

Investigating the Relationship between Trust and Intention to Purchase Online

Azahari Jamaludin (Corresponding author) Business School, Universiti Kuala Lumpur 1016 Jalan Sultan Ismail, 50250 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia E-mail: bjazahari@bis.unikl.edu.my

> Fais Ahmad College of Business Universiti Utara Malaysia Kedah, Malaysia

Received: January 28, 2013	Accepted: February 9, 2013	Published: February 12, 2013
doi:10.5296/bmh.v1i1.3253	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5	5296/bmh.v1i1.3253

Abstract

Nowadays, the usage of Internet has grown rapidly over the past years and it has become a common medium for delivering and trading of goods, services and information at global marketplace. Even though this method of business transaction or exchange has started to win the hearts of Malaysian buyers, the element influencing the willingness to purchase online is still unknown. Therefore, the objective of the current study is to examine the element that influence buyer's intention to purchase online (dependent variable). The independent component is trust to visit the website. A total number of 78 (100 percent) returned questionnaires were accepted, coded and were subject to further analysis. The finding support the hypothesis stated in the current study. The results indicates that website trust contributed 16.1 percent ($R^2 = 0.161$) to the variance in intention to purchase online.

Keywords: trust, website trust, intention to purchase online, e-commerce, online retail



1. Introduction

The globalization of the world economies has promoted great opportunity for businesses. Business through the Internet is one such untraditional form of business that many firms have turned to. According to Azahari, Raemah and Yasmin (2011), the internet market is vast and fast growing market therefore, the number of web sites has grown rapidly. The Internet has become a key medium for the purchase of product and services in virtual markets and has effectively linked all countries and businesses. Through the Internet, e-commerce offers a tremendously wide variety of e-commerce business opportunities. One of them is purchase online which has becoming the third most popular internet activity after e-mail or instant messaging and web browsing. Online shopping in Malaysia is a new technology breakthrough since it has just begun to assault the Malaysia retailing industry with online shopping services (Zuriahti Azura, 2010; Haque, Sadeghzadeh & Khatibi, 2006). According to Micheal (1998), consumers' attitude towards online shopping is known as the main factor that affects online shopping behavior. Attitude directly influence decision making and also is central to a buyer's shopping behavior. Therefore, it is important to recognize that numerous factors precede attitude formation change.

The process of consumers' decision-making on online shopping relates to the experiences and satisfaction they perceive while shopping. The Malaysian Internet users conducting online shopping seeking benefits such as cost saving, convenience, cheaper price, a way to easily search for information, and a twenty four hours services (Zuriahti Azura, 2010). Azahari, Raemah and Yasmin (2011) pointed out various consumers' motives affect attitude towards online shopping so Internet users become more used to this medium. So, to understand the driving forces toward online shopping and their relationship with attitude, it is important to recognize how they make their online purchase.

More than 75 percent of Malaysian especially the younger segments were using the internet for non-shopping activities such as seeking information, playing games, entertainment and many more (Khatibi, Haque & Karim, 2006). Further, Jariah, Husniyah, Laily and Britt (2004) claims that with the expansion of educational services in Malaysia, university staffs, lecturers and students becoming the most important target markets or target groups therefore, it will be of great significance to find out the factors which influence this target markets intention to purchase or to shop online if retailers want to expand their target markets of online buyers and the volume of e-commerce. Unfortunately, studies examining this target markets especially the university student behavior towards online shopping in the Malaysian environment are of a limited number (Zuriahti Azura, 2010). In addition, to the best knowledge of the researchers, empirical evidence based on this topic is not extensive, especially in Malaysia. Therefore, the objective of this study is to investigate the relationship between trust and intention to purchase online. Consequently the hypothesis stipulated for this study is as follow:

H1: There is a significant positive relationship between trust and the intention to purchase online.



2. Methodology and Research Design

The target population for this study is undergraduate students at Malaysian Institute of Information Technology (MIIT), Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. The sample size depends on the basic characteristic of the population, the cost involved and the type of information required. In this study, the sample focuses on the undergraduate students who had browsed at least one time any online shopping website. In ensuring that the instruments capture the desired response from the respondents, three factors were taken into consideration. Firstly, the general design of the questionnaire, secondly, the validation by pretesting and lastly the methodologies by which the questionnaires were administered (Hair, Money, Page & Samouel, 2007). The questionnaires were personally distributed to 78 undergraduate students selected randomly. Data was collected within a period of two weeks. All students returned the completed questionnaires for further analysis. The response rate was 100 percent. A total of 14 items were used to capture the subjects' assessment of website trust and intention to purchase online. Likert scale ranging from 1 for Strongly Disagrees and 5 for Strongly Agree are utilized. Further, conceptual definition for this study as follows.

