

Executive Function Decay: Why Managerial Judgment Fails Under Pressure and How Organizations Can Respond

Douglas K. Peterson (Corresponding Author)

President, Research Prof Press: St. Louis

833 Douglas Street

Alton, IL 62002, USA

Tel: 1-730-230-9872 E-mail: peterston@researchprof.com

Received: July 22, 2026 Accepted: September 23, 2026 Published: October 30, 2026

doi:10.5296/bms.v17i2.24129 URL: <https://doi.org/10.5296/bms.v17i2.24129>

Abstract

Mental-health strain has become a routine feature of organizational life, yet managers and organizations continue to struggle to explain why capable employees make avoidable errors, why teams lose coordination under pressure, and why judgment quality deteriorates during periods of sustained stress. This article argues that executive function decay—the temporary or chronic degradation of working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility—is a central but underrecognized mechanism linking mental strain to workplace performance failures.

Drawing on research from cognitive neuroscience, clinical psychology, and organizational behavior, I explain how common forms of mental-health morbidity and burnout impair the cognitive capacities that support judgment, emotional regulation, and adaptive decision-making. I show how executive function decay produces predictable patterns of managerial behavior, team dysfunction, and organizational drift that are misattributed to motivation, attitude, or character.

The article introduces a multilevel framework connecting executive function impairment to outcomes at the individual, team, and organizational levels. I then translate this framework into practical implications for leaders and HR systems, highlighting how job design, leadership behavior, workload architecture, and organizational norms can either stabilize or erode cognitive capacity. By reframing mental health as a decision-quality and organizational design issue, this article offers managers and scholars a practical lens for improving judgment, coordination, and resilience under pressure.

Keywords: executive function, managerial cognition, soft skills, mental health, burnout; job stress

1. Introduction: Why Capable Organizations Make Predictable Mistakes

Across industries, leaders report a familiar but perplexing pattern. Employees who have consistently demonstrated competence, professionalism, and sound judgment begin making avoidable mistakes. Meetings that once produced clear decisions now drift or end inconclusively. Minor interpersonal tensions escalate unexpectedly. Teams that previously adapted well to change become rigid, cautious, or defensive. These changes are rarely abrupt; instead, they unfold gradually during periods of sustained pressure, prolonged uncertainty, or continuous change.

Traditional managerial explanations struggle to account for these patterns. Leaders often attribute declining performance to motivation, attitude, resilience, or skill deficits. Performance management systems reinforce these interpretations by emphasizing engagement, effort, and behavioral compliance. Yet these explanations frequently fail to explain why judgment deteriorates in systematic ways among experienced professionals who remain committed to their work and organizational goals.

Organizational research has made significant progress in documenting the prevalence and consequences of workplace stress, burnout, and mental-health challenges (Maslach & Leiter, 2022). These literatures have clarified ethical responsibilities, economic costs, and links to turnover and absenteeism. However, they often stop short of explaining how mental strain translates into the specific cognitive and behavioral failures managers observe in daily work: misjudgments, interpersonal volatility, rigid thinking, and coordination breakdowns. As a result, leaders are left with outcomes but not mechanisms.

This article argues that executive function decay (Diamond, 2013) provides a missing explanation. Executive function refers to the core cognitive capacities that allow individuals to maintain goals, regulate impulses and emotions, integrate information, and adapt to changing demands. These capacities—working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility—form the cognitive infrastructure of effective professional and managerial behavior. They determine not only what people know, but how effectively they use that knowledge under pressure.

When executive function is intact, individuals can reason through ambiguity, coordinate with others, and respond constructively to stress. When executive function deteriorates, even highly skilled and motivated employees struggle to sequence tasks, interpret signals accurately, and regulate emotional responses. These breakdowns appear in organizations as judgment errors, conflict escalation, sluggish decision-making, and defensive behavior—patterns that are widely observed but poorly understood.

