016	-24,23	100
		2017	-3,29	128
		2018	-0,03	161

		2019	0,6	338
		2020	-56,3	460
5	ECII	2016	-1,87	9
	Electronic City, Tbk	2017	-0,57	11
		2018	0,78	11
		2019	2,01	10
		2020	-2,52	38
6	ERAA	2016	7,68	118
	Erajaya Swasembada, Tbk	2017	9,37	139
		2018	14,44	171
		2019	4,55	102
		2020	7,32	82
7	GLOB	2016	17,37	-111
	Global Teleshop, Tbk	2017	2,14	-109
		2018	3,1	-107
		2019	5,33	-105
		2020	0	-102
8	HERO	2016	2,21	37
	Hero Supermarket, Tbk	2017	-3,68	42
		2018	1,63	48
		2019	-0,227	52
		2020	-14,3	89
9	KOIN	2016	-0,01	484
	Kokoh Inti Arebama, Tbk	2017	-13,08	567
		2018	-9,87	758
		2019	7,4	557
		2020	6,39	477
10	LPPF	2016	108,86	162
	Matahari Department Store, Tbk	2017	81,92	133
		2018	60,1	101
		2019	94,2	182
		2020	103,7	838
11	MAPI	2016	6,51	233
	Mitra Adiperkasa, Tbk	2017	8,25	169
		2018	10,21	116
		2019	12,1	85
		2020	-12,6	189
12	MIDI	2016	21,9	376
	Midi Utama Indonesia, Tbk	2017	13,35	429
		2018	8,34	428
		2019	16,1	317
		2020	14,1	338
13	MKNT	2016	1,72	18
	Mitra Komunikasi Nusantara, Tbk	2017	13,47	244
		2018	13,57	231
		2019	-79,8	529
		2020	-59,5	630
14	MPPA	2016	1,58	176
	Matahari Putra Prima, Tbk	2017	-105,9	362
		2018	-20,49	232
		2019	-40,1	353
		2020	-223,5	2240
15	RALS	2016	12,24	39
	Ramayana Lestari Sentosa, Tbk	2017	11,64	40

		2018	14,07	33
		2019	19,8	29
		2020	-3,4	28
16	RANC	2016	9,17	67
	Supra Boga Lestari, Tbk	2017	8,18	75
		2018	6,62	66
		2019	9,14	67
		2020	16	128
17	SONA	2016	-2,49	76
	Sona Topas Tourism Industry, Tbk.	2017	8,49	79
		2018	10,96	90
		2019	8,09	41
		2020	-18,9	32
18	TRIO	2016	7,42	-106
	Trikonsel Oke, Tbk	2017	5,32	-107
		2018	0,4	-106
		2019	0	-107
		2020	0	-103

Source: <https://www.idx.co.id/>

Table 2. Average Capital Structure of Companies in the Retail Trade Sub-sector on the IDX Period 2016-2020 (%)

Year	Capital Structure (%)	Increase/Decrease (%)
2016	120,4	0
2017	154,8	34,3
2018	153,2	-1,6
2019	164,0	10,8
2020	328,8	164,8

Source: www.idx.co.id

Based on Table 2, the capital structure in the retail trade sub-sector have an average decline in 2018 of -1.6% with a value of 153.2% compared to 2017. In 2016-2020, there was an increase capital structure of value 120.4% (2016), 154.8% (2017), and 164% (2019). This shows that the capital structure used of corporate debt are quite high. It amounted to 328.8% (2020) that indicates higher use of debt for company performance and operations.

In 2020, the COVID 19 pandemic will have an impact on the company. Bank Indonesia (BI) reported that retail sales in December 2020 had slightly improved compared to the previous month. However, if we look at it on an annual basis (year-on-year / yoy), retail sales fallen. On a monthly basis, most of the commodity groups saw an increase in retail sales. This is due to increased demand of Christmas and New Year.. This reflected on the Real Sales Index (IPR) for December 2020, which grew by 4.8% (month-to-month / mt), an increase in -1.2% (mtm) in the previous month. The highest increase was due to the support of other household equipment groups and information and communication equipment. However, the increase in demand in December 2020 was not as high as the same period the previous year. This led to a

decline in retail sales performance in December 2020 with an IPR growth of -19.2 percent (yoy), dropping more than the previous month (16.3%) (<https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20210209161659-17-222216/penjualan-ritel-anjlok-apa-kabar-jualannya-emiten-konsumer/1>).