Trust in purchase online - Jarvenpaa, Tractinsky & Vitale (2000) defines trust in the Internet store as a consumer's willingness to rely on the seller and take action in circumstances where such action makes the consumer vulnerable to the seller. According to Ba and Pavlou (2002), trust is a crucial variable that determines outcomes at different points in the process and serves as glue that holds the relationship together. In the e-commerce context, customers who do not trust an e-business will not be loyal to it even though they are generally satisfied with the e-business. Online trust simply refers to trust in a virtual environment. Trust exists in many forms, across multiple domains, and at a variety of levels (Chiu, Cheng & Fang, 2008). Other studies have proposed new methods of promoting trust in e-commerce such as agents and virtual reality technologies, government involvement and video-conferencing (Cheung & Lee, 2001). In addition, Lee and Turban (2001) found that the perceived integrity of an Internet merchant is positively related to customer trust in Internet shopping. Intention to purchase online - refer to consumer willingness to make a purchase in an Internet store (Li & Zhing, 2002).

Both descriptive and inferential statistics were used in this study. Descriptive analysis refers to analyzing the respondents' demographic elements and their responses towards every item, descriptive statistics including mean, frequency and percentage were used to show result. Other analysis methods are as follows:

• Pearson Correlation Analysis: to determine the relationship of all components, the tool to be used is correlation. Using this tool an analysis of relationship could be made for trust with intention to purchase online.

• Regression: current study will proceed with more detail analysis using regression method. Regression model is created from the study and the model is:

 $Y = a + \beta 1 X1$ where,

Y = Intention to Purchase Online



X1 = Trust

a = constant value of the intercept on the Y axis

3. Findings and Analysis

To assess the reliability of the measurement items of the components (trust and intention to purchase online) the researcher utilized the Cronbach's Alpha coefficient analysis to test the reliability of the instrument. The instrument was tested for internal reliability and the following Table 1 demonstrated the scaled generated.

Variables	No. of Item	Cronbach's Aplha Pilot study (N=40)	Cronbach's Aplha Actual study (N=78)
Intention to Purchase Online (Y)	7	0.89	0.82
Trust (X1)	7	0.83	0.79

Table 1. Overall internal reliability

The reliability tests indicate excellent reliability for all its components with a coefficient alpha of above 0.70 levels which exceeds the minimum acceptable level, as suggested by Nunnally and Berstein (1994). In order to ascertain that all the measurements in this study exhibit some degree of validity, content validity was conducted (Davis & Consenza, 1988) in the pre-tested stage by soliciting the expert opinions of two experts from a university. After necessary modifications, the scale was also pre-tested to a set of respondents similar to the population as suggested by Davis and Consenza (1988).

The following Table 2 depicted the demographic profile of respondents at MIIT, Universiti Kuala Lumpur. The sample indicates that male respondents represented a slightly higher percentage (55%) when compared to the female respondents (45%). A majority of the respondents were young between 23 to 27 years of age (78%) followed by those between 28 to 32 years old (12%), 18 to 22 years old (7%) and 33 to 37 years old (3%). A majority of the respondents were single (94.20%) followed by married respondents (5.8%). With reference to education program, all respondents are pursuing an undergraduate program. The majority of the respondents (80%) have purchased products through the Internet.

Variables	Number	Valid Percent (N=78)
Gender:		
Male	43	55
Female	35	45
Age:		
18 – 22	6	7
23 – 27	61	78
28 - 32	9	12
33 – 37	2	3

Table 2. Demographic profile of respondents



Marital Status			
Single	73	94.20	
Married	5	5.80	
Education Program			
Undergraduate	78	100	
Years of Experience with Internet			
1 - 2 years	2	2	
3-4 years	4	5	
5-6 years	9	12	
7-8 years	22	28	
9 – 10 years	27	35	
11 years and above	14	18	
Number of Times Product Bought on the Internet			
Never	16	20	
Seldom	37	48	
Occasionally	13	17	
Often	12	15	

The following Table 3 depicted the descriptive statistics for all items used in the model. Later all the items were computed into its mean average score to represent each variable in the study. Refer to Table 4.