A critical insight is that executive function is not a fixed personal trait. It fluctuates with stress, fatigue, emotional load, sleep disruption, and environmental design. Organizations therefore

play a powerful role in shaping cognitive capacity through workload structure, communication norms, leadership behavior, and cultural expectations. Environments characterized by constant interruption, unclear priorities, excessive task switching, or emotional volatility systematically erode executive function, increasing the likelihood of performance failures even among otherwise healthy employees.

Mental-health morbidity intensifies these effects. Conditions such as depression, anxiety, trauma-related stress, bipolar disorder, and burnout impair executive function through overlapping cognitive pathways. These impairments produce predictable patterns of behavior that are often attributed to disengagement, poor attitude, or lack of professionalism rather than to temporary capacity constraints.

To clarify these dynamics, this article develops a multilevel framework linking executive function decay to outcomes at the individual, team, and organizational levels. It then translates this framework into practical guidance for leaders and HR systems, showing how organizational design choices can stabilize cognitive capacity and improve judgment quality. In doing so, the article reframes mental health as a core business issue rather than solely an illness concern.

2. Executive Function as the Cognitive Infrastructure of Work

Executive function describes a set of higher-order cognitive processes that enable goal-directed behavior in complex, demanding environments. Although often discussed in clinical or developmental contexts, executive function is fundamental to everyday work performance. It allows employees and managers to plan, prioritize, regulate emotion, integrate information, and adapt to change in real time (Diamond, 2013; Miyake et al., 2000).

2.1 Core Components of Executive Function

Most models describe executive function as comprising three interrelated components: working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility. These components operate as an integrated system rather than as isolated skills, and degradation in one area often cascades into others.

Working memory allows individuals to hold and manipulate information while coordinating multiple demands. In organizational settings, working memory enables managers to track priorities across meetings, integrate data from multiple sources, and maintain a coherent understanding of evolving situations. It supports planning, sequencing, and strategic reasoning. When working memory is strained, individuals lose track of commitments, overlook task dependencies, and become overwhelmed by information volume rather than insight. Decision quality suffers not because of ignorance, but because relevant information cannot be held and integrated simultaneously.

Inhibitory control enables individuals to suppress impulsive reactions, filter distractions, and regulate emotional responses. It underpins professionalism, patience, and composure. In managerial contexts, inhibitory control allows leaders to pause before responding, manage

frustration, and resist premature closure in decision-making. When inhibitory control declines, behavior becomes more reactive, emotionally driven, and less deliberate. Small provocations trigger disproportionate responses, and emotionally charged cues dominate reasoning.

Cognitive flexibility supports perspective shifting, updating mental models, and adapting to changing conditions. It enables learning, creativity, and strategic adjustment. Managers rely on cognitive flexibility to revise assumptions, integrate feedback, and pivot when conditions change. When flexibility declines, thinking becomes rigid and defensive. Individuals cling to familiar interpretations and resist disconfirming evidence, even when change is clearly required.

Together, these capacities form the cognitive engine behind judgment and decision-making at work. They allow individuals to balance competing demands, regulate emotional responses, and coordinate action in uncertain environments. Executive function is therefore not an abstract psychological construct but a practical performance resource that organizations depend on daily.

3. Executive Function and Managerial Judgment Under Pressure

Managerial work places unusually high demands on executive function. Leaders must integrate competing information streams, regulate emotional responses, anticipate downstream consequences, and coordinate with others—often simultaneously and under time pressure. While technical expertise and experience matter, judgment quality in these contexts depends heavily on the stability of executive function.

Consider a senior leadership team navigating a period of market disruption. When executive function is robust, leaders can tolerate ambiguity, explore alternatives, and delay closure until sufficient information is available. Discussion remains exploratory rather than defensive. When executive function decays, conversations narrow quickly. Leaders gravitate toward familiar solutions, dismiss dissenting perspectives, and seek premature certainty. Decisions may feel decisive, but they are often poorly calibrated to actual conditions.