The main problem are indicating, there are eight companies in the retail sub sector. The profitability variable has related positively to the capital structure. Profitability should have in use of debt for company operations. Do profitability negatively affect the capital structure?

This study is going to analyzing the contraction a retail sub-sector business' performance by examining the effect of profitability as measured by ROE on capital structure as measured by DER in retail trading sub-sector companies at IDX.

2. Literature Review

The profitability affected capital structure (Chen, Xu, & Yang, 2021; Liu & Wang, 2021; Outram, Couperthwaite, Martens, & Millar, 2021). This capital structure is very important. It has related to financial position (Sony & Bhaduri, 2021). In this case, company managers must understand what factors affect the capital structure. Therefore, that, companies can manage financial functions and improve investor welfare. The optimal balance of risk - return (Brigham & Houston, 2011).

Capital Structure, this capital structure is very important. It has related to financial position. In this case, company managers must understand what factors affect the capital structure. Therefore, companies can manage financial functions and improve investor welfare. In this study, it shows a company uses funding that obtained through debt, compared to funding obtained through its own capital (Fitrianingsih, Salam, & Aeni, 2021).

The DER formula (Sony & Bhaduri, 2021) stated by:

$$\text{Debt to Equity Ratio} = \frac{\text{Total Liabilities}}{\text{Equity}}$$

Profitability Ratio, this study uses ROE variable as a variable to measure profitability. ROE is a ratio that shows the extent of a level ROE (Safitri, 2020; Saha, 2021; Situm, 2021).

The ROE formula:

$$\text{Return on Equity} = \frac{\text{Net Income}}{\text{Total Equity}}$$

The factors that affect ROE are net income and equity. Net income often uses as a measure of performance or as a basis for other measures such as ROE or Earning per share. This net income is the difference between income and expenses. Net income is usually included in the financial statements, to be precise on the income statement. The calculation of this net profit is usually carrying out by companies' profit before tax, profit before interest and tax, or profit before interest, taxes, and depreciation. Companies can calculate net income at the end of the year by subtracting all operating costs of gross profit. Net income is the value net has used a various financial ratio formulations such as the ratio of earnings per share, net profit margin,

and others (Melani, 2021; Safitri, 2020; Sidik, 2020).

Equity is the total capital that can describe the owner's rights over the company's assets. In a financial statement, we can find equity in the Balance-Sheet section. This equity is the difference between existing assets and liabilities, but equity cannot be sold or does not have a measure selling value may decrease due to the withdrawal of personal capital or because of the sharing of profits and losses. The types of equity in the financial statements are paid-in capital, undivided profit, revalued capital, contributed capital, and other capital. While, ROE is one of the profitability ratios that is often used for analyzing company performance for investors. The profit in question is net income. Meanwhile, the equity (capital) that means it represented, namely difference between a company's assets and debt. Thus, ROE has defined as an indicator measuring the effectiveness of management in managing capital to generate profits. The ROE measurement scale is the same as ROA, which is in the form of a percentage (Owusu & Alhassan, 2021; Pennacchi & Santos, 2021; Uly, 2020).

The capital structure related stock, where the elements make up the stock price performance. The capital structure are one of the elements that determines the company's performance, in which influenced. The important basis of consideration in determining the composition of capitals. Several factors influenced capital structure decision making, one of which is profitability (Chadha & Seth, 2021; Jiang, Shen, Lee, & Chen, 2021). This study uses the ROE variable as a variable to measure profitability.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Framework

A high rate of ROE using internal sources. This is better for the company to do than to use more debt. It will affect the company. (Melani, 2021; Sidik, 2020)

H1: Profitability has a negative effect on capital structure

The research site is the retail trade of a sub-sector company in IDX that has conducted starting April 2021. It derived from financial data onto the IDX website.

• Research Methods and Design

This type of research uses verification research with explanatory research methods used to test hypotheses and explain phenomena in the form of relationships between variables. Research designed is a procedure that researchers use when selecting, collecting, and analyzing data as a whole. The study has conducted with the aim at examining corporate retail trade of IDX during the 2016-2020 period. Thus, the relationship variable in this study has causally related to the unit analysis. The structural measurement of each variable uses a ratio to measure the scale.