Table 3. Questionnaire items

	Statement	Mean	Std. Deviation	
Tru	st			
1	Trusting online purchasing is not difficult	4.12	0.29	
2	I have positive experience in using the internet	4.23	0.35	
3	I feel safe in my transactions with the website	4.02	0.23	
4	I trust the website administration will keep my personal information			
	safe	3.87	0.67	
5	Internet shopping is unreliable	3.28	0.85	
6	Internet shopping cannot be trusted; there are too many			
	uncertainties	3.08	0.94	
7	I tend to trust online shopping even though I have little knowledge			
	of it	3.83	0.35	
Inte	ention to Purchase Online			
1	I will definitely buy products from this site in the near future	4.32	0.33	
2	It is likely that I will purchase through this site in the near future	4.20	0.42	
3	I expect to purchase through this site in the near future	4.15	0.45	
4	I will return to this website in the future	4.34	0.36	
5	I will consider purchasing from this website in the longer term 3.10 1.05			
6	I intended to purchase through this site in the near future	3.53	0.98	
7	I will consider purchasing from this website in the short term	3.63	0.69	



Variables	Ν	No. of	Minimum	Maximum	Actual Study
		Item	Score	Score	Mean (SD)
					N=78
Intention to					
Purchase					
Online (Y)	78	7	1.46	4.56	3.89(0.47)
Trust (X1)	78	7	1.34	5.00	3.78(0.42)

Table 4. Descriptive statistics of measures

Table 5 indicates that there is significant and positive relationship between trust and intention to purchase online. This means that trust has a strong relationship with intention to purchase online with coefficient value r = 0.54.

Table 5. Correlation results

Variable	Y	X1	
Intention to Purchase Online	1.00		
Trust	0.54**	1.00	

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Table 6 below summarized the regression results, trust accounted for 16.1 percent in the variance of Y (intention to purchase online). As a result, it was apparent that trust is consistently significant and this implies that trust could influence consumer intention to purchase online.

Table 6. Regression results

Dependent variable Y = Intention to Purchase online					
R=0.440					
$R^2 = 0.193$					
Adjusted R ² =0.193					
F Change = 7.311					
Sig. F=0.001***					
N=78					
Variables	$R^2\Delta$	В	Beta	Т	Sig.t
Constant		1.017		3.311	0.007**
Trust	0.161	0.334	0.301	2.718	0.003**

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)



4. Conclusion and Discussion

Trust appeared to be significant predictor of intention to purchase online. The higher the respondents trust the website, the higher the intention to purchase online. The finding of the current study supports the hypothesis that website trust has a significant positive relationship with intention to purchase online. However, there is a limited research about website trust toward intention to purchase online to support this finding. However, it can be assumed that when the respondents revisit the same website they basically trust the website. Therefore, the more frequently respondents revisit a website, the higher probability that they trust the website and buy from that website.

The outcome from current study will assist marketers to devise marketing plan and business strategies to attract more new buyers as well as retaining existing buyers to their online businesses. There are some issues that could be addressed to further improve or extend the conceptualization of the proposed model especially in the following areas: The unit of analysis in the current study was individual undergraduate students at MIIT, Universiti Kuala Lumpur. The improvement to the proposed model would be to differently define the unit of analysis as well as making some changes in the composition of the sample. By defining the unit of analysis by department, section or an organization, then it is possible that there would be more variation in the independent variable and dependent variable.

This study was conducted on the business to consumer market (B2C). It is reasonable to assume that business market would react differently to some extend. Investigating business to business market (B2B) attitude would improve further the understanding managers have of how to attract potential business buyers to their shopping sites.

References

Anderson, R. E., & Srini, S. S. (2003). E-Satisfaction and E-Loyalty: A ContingencyFramework.DrexelUniversity.Retrievedfromonlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/mar.10063/abstract

Azahari, J., Raemah, A. H., & Yasmin, Y. (2011). Developing Malaysian Micro Entrepreneur Through E-Business. 2nd International Conference on Business and Economic Research (ICBER), 14th-16th March 2011, Langkawi, Kedah, Malaysia. Retrieved from www.internationalconference.com.my/proceeding/2ndicber2011_proceeding/000_2ndICBER 2011_proceed

Ba, S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2002). Evidence of the Effect of Trust Building Technology in Electronic Markets: Price Premiums and Buyer Bahavior. *Management Information System Quarterly*, 26(3), 243-268. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/4132332