These distortions follow predictable patterns. Working-memory lapses lead to missed details, forgotten commitments, and poor integration of information across meetings. Leaders revisit the same issues repeatedly without resolution because prior discussions are not effectively retained or synthesized. Inhibitory-control failures produce impulsive decisions, irritability, and emotional overreactions to minor setbacks. Cognitive-flexibility declines increase defensiveness, confirmation bias, and resistance to new information.

Importantly, these failures are systematic rather than idiosyncratic. They appear across individuals and organizations under similar conditions of sustained strain. Yet organizations often misinterpret them as motivation problems or personality flaws. Performance feedback emphasizes engagement, toughness, or attitude, while the underlying cognitive constraints remain unaddressed. This misattribution frequently leads to management practices that intensify pressure and further degrade executive function (Gilbert, 1998; Edmondson, 1999).

Executive function also underpins interpersonal effectiveness. Perspective taking, conflict management, and social cue interpretation all require cognitive resources. When those resources are depleted, managers may appear aloof, volatile, or insensitive—not because of disposition, but because the cognitive system supporting relational behavior is compromised. Over time, these interpersonal breakdowns erode trust and psychological safety, further amplifying cognitive strain throughout teams.

4. Executive Function as a Dynamic, Context-Sensitive Capacity

A critical implication for managers is that executive function fluctuates with context. Stress, fatigue, emotional strain, sleep disruption, and environmental unpredictability all reduce prefrontal cognitive efficiency. Even psychologically healthy individuals experience executive function degradation during periods of overload.

Executive function is therefore best understood as a state–capacity hybrid: shaped by both baseline ability and moment-to-moment environmental demands. Organizations become active participants in shaping cognitive capacity through work design, leadership behavior, and cultural norms.

High-interruption workflows, constant digital communication, unclear priorities, and crisis-driven management styles repeatedly tax executive function. Under these conditions, employees shift toward reactive, habitual processing. Judgment becomes faster but shallower. Decision-making prioritizes immediate relief over long-term consequences. Because recovery of executive function is gradual, chronic organizational pressure can produce sustained impairment even in the absence of a diagnosable mental-health condition (Arnsten, 2009; Hockey, 2013; Shields et al., 2016).

This dynamic view helps explain why mental-health strain has such profound organizational consequences. Psychological symptoms interact with environmental demands, amplifying cognitive risk. Understanding executive function as context-sensitive allows organizations to intervene through design rather than diagnosis.

5. How Mental-Health Strain Impairs Executive Function

Although mental-health conditions differ diagnostically, many impair executive function through overlapping cognitive pathways that are highly relevant to organizational life. Rather than producing isolated emotional symptoms, mental-health strain alters the cognitive capacities that support judgment, emotional regulation, and adaptive behavior. These effects are not random. They follow predictable patterns that can be observed across individuals and work contexts.

Depression, for example, reduces executive function primarily by constraining working-memory capacity and increasing rumination. Persistent negative thought patterns consume cognitive bandwidth that would otherwise be available for planning, problem-solving, and integrating information. Employees experiencing depression may struggle to hold task goals in mind, track multiple priorities, or sustain focus across complex activities. In

organizational settings, this often appears as indecision, slowed reasoning, difficulty initiating tasks, or withdrawal from cognitively demanding work. These behaviors are frequently misinterpreted as disengagement or lack of effort, even when motivation remains intact (Snyder, 2013).

Anxiety impairs executive function through heightened vigilance and threat sensitivity. Attention becomes disproportionately allocated to perceived risks, uncertainties, or potential negative outcomes. This attentional capture leaves few resources available for working-memory operations and deliberative reasoning. Inhibitory control also declines as worry intrudes into cognitive workspace, making it harder to regulate emotional reactions or suppress impulsive responses. In practice, anxiety-related executive function impairment often manifests as over checking, micromanagement, avoidance of decision-making, or excessive reassurance seeking. These behaviors may be mistaken for conscientiousness or risk aversion, masking the underlying cognitive strain. Attentional control theory describes this shift as reduced efficiency in the goal-directed attentional system under anxiety (Eysenck et al., 2007).