• Samples

Researchers used purposive-sampling with research considerations. So that, future data collection is more representative (O'Halloran, Littlewood, Richardson, Tod, & Nesti, 2018). The considerations for selecting the research sample are:

1. Sample retail trade sub-sector companies listed on the IDX
2. Sample of IPO companies before 2016
3. The sample period studied was from 2016-2020
4. The sample companies did not delist from IDX during the study
5. The sample companies have complete financial data onto 2016-2020

Based on these criteria according to the sampling method used, there are 18 sample companies to employ (Knotters & Brus, 2013):

- Research variable:
 - Independent Variable (X): Profitability (ROE)
 - Dependent variable (Y): Capital structure (DER)
- Data analysis technique

Using panel data regression to determine the relationship between profitability and the capital structure of the retail traded sub-sector on the IDX during the 2016-2020 period. Data processing using EViews 9 software (A Kadim & Nardi, 2018; Sari, Silaban, Ruslan, & Suhariato, 2021). The goal is significance dependent by using panel data, namely a combination of time series data and cross data. While, testing hypothesis using a panel-data regression-model.

In addition, there are three methods of estimating the regression model using panel data, namely: common effect model, fixed effect model, and random effect model. It based on data characteristics, namely the chow test, the Hausman test, and the Lagrange multiplier test (Ahn & Moon, 2014; A ĩ-Sahalia & Xiu, 2019). The regression model:

$$\text{Capital Structure}_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{Profitability}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Information:

Capital structure measured by DER

Profitability measured by ROE

α = Constant

β = the regression coefficient of each independent variable

ε = Error terms

t = Period of the year

i = Company crossed section

- Panel Data Regression Hypothesis Test

Hypothesis is using coefficient of determination (Adjusted R^2), F and T test with each

probability less than 0.05 (Liu & Wang, 2021; Outram et al., 2021).

Keep in mind that calculating net income should be calculating in one year. However, since financial statements are usually issuing quarterly (4 months), the value must be make into one year first. There are only one quarterly available reports and even four times. While, two available reports, the value must be multiplied by two (2) and so on. It figured up on the previous explanation, the ROE of a company is > 0.694. This indicates that the company is effective enough to generate income. This figure is close to one. Give a company has an ROE close to zero. It can be say that the company is not effective or successful in earning revenue. Every shareholder expects a high ROE considering this ratio has a high level of risk as well. ROE is able to compare to one period and another. In addition, the shareholders themselves need to analyze the market in order to find companies that can process better and rational ROE numbers.

4. Result and Discussion

The results of the tabulation this study indicated the results of the variable test in this study (Table 3):

Table 3. Test result

Dependent Variable: DER (Capital Structure)				
Method: Panel Least Squares				
Date: 04/12/21 Time: 13:30				
Sample: 2016 2020				
Periods included: 5				
Cross-sections included: 18				
Total panel (balanced) observations: 90				
Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	208.0549	15.76352	13.19850	0.0000
ROE (Profitability)	-7.080276	0.681338	-10.39173	0.0000
Effects Specification				
Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)				
R-squared	0.798517	Mean dependent var	184.2444	
Adjusted R-squared	0.747436	S.D. dependent var	294.4097	
S.E. of regression	147.9577	Akaike info criterion	13.01682	
Sum squared resid	1554295.	Schwarz criterion	13.54456	
Log likelihood	-566.7570	Hannan-Quinn criter.	13.22964	
F-statistic	15.63258	Durbin-Watson stat	1.798718	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

Source: Eviews test results

Table 4.

	ROE	DER
Mean	3.362922	184.2444
Median	6.115000	109.0000
Maximum	108.8600	2240.000
Minimum	-223.5000	-111.0000
Std. Dev.	37.61002	294.4097

Skewness	-2.231051	4.067222
Kurtosis	18.25748	27.77435
Jarque-Bera	947.6292	2549.767
Probability	0.000000	0.000000
Sum	302.6630	16582.00
Sum Sq. Dev.	125891.7	7714261.
Observations	90	90

Based on the two tables (Tables 3 and 4), the panel-data regression in this study is a fixed effect model. While, an equation model is as follows:

$$\text{Capital Structure}_{it} = (208,0549) - (7,080276)\text{Profitability}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

These results can be explaining as follows:

- a) Capital Structure (DER)
- b) 208.0549 is the value of the coefficient α (constant)
- c) -7.080276 are the value of the profitability regression coefficient of ROE
- d) ε = Error terms
- e) t = Period of the year (2016-2020 or 5 years)
- f) i = Company cross-section

- Hypothesis Test Results

- Determination Coefficient Test (Adjusted R^2)

The coefficient of determination (R^2) describes the variation on the dependent variable. R^2 values (Gouda & El-Hoshy, 2020; Jain et al., 2021) indicated the limitations of the dependent variable (Liu & Wang, 2021; Outram et al., 2021). The value ~ one \rightarrow independent variables provide all information on the dependent variable prediction (Liu & Wang, 2021; Taylor et al., 2021).