Cheung, C. M. K., & Lee, M. K. O. (2001). Trust in Internet Shopping: Instrument Development and Validation Through Classical and Modern Approaches. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 9(3), 23-35. http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/jgim.2001070103

Chiu, M. C., Cheng, H. L., & Fang, Y. H. (2008). Determinants of Customer Repurchase Intention in Online Shopping. *Online Information Review*, 33(4), 761-784.



http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/14684520910985710

Chummanond, N., & Rotchanakitumnuai, S. (2010). Determining the Online Purchasing Loyalty for Thai Herbal Products. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*. Retrieved from www.waset.org/journals/waset/v40/v40-83.pdf

Davis, D., & Consenza, R. M. (1988). *Business research for decision making* (2nd ed.). Boston: PWS – Kent Publishing House.

Gefen, D. (2002). E-Commerce: The Role of Familiarity and Trust, Omega. *The International Journal of Management Science*, 286, 725-737. Retrieved from fp1.cc.lehigh.edu/cmr4/jsis.pdf

Hair, J., Money, A., Page, M., & Samouel, P. (2007). Research Methods for Business. U.S.A:JohnWileyandSons.Retrievedfromwww.qub.ac.uk/schools/QueensUniversityManagementSchool/CurrentStudents/ModuleOutlines/MgtOutli.

Haque, A., Sadeghzadeh, J., & Khatibi, A. (2006). Identifying Potentiality Online Sales In Malaysia: A Study On Customer Relationships Online Shopping. *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 22(4), 119-130. Retrieved from www.feb.unimas.my/images/febwp/wps1009.pdf

Hausman, A. V., & Siekpe, J. E. (2009). The effect of web interface features on consumer online purchase intention. *Journal of Business Research*, 62, 5-13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.01.018

Ho, C., & Lee, Y. (2007). The development of an etravel service quality scale. *Tourism Management*, 28, 1434-1449. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2006.12.002

Jariah, M., Husniyah, A. R., Laily, P., & Britt, S. (2004). Financial Behavior and Problems Among University Students: Need for Financial Education. *Journal of Personal Finance*, *3*(1). Retrieved from www.ipfp.k-state.edu/documents/jpf/03/02/StudentFinanBehav.pdf

Jarvenpaa, S. L., Tractinsky, N., & Vitale, M. (2000). Consumer Trust in and Internet Store. *Information Technology and Management, 112*, 45-71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1019104520776

Khatibi, A., Haque, P., & Karim, K. (2006). E-Commerce: A Study on Internet Shopping in Malaysia. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, *6*, 696-705. http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/jas.2006.696.705

Lee, M. K. O., & Turban, E. (2001). A Trust Model for Consumer Internet Shopping. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 6(4), 75-91. Retrieved from journal-archieves25.webs.com/286-294.pdf

Li, N., & Zhang, P. (2002). Consumer Online Shopping Attitudes and Behavior: A

n Assessment of Research. *Eighth Americas Conference on Information Systems*, 508-517. Retrieved from ebiz.bm.nsysu.edu.tw/2013/yen/Refference/Online Purchase Intention.pdf



Lynch, P. D., Kent, R. J., & Srinivasan, S. S. (2001). The Global Internet Shopper: Evidence from Shopping Tasks in 12 Countries. *Journal of Advertising Research*. *41*(3), 15-23. Retrieved from www.emeraldinsight.com/journals.htm?articleid=1783263&show=html

Micheal, K. S. D. (1998). *E-shock the electronic shopping revolution: Strategies for retailers and manufacturers*. London: Mac Millan Business. Retrieved from www.ajbasweb.com/ajbas/2009/3452-3463.pdf

Nunnally, J. C., & Berstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychometric Theory*. (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw Hill. Retrieved from psychology.concordia.ca/fac/kline/Library/k99.pdf

Yoo, B., & Donthu, N. (2001). Developing Scale To Measure Perceive Quality of an Internet Shopping Sites (SITEQUAL). *Quarterly Journal of Electronic Commerce*. Retrieved from people.hofstra.edu/boonghee_yoo/papers/2001_qjec_sitequal.pdf

Zuriahti Azura, R. (2010). *The Influence of the Intention Online Purchase Among Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysian Institute of Information Technology Lecturer's and Staff's*. Unpublished Final Year Project, Malaysian Institute of Information Technology, Universiti Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Copyright Disclaimer

Copyright reserved by the author(s).

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).