Trauma-related stress further disrupts executive function by increasing physiological arousal and emotional reactivity. Intrusive thoughts, heightened startle responses, and hypervigilance interfere with sequencing and task maintenance. Cognitive flexibility is often reduced as trauma-related schemas constrain interpretation of ambiguous cues. In organizational contexts, this can appear as inconsistent performance, difficulty adapting to change initiatives, or heightened sensitivity to feedback. These patterns are frequently puzzling to managers because they fluctuate across situations rather than remaining stable. Reviews of post-traumatic stress disorder report corresponding difficulties in inhibition, attention, working memory, and cognitive flexibility, although effects vary across tasks and samples (Aupperle et al., 2012).

Bipolar disorder introduces state-dependent variability in executive function. During manic or hypomanic phases, inhibitory control declines, increasing impulsivity, risk-taking, and premature decision-making. Working memory may become overloaded by accelerated thought patterns, impairing sequencing and follow-through. During depressive phases, executive function impairments resemble those observed in major depression. Across cycles, performance may appear unpredictable, leading organizations to misinterpret variability as unreliability or poor professionalism rather than as illness-related capacity fluctuation. The degree and profile of impairment vary by mood state, medication, illness course, and individual differences, so managers should not infer a diagnosis from observed performance.

Burnout deserves particular attention because it emerges directly from chronic work conditions and disproportionately affects high performers. Unlike acute stress, burnout represents a progressive depletion of executive resources. As burnout deepens, working memory erodes, inhibitory control declines, and cognitive flexibility declines. Individuals become increasingly reactive, rigid, and error prone. Because burnout develops gradually and is normalized in high-demand environments, its cognitive effects are frequently misread as loss of commitment, declining engagement, or attitude problems rather than as capacity failure (Maslach & Leiter, 2022; Schaufeli et al., 2020).

Across conditions, the common outcome is executive function decay: reduced cognitive capacity, weaker emotional regulation, and narrower adaptability. These impairments translate directly into observable workplace behavior.

Table 1. Executive Function Impairment and Observable Workplace Behavior

Component	Cognitive Effect	Typical Manifestation
Working Memory	Rumination and reduced capacity under load	Missed details, poor follow-through, repeated discussions
Inhibitory Control	Lowered emotional gating	Irritability, impulsive decisions, conflict escalation
Cognitive Flexibility	Narrowed interpretation	Rigidity, defensiveness, resistance to change

This table highlights a central contribution of the executive function framework: mental-health strain produces predictable cognitive impairments, which in turn produce predictable patterns of managerial and organizational behavior.

6. Multilevel Consequences of Executive Function Decay

Executive function decay does not remain confined to the individual experiencing strain. Because work is inherently interdependent, cognitive impairment at one level cascades across teams and organizations, amplifying its impact.

6.1 Individual-Level Consequences

At the individual level, executive function impairment degrades judgment accuracy, emotional regulation, and task sequencing. Employees become more error-prone, slower to adapt, and more reactive under stress. They may struggle to prioritize effectively, miss deadlines, or respond defensively to feedback. Importantly, these behaviors often emerge despite sustained effort and concern for performance outcomes.

As individuals attempt to compensate for declining cognitive capacity, they often increase effort, extend work hours, or rely on rigid routines. While these strategies may temporarily mask impairment, they typically accelerate exhaustion and further degrade executive function. Over time, individuals experience frustration, shame, and declining confidence as their ability to meet expectations erodes. These internal dynamics increase withdrawal and self-isolation, reducing access to social support that might otherwise buffer cognitive strain.

6.2 Team-Level Consequences

At the team level, executive function decay disrupts coordination, communication, and psychological safety. Missed handoffs, inconsistent information sharing, and emotional volatility increase friction among team members. Teammates expend additional cognitive and

emotional effort compensating for instability, redistributing work informally to maintain performance.

Inhibitory-control deficits contribute to conflict escalation. Ambiguous cues are more likely to be interpreted negatively when cognitive resources are depleted, increasing defensiveness and misattribution. Over time, psychological safety erodes as team members become reluctant to speak openly, share concerns, or propose novel ideas. Learning slows, creativity declines, and coordination costs increase.