Table 5. Adjusted R squared

R-squared	0.798517	Mean dependent var	184.2444
Adjusted R-squared	0.747436	S.D. dependent var	294.4097

Table 5 indicated, it knows that the Adjusted R^2 value has a value of 0.747436. This means that it provided predictions of variations in the dependent variable (it is close to one (1)). In this case, the capital structure have influenced by profitability of 74.7436%. The rest has influenced by 25.2564%.

- F test

The F tested is used to determine the independent variables (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) together had a significant effect on the dependent variable (Y). According to (Liu & Wang, 2021; Taylor et

al., 2021), the F test here aims to determine that the independent variables jointly affect the dependent variable (Outram et al., 2021).

The hypothesis testing is carried-out (Balsalobre-Lorente, Driha, Bekun, & Adedoyin, 2020; Canchy, Girardeau, Durand, Vouillac-Mendoza, & Ahmed, 2021) in the following steps:

H_0 : $b_1, b_2, \dots = 0$ (simultaneously does not affect the independent variable (X_1, X_2, \dots) on the dependent variable (Y))

H_a : at least One $B_i = 0$ (simultaneously affects the independent variable (X_1, X_2, \dots) on the dependent variable (Y))

The formulation of the hypothesis (Ongan, Isik, & Ozdemir, 2020; Pata & Caglar, 2021) is as follows:

H_0 : The independent variable (X) simultaneously has no effect on the dependent variable.

H_a : The independent variable (X) simultaneously affects the dependent variable.

The basis of decision making for the F test can be seeing based on the EViews table and output, namely:

Based on the calculated F value and F table:

- Give the value of F count > F table, the independent variable (X) affects the dependent variable (Y).
- The value of F counted < F table, the independent variable (X) had not effected on the dependent variable (Y).

The significance value of the EViews output:

- If the value is Sig. < 0.05, the independent variable (X) has a significant effect on the dependent variable (Y).
- The value is Sig. > 0.05, the independent variable (X) has no significant effect on the dependent variable (Y).

Table 6. F test

F-statistic	15.63258	Durbin-Watson stat	1.798718
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Table 6, it knew that the probability F statistical significance valued is 0.0000. This means that profitability has a significant effect on capital structure of a probability of < 0.05.

- T tests

T tests to explain the influence of independent variables individually (Liu & Wang, 2021; Outram et al., 2021; Taylor et al., 2021). This test has done:

H_0 : $b_1 = 0$

H_a : $b_1 \neq 0$

The hypothesis formula used is as follows:

H_0 : The independent variable has no significant effect on the dependent variable.

H_a : The independent variable has a significant effect on the dependent variable.

The basis of T-test decision making can be seeing based on the EViews table and output, namely:

Based on the value of t count and t table:

- If the value of t count > t table, the independent variable (X) affects the dependent variable (Y).
- The value of t count < t table, the independent variable (X) has no effect on the dependent variable (Y).

Based on the significance value of the EViews output:

- If the value is Sig. < 0.05, the independent variable (X) has a significant effect on the dependent variable (Y).
- If the value is Sig. > 0.05, the independent variable (X) has no significant effect on the dependent variable (Y).

Testing the Effect of Profitability on Capital Structure

Table 7. T tests

Dependent Variable: DER (Capital Structure)
Method: Panel Least Squares
Date: 04/12/21 Time: 13:30
Sample: 2016 2020
Periods included: 5
Cross-sections included: 18
Total panel (balanced) observations: 90

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
α	208.0549	15.76352	13.19850	0.0000
ROE (Profitability)	-7.080276	0.681338	-10.39173	0.0000

The initial hypothesis states that profitability has a negative effect on capital structure. Based on the results of the hypothesis test of Table 1, it knows that the probability of the profitability variable is 0.0000 with a negative coefficient that indicates that the variable has a significant effect on the capital structure, and the hypothesis has proven (Reject H_0).

As one of the determining indicators of profitability, the value of ROE is one of the considerations in fundamental analysis conducted by investors. By knowing the ROE ratio, as investors, they can predict profit that they can get. Then, what is the percentage of ROE of the company that shares are worth buying?

The ROE value of a company will change from year after year. One year's ROE information

cannot show the company's overall performance and its future performance. For companies that had debt, especially those whose value are greater than their own capital, ROE cannot be using as an accurate reference. We can use ROCE (Return on Capital Employed). Apart from ROE, Price to Book Value (PBV) must be considering, as well as its value also affects company profits. (Owusu & Alhassan, 2021; Uly, 2020)

ROE is one of the profitability ratios that has often used in analyzing company performance for investors. The profit in question is net income. Meanwhile, equity (capital) had been seeing in the company's financial statements, namely the difference between the company's assets and liabilities. Thus, ROE defined as an indicator measuring the effectiveness of management in managing capital to generate profits. The ROE measurement scale is the same as ROA, which is in the form of a percentage (Situm, 2021).

A company will be say to be an unhealthy company not only from the quality of its human resources or from the value of its sales. However, it can be measuring from an internal financial perspective. One way to do this is by measuring the debt-to-equity ratio or the term DER (Wulandari & Nurasik, 2015). Debt is an obligation that must be pay by the company in cash to the creditor within a certain period. It viewed from the period of repayment. The debt has divided into current, long-term and other liabilities.

Meanwhile, equity here is the right to own the company over the company's assets or assets that are net assets. This equity consists of deposits from the owners of the company and the remaining retained earnings. Current liabilities are short-term liabilities. Usually this current debt is company debt related to short-term company operations.

Long-term liabilities are a type of debt that is dangerous for a company and tends to be detrimental to the company. This long-term debt usually has a larger nominal value and has interest. Current liabilities are greater than long liabilities. This is a natural thing. Regarding DER (Yanto, Christy, & Cakranegara, 2021), the government has issued a provision of the amount of DER that through the Minister of Finance Regulation No.169 / PMK.010 / 2015.

The provisions for the ratio of debt and equity (DER) apply to corporate taxpayers who are established has equity had calculated for the concerned. The highest ratio of debt to equity is 4: 1. There are DER exemptions from several groups of taxpayers, including banks, financial institutions, insurance and reinsurance, mining and all of their income is subject to final income tax and taxpayers who run a business in the infrastructure sector (Chen et al., 2021).

These borrowing costs include loan interest, discounts and premiums as well as additional costs related to loans, finance charges in finance leases - compensation for debt repayment guarantees loans. Even this taxpayer has an equity balance of zero (less than zero), no borrowed costs can be taking into account in the calculation of taxable income. This provision has been starting effectively from the 2016 fiscal year. Further implementation provisions, shall be regulated by the Directorate General of Taxes regulation.

5. Conclusions

Based on the results of the study, it well knew as the profitability measured by ROE. It has a

negative and significant effect on the capital structure measured by DER. This shows that the retail sub-sector company is able to benefit from the company's operations. The results of these profits can be using for operations and the addition of capital without using much debt. So that, the company avoided bankruptcy.

The ability of this retail sub-sector company that can produce profits and not many used debts for company operations, investors can invest in this company in the hope of getting dividends from investment in the company.

A research results, the profitability variable has a negative-effect on ROE. This means that the company must manage its debt. So that, it does not affect the company's profitability improvement efforts by utilizing many internal sources. While, the company's funding uses a lot of debt, the return will be low. Based on descriptive statistics, companies with the best profitability and capital structure and feasible for investment are LPPF (Matahari Department Store), ACES (Ace Hardware Indonesia), and MIDI (Midi Utama Indonesia).

Nevertheless, it is not a good indicator for financial companies. As previously mentioned, the DER is closely relating to company expenses and equity, so the calculation of DER is highly dependent on financial reports (Nukala & Rao, 2021).

According to Balance Theory, the optimal capital structure is determined by adding debt. It has benefits and costs balancing with benefits and costs. Debt benefits the company. It interested payments had calculated as expenses. While, they reduce taxable income. So that, the amount of tax paid by the company will be reduced. In addition, companies prefer to make loans or debts. They are not permanent, as well as their procurement costs are cheaper than issuing shares as additional capital. However, debt also has a negative side, namely increasing company bankruptcy.