Executive function impairment also undermines team adaptability. Cognitive flexibility is essential for reframing problems, adjusting strategies, and responding to change. When one or more team members experience reduced flexibility, the group becomes more brittle, relying on familiar routines even when conditions require adaptation. These effects compound as stress spreads socially through emotional contagion, amplifying cognitive strain across the team.

6.3 Organizational-Level Consequences

At the organizational level, widespread or prolonged executive function decay produces systemic consequences that affect decision-making quality, culture, and strategic resilience. When leaders experience executive function impairment, the effects are magnified. Judgment errors, reduced perspective-taking, and narrow attentional scope distort priority setting, resource allocation, and risk assessment.

Organizations under cognitive strain often exhibit strategic drift. Decisions become reactive rather than integrative, responding to immediate pressures rather than coherent long-term goals. Control systems proliferate as leaders attempt to manage increasing variability through surveillance, metrics, and procedural constraints. While these responses may provide short-term order, they further tax executive function and reduce adaptability.

Cultural effects are equally significant. Environments characterized by cognitive depletion tend to exhibit declining civility, reduced trust, and heightened defensiveness. Informal knowledge sharing declines as individuals conserve cognitive resources. Innovation stalls as risk tolerance collapses and exploratory thinking gives way to routine execution. Over time, these patterns undermine organizational resilience and competitive capacity.

Table 2. Multilevel Effects of Executive Function Decay

Level	Primary Effects	Org. Outcomes
Individual	Judgment errors, emotional reactivity	Performance variability, burnout
Team	Coordination breakdowns, conflict	Reduced safety, learning, creativity
Organization	Strategic rigidity, control escalation	Slow response, innovation decline

7. Propositions and Measurable Hypotheses

The framework yields eight propositions that connect psychological strain, work conditions, executive function, and multilevel organizational outcomes. Each proposition is paired with one measurable hypothesis. The hypotheses specify a unit of analysis, observable variables, a direction of association, and a time frame or comparison suitable for empirical testing.

Proposition 1. Increases in psychological strain reduce an employee's available executive-function capacity (Arnsten, 2009; Shields et al., 2016).

Hypothesis 1. Within employees, an increase in weekly burnout scores from baseline will predict a decrease one week later in a standardized composite of working-memory, inhibitory-control, and cognitive-flexibility task scores, controlling for baseline executive-function performance.

Proposition 2. Cognitively fragmented work conditions impair executive function independently of an employee's baseline psychological strain.

Hypothesis 2. Employees randomly assigned to a high-interruption work condition will obtain lower post-task executive-function composite scores than employees assigned to a low-interruption condition, controlling for pre-task scores and baseline burnout.

Proposition 3. Executive-function capacity contributes to managerial decision quality beyond technical expertise and experience (Diamond, 2013; Miyake et al., 2000).

Hypothesis 3. Managers' executive-function composite scores will positively predict blinded expert ratings of decision quality on standardized managerial scenarios after controlling for managerial tenure, education, and domain-knowledge scores.

Proposition 4. Executive-function decay transmits part of the effect of psychological strain to observable work errors.

Hypothesis 4. The indirect effect of baseline burnout on the number of documented work errors during the following eight weeks through week-four executive-function composite scores will be statistically different from zero.

Proposition 5. When executive-function capacity declines across team members, coordination reliability deteriorates.

Hypothesis 5. A team's mean executive-function composite score will negatively predict its rate of missed handoffs and rework during the subsequent quarter, controlling for team workload, size, and task interdependence.

Proposition 6. A leader's executive-function capacity shapes the team's psychological safety and willingness to surface problems (Edmondson, 1999).

Hypothesis 6. Leader executive-function composite scores will positively predict team psychological-safety scores three months later, controlling for baseline psychological safety, team size, and leader tenure.

Proposition 7. Widespread executive-function decay within an organizational unit produces strategic rigidity and slower adaptation.

Hypothesis 7. The proportion of unit members scoring in the lowest quartile of the executive-function composite will predict longer median response time to externally triggered operational changes during the following six months, controlling for unit size and environmental volatility.

Proposition 8. Work-design practices that reduce interruption, clarify priorities, and protect recovery stabilize executive function and improve performance.

Hypothesis 8. Over a 12-week field trial, units receiving a cognitive-load-reduction intervention will show a smaller decline in executive-function composite scores and a lower documented error rate than matched control units, controlling for baseline values.

8. Why Soft Skills Fail Under Pressure

Managers frequently observe that “soft skills” deteriorate under stress. Employees who were once effective communicators become curt or withdrawn. Leaders known for composure become reactive. Teams that collaborated well become guarded and defensive. These changes are attributed to declining motivation or emotional intelligence.

Executive function clarifies this phenomenon. Soft skills are behavioral expressions; executive function is the cognitive capacity that enables those behaviors. Active listening requires working memory to track conversational cues and integrate perspectives. Conflict management requires inhibitory control to regulate emotional reactions. Adaptability requires cognitive flexibility to revise assumptions and shift strategies.

When executive function decays, soft skills fail even when values, motivation, and interpersonal intent remain intact. This distinction is critical because it shifts interpretation from character to capacity. Rather than asking why people are not trying harder, leaders can ask whether cognitive conditions support effective behavior.

Recognizing this distinction reduces blame and stigma. It also directs attention toward organizational interventions that preserve cognitive capacity rather than relying solely on individual self-regulation.

9. Measuring Cognitive Abilities and Mental Health

Executive-function measures differ in purpose, expertise required, and interpretive limits. Performance tasks can support research or evaluate a voluntary program, while aggregate workplace surveys can identify patterns in workload and burnout risk. Clinical symptom screeners and diagnostic interviews serve different purposes and belong under qualified health professionals, not supervisors or ordinary performance-management systems (American Psychiatric Association, 2022). Tables 3 and 4 connect each class of instrument to an appropriate organizational application and boundary.

No single task provides a complete or context-free measure of executive function. Results are sensitive to administration conditions, fatigue, practice effects, and the specific cognitive process emphasized by the task. Organizations should use validated protocols, qualified researchers, informed consent, and group-level reporting when these measures are used for program evaluation (Diamond, 2013; Miyake et al., 2000).

Table 3. Executive-Function Measures and Appropriate Organizational Uses

Measure family	Examples	Possible use	organizational	Required boundary
Working memory	Digit Span, AOSPAN, Voluntary spatial working-memory tasks	pre/post evaluation of work-design intervention	research orDo not use a single score for hiring, promotion, discipline, or diagnosis	
Inhibitory control	Stroop, Stop-Signal, and Go/No-Go tasks	Research on interruption, fatigue, or recovery conditions	and qualified interpretation; avoid individual employment decisions	
Cognitive flexibility	Wisconsin Card Sorting Test and Trail Making Part B	Research on adaptation demands or intervention effects	Account for education, age, disability, language, and practice effects	
Planning and decision processes	Tower of London and Game of Dice Task	Research on planning or decisions under uncertainty	Treat results as task-specific evidence, not a global judgment of competence	

Table 4. Well-Being and Clinical Measures with Ethical Boundaries

Instrument class	Examples	Appropriate use	Ethical boundary
Workplace burnout survey	Burnout Assessment Tool (Schaufeli et al., 2020)	Voluntary assessment of aggregate conditions and intervention outcomes	Protect confidentiality; do not label or penalize individuals from survey scores
General affect or well-being measure	PANAS and Psychological Well-Being Scale	Optional, de-identified climate or program evaluation	Collect only for a defined purpose; report groups large enough to protect identity
Clinical symptom screener	BDI-II and STAI	Use only within an authorized health, disability, or research process	Requires appropriate qualifications, consent, secure records, and a referral pathway; supervisors should not administer or interpret
Diagnostic interview	SCID-5	Clinical diagnosis or research	Not a management instrument; only trained clinicians or qualified researchers should administer it (First et al., 2015)

For managers, the appropriate unit of intervention is usually the work system rather than a presumed diagnosis. Employers can monitor meeting load, schedule volatility, after-hours communication, error patterns, and aggregate burnout indicators without asking supervisors to interpret clinical symptoms. Participation in any individual assessment should be voluntary, data should be separated from routine personnel decisions, and employees should receive clear information about purpose, access, retention, and referral options. Accommodation and

disability processes should remain with qualified HR, occupational-health, or clinical personnel and comply with applicable law.