6. Managerial Implications

A managerial implication is as follows:

- For the company

Based on the research results, the companies must be careful about using corporate debt for capital and company operations to avoid company bankruptcy. While, it is still able to get the results as profits. It can be using for operations and increasing company capital without using much debt. Therefore, that, the company avoid bankruptcy.

- For the Investors

Seeing the profitability of the retail sub-sector company, shows that it is able generating profit margin. It has increased the company's capital from profit rather than debt. So that, the company is able to survive. Therefore, investors can invest in this company and get dividends from the company.

References

Ahn, S. C., & Moon, H. R. (2014). Large-N and Large-T Properties of Panel Data Estimators

and the Hausman Test. In R. Sickles & W. Horrace (Eds.), *Festschrift in Honor of Peter Schmidt* (pp. 219-258). Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-8008-3_7

A ĩ-Sahalia, Y., & Xiu, D. (2019). A Hausman test for the presence of market microstructure noise in high frequency data. *Journal of Econometrics*, *211*(1), 176-205.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeconom.2018.12.013>

Balsalobre-Lorente, D., Driha, O. M., Bekun, F. V., & Adedoyin, F. F. (2020). The asymmetric impact of air transport on economic growth in Spain: fresh evidence from the tourism-led growth hypothesis. *Current Issues in Tourism*.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2020.1720624>

Canchy, L., Girardeau, P., Durand, A., Vouillac-Mendoza, C., & Ahmed, S. H. (2021). Pharmacokinetics trumps pharmacodynamics during cocaine choice: a reconciliation with the dopamine hypothesis of addiction. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *46*(2), 288-296.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41386-020-0786-9>

Chadha, S., & Seth, H. (2021). Ownership structure and capital structure: A panel data study. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*, *24*(3), 385-396.

<https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBIR.2021.113515>

Chen, H., Xu, Y., & Yang, J. (2021). Systematic risk, debt maturity, and the term structure of credit spreads. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *139*(3), 770-799.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2020.09.002>

Fitrianiingsih, Salam, A., & Aeni, H. (2021). Pengaruh current ratio, debt to equity ratio dan return on equity terhadap return saham pada perusahaan property dan real estate yang terdaftar di bursa efek indonesia. *Journal of economics Business and Accounting*, *4*(2), 547-556. [Online] Available: www.idx.co.id

Gouda, O. E., & El-Hoshy, S. H. (2020). Diagnostic technique for analysing the internal faults within power transformers based on sweep frequency response using adjusted r-square methodology. *IET Science, Measurement and Technology*, *14*(10), 1057-4068.

<https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-smt.2020.0048>

Jain, H., Kapoor, A., Sengar, M., Chanana, R., Menon, H., Sridhar, E., ... Gujral, S. (2021). Outcomes of Patients with Primary Mediastinal B-Cell Lymphoma Treated with Dose Adjusted R-EPOCH Regimen: A Single Centre Experience. *Indian Journal of Hematology and Blood Transfusion*, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12288-020-01372-y>

Jiang, X., Shen, J. H., Lee, C. C., & Chen, C. (2021). Supply-side structural reform and dynamic capital structure adjustment: Evidence from Chinese-listed firms. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, *65*, 101482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2020.101482>

Kadim, K., & Nardi, S. (2018). *Eviews Analysis; Determinan Tourism, Restaurant and HotelCompany's Soundness and Performance*. [Online] Available:

<http://www.repository.upi-yai.ac.id>

Knotters, M., & Brus, D. J. (2013). Purposive versus random sampling for map validation: a

case study on ecotope maps of floodplains in the Netherlands. *Ecohydrology*, 6(3), 425-434. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.1289>

Kurniawan, A. (2021). Analysis of the effect of return on asset, debt to equity ratio, and total asset turnover on share return. *Journal of industrial engineering & management research*, 2(1), 2722-8878. <https://doi.org/10.7777/jiemar>

Liu, Q., & Wang, L. (2021). t-Test and ANOVA for data with ceiling and/or floor effects. *Behavior Research Methods*, 53(1), 264-277. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-020-01407-2>

Melani, A. (2021). *The Resurrection of Retail Investors Continues in 2021, Daily Shares Transactions Translucent IDR 20 Trillion*. [Online] Available: <https://www.liputan6.com/saham/read/4481109/kebangkitan-investor-ritel-berlanjut-pada-2021-transaksi-harian-saham-tembus-rp-20-triliun>

Nukala, V. B., & Rao, S. S. (2021). Role of debt-to-equity ratio in project investment valuation, assessing risk and return in capital markets. *Future Business Journal*, 7(1), 1-23. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43093-021-00058-9>

O'Halloran, L., Littlewood, M., Richardson, D., Tod, D., & Nesti, M. (2018). Doing descriptive phenomenological data collection in sport psychology research. *Sport in Society*, 21(2), 302-313. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17430437.2016.1159199>

Ongan, S., Isik, C., & Ozdemir, D. (2020). Economic growth and environmental degradation: evidence from the US case environmental Kuznets curve hypothesis with application of decomposition. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 1-8. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21606544.2020.1756419>

Outram, J. G., Couperthwaite, S. J., Martens, W., & Millar, G. J. (2021). Application of non-linear regression analysis and statistical testing to equilibrium isotherms: Building an Excel template and interpretation. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 258, 118005. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2020.118005>

Owusu, F. B., & Alhassan, A. L. (2021). <scp>Asset-Liability</scp> Management and bank profitability: Statistical cost accounting analysis from an emerging market. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 26(1), 1488-1502. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.1860>

Pata, U. K., & Caglar, A. E. (2021). Investigating the EKC hypothesis with renewable energy consumption, human capital, globalization and trade openness for China: Evidence from augmented ARDL approach with a structural break. *Energy*, 216, 119220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.119220>

Pennacchi, G. G., & Santos, J. A. C. (2021). Why do banks target ROE? *Journal of Financial Stability*, 54, 100856. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2021.100856>

Safitri, K. (2020). *Closed 2020 Stock Trading, Retail Investors Support Capital Market Stability*. [Online] Available: <https://money.kompas.com/read/2020/12/30/184000526/perdagangan-saham-2020-ditutup-investor-retail-jadi-penopang-stabilitas-pasar>

Saha, A. (2021). The use of return on equity as a criterion for stock selection in the Indian equity markets. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1784, 12012.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1784/1/012012>

Sari, P., Silaban, M. J., Ruslan, D., & Suharianto, J. (2021). *An Attempt to Improve the EViews-Based Econometrics Learning*. Atlantis Press.
<https://doi.org/10.2991/AEBMR.K.210220.042>

Sidik, S. (2020). *Retail Investors: Rulers & Shock Breakers of the Indonesian Stock Exchange During Crisis*. [Online] Available:
<https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/market/20201124092800-17-204111/investor-ritel-penguasa-shock-breaker-bursa-ri-saat-krisis>

Situm, M. (2021). Determination of expected cost of equity with the CAPM: Theoretical extension using the law of error propagation. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 42(1), 77-84. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.3214>

Sony, B., & Bhaduri, S. (2021). Information asymmetry and financing choice between debt, equity and dual issues by Indian firms. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 72, 90-101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2020.11.001>

Taylor, M. D., Johnson, D. D., Nilsson, S., Lin, C. Y., Braeunig, J., Mueller, J., & Bowles, K. C. (2021). Trial of a novel experimental design to test depuration of PFASs from the edible tissues of Giant Mud Crab following exposure under natural conditions in the wild. *Science of the Total Environment*, 758, 143650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.143650>

Uly, Y. (2020, October 22). *Growing Rapidly in the Middle of a Pandemic, Capital Market Retail Investors Reach 4.16 Million*. [Online] Available:
<https://money.kompas.com/read/2020/10/22/075100826/tumbuh-pesat-di-tengah-pandemi-investor-ritel-pasar-modal-capai-4-16-juta>

Wulandari & Nurasik. (2015). Analysis of The Effect of Capital Structure Variables on Financial Performance in Food and Beverage Manufacturing Companies Registered in Indonesia Stock Exchange For. *Academicia Globe: Inderscience Research*, 2(04), 17-28. [Online] Available: <https://agir.academiascience.org/index.php/agir/article/view/48>

Yanto, E., Christy, I., & Cakranegara, P. A. (2021). The Influences of Return on Asset, Return on Equity, Net Profit Margin, Debt Equity Ratio and Current Ratio Toward Stock Price. *International Journal of Science, Technology & Management*, 2(1), 300-312.
<https://doi.org/10.46729/ijstm.v2i1.155>

Copyright Disclaimer

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).