10. What Organizations Can Do to Stabilize Executive Function

Because executive function is highly sensitive to context, many effective interventions fall squarely within managerial and organizational control. Stabilizing executive function does not require clinical expertise. It requires intentional design of work, leadership behavior, and HR systems.

10.1 Job and Work Design

Work design is one of the most powerful levers for stabilizing executive function. Excessive task switching, unclear priorities, and constant interruptions place heavy demands on working memory and inhibitory control. Redesigning work to reduce cognitive fragmentation preserves executive resources.

Practical strategies include batching similar tasks, limiting unnecessary meetings, protecting uninterrupted focus time, and clarifying role expectations. Predictable workflows reduce the cognitive effort required to interpret shifting demands. Incorporating recovery cycles—such as breaks, pacing norms, and variation in task intensity—allows executive function to replenish across the workday.

10.2 Leadership Behavior

Leaders shape cognitive climate through their behavior. Inconsistent expectations, emotional volatility, and crisis-driven pacing increase cognitive turbulence, forcing employees to devote executive resources to interpreting signals rather than executing work. In contrast, leaders who provide clarity, emotional containment, and predictable pacing reduce cognitive load.

Psychological safety is especially important. Environments where employees feel safe to speak openly reduce vigilance and free cognitive bandwidth for learning and coordination. Leaders who respond constructively to early signs of struggle help prevent shame-based rumination that further erodes executive function.

10.3 HR Systems

HR systems often emphasize output and behaviors without accounting for underlying cognitive capacity. Integrating an executive-function lens into performance management encourages supervisors to interpret inconsistency as a potential capacity issue rather than an effort problem. This shift supports earlier intervention and reduces reliance on punitive responses.

Training programs can build metacognitive skills, emotion regulation strategies, and workload management techniques that buffer executive function. Policies that protect recovery time—such as boundaries around after-hours communication and meeting load—also stabilize cognitive capacity.

When HR systems serve as cognitive infrastructure, organizations become more capable of sustaining performance under pressure.

11. Implications for Managers and Organizations

Viewing mental health through the lens of executive function has several important implications. First, it reframes performance variability as a capacity issue rather than a motivational one. Second, it highlights the role of organizational design in shaping cognitive outcomes. Third, it provides leaders with practical, non-stigmatizing language for discussing mental strain and supporting employees.

Most importantly, it positions executive function as a strategic resource. Organizations that systematically erode cognitive capacity undermine their own judgment, coordination, and adaptability. Those that protect and stabilize executive function enhance decision quality and resilience.

12. Conclusion

Organizations rarely fail because people stop caring. They fail because cognitive capacity collapses under unmanaged strain. Executive function decay explains why judgment falters, coordination breaks down, and adaptability erodes in predictable ways.

By treating executive function as a core performance resource, leaders can move beyond blame and stigma toward organizational designs that preserve cognitive capacity. In doing so, organizations improve not only employee well-being but the quality of decisions on which long-term performance depends.

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-assisted Technologies in the writing process

AI tools supported this article primarily through cross-disciplinary literature searches and clerical assistance. ChatGPT (GPT-5, Deep Research, and DALL-E IV) was used for deep chronological and thematic reference tracing, identification of review and meta-analytic work, APA-7 formatting, and generation of four conceptual figures. Perplexity and Claude were used to identify across-discipline additional sourcing, missed sources, assessment of cross-disciplinary weaknesses, and evaluation of journal fit. Together, these tools supported literature discovery, conceptual refinement, and publication strategy rather than substantive authorship.

Acknowledgments

Author disclosure. The author is not a clinician and does not provide mental-health diagnosis or treatment. The arguments advanced in this article are grounded in established research across cognitive science, clinical psychology, and organizational behavior. Any references to lived experience serve only as contextual motivation for the research questions and do not constitute evidence for the claims advanced herein.

Author contributions

Not applicable.

Funding

The author discloses that the work was entirely funded by the author.

Competing interests

The author discloses there were no conflicts of interest

Informed consent

Obtained.

Ethics approval

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Macrothink Institute.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Open access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

References

- American Psychiatric Association. (2022). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed., text rev.). <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.books.9780890425787>
- Arnsten, A. F. T. (2009). Stress signalling pathways that impair prefrontal cortex structure and function. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(6), 410–422. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2648>
- Aupperle, R. L., Melrose, A. J., Stein, M. B., & Paulus, M. P. (2012). Executive function and PTSD: Disengaging from trauma. *Neuropharmacology*, 62(2), 686–694.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.02.008>

Diamond, A. (2013). Executive functions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 64, 135–168. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-113011-143750>

Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350–383. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666999>

Eysenck, M. W., Derakshan, N., Santos, R., & Calvo, M. G. (2007). Anxiety and cognitive performance: Attentional control theory. *Emotion*, 7(2), 336–353. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.7.2.336>

First, M. B., Williams, J. B. W., Karg, R. S., & Spitzer, R. L. (2015). *Structured clinical interview for DSM-5 disorders, research version (SCID-5-RV)*. American Psychiatric Association Publishing.

Gilbert, D. T. (1998). Ordinary personology. In D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (4th ed., Vol. 2, pp. 89–150). McGraw-Hill.

Hockey, G. R. J. (2013). *The psychology of fatigue: Work, effort and control*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139015394>

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2022). *The burnout challenge: Managing people's relationships with their jobs*. Harvard University Press. <https://doi.org/10.4159/9780674287297>

Miyake, A., Friedman, N. P., Emerson, M. J., Witzki, A. H., Howerter, A., & Wager, T. D. (2000). The unity and diversity of executive functions and their contributions to complex “frontal lobe” tasks: A latent variable analysis. *Cognitive Psychology*, 41(1), 49–100. <https://doi.org/10.1006/cogp.1999.0734>

Schaufeli, W. B., Desart, S., & De Witte, H. (2020). Burnout Assessment Tool (BAT)—Development, validity, and reliability. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(24), Article 9495. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249495>

Shields, G. S., Sazma, M. A., & Yonelinas, A. P. (2016). The effects of acute stress on core executive functions: A meta-analysis and comparison with cortisol. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 68, 651–668. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.06.038>

Snyder, H. R. (2013). Major depressive disorder is associated with broad impairments on neuropsychological measures of executive function: A meta-analysis and review. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 33(1), 81–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2012.10.002>

Glossary

Burnout. A work-related condition characterized by exhaustion, mental distance or cynicism, and reduced effectiveness.

Cognitive flexibility. The executive-function capacity to shift perspectives, update mental models, and adapt behavior as conditions change.

Distributed executive function. The coordination of planning, monitoring, inhibition, and adaptation across people and organizational systems rather than within one individual alone.

Executive function. Higher-order cognitive processes that support goal-directed behavior, including working memory, inhibitory control, and cognitive flexibility.

Executive function decay. A context-sensitive reduction in executive-function capacity associated with stress, fatigue, psychological strain, or cognitively fragmented work.

Inhibitory control. The capacity to suppress impulsive responses, filter distractions, and regulate emotional reactions.

Managerial cognition. The mental processes managers use to interpret information, exercise judgment, make decisions, and coordinate action.

Psychological safety. A shared belief that interpersonal risk taking, including raising concerns and admitting mistakes, is safe within a team.

Working memory. The capacity to hold and manipulate information while completing a task or coordinating multiple demands.