AI-Era Business Education in Economics: Reimagining Learning and Thinking

Jingyo Suh

Dept. of Accounting, Economics, & Finance, Tuskegee University

Tuskegee, AL 36830

Tel: 1-334-727-8803 E-mail: jsuh@tuskegee.edu

Abstract

As artificial intelligence (AI) becomes increasingly embedded in business decision-making, economics education must undergo a fundamental transformation to remain relevant and effective. This paper explores how AI is reshaping the landscape of business practice and argues for a reimagining of economics education that prepares students not only to understand economic theory but also to collaborate with intelligent systems. We identify three key paradigm shifts essential for this transformation: moving from disciplinary silos to interdisciplinary synthesis, redefining the instructor's role from knowledge transmitter to mentor and ethical guide, and embracing AI as a cognitive partner rather than a passive tool.

These shifts have significant implications for curriculum design, assessment strategies, and instructional practices. We propose integrating AI tools into coursework, fostering critical evaluation of AI-generated outputs, and embedding ethical reasoning into the learning process. Innovative pedagogical approaches -such as project-based learning, AI-informed feedback loops, and ethics diaries -are highlighted as effective strategies for cultivating the skills needed in an AI-augmented economy.

The paper concludes with a call for comprehensive faculty development and institutional support to ensure educators are equipped to guide students through this evolving landscape. By embracing these changes, economics education can empower future business leaders to think critically, act ethically, and lead confidently in a world increasingly shaped by artificial intelligence.

Keywords: AI in education, economics pedagogy, interdisciplinary learning, AI-assisted instruction, business education reform, critical thinking, digital literacy

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) has swiftly evolved from a futuristic concept into a powerful, everyday reality that is reshaping industries across the globe. In the business world, AI is no longer a niche tool -it is now central to how organizations analyze data, forecast trends, manage customer relationships, and make strategic decisions (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). This transformation is not just technological; it is epistemological. The way knowledge is generated, interpreted, and applied in business contexts is undergoing a fundamental shift. For economics education, this presents both a challenge and an opportunity.

Historically, innovations like spreadsheets and statistical software enhanced the economist's toolkit, but they did not fundamentally alter the nature of economic reasoning. In contrast, today's generative AI tools -such as ChatGPT, Claude, and Gemini -are capable of producing not just data summaries, but full-fledged analyses, arguments, and strategic recommendations (Floridi & Chiriatti, 2020). Students are now engaging with AI-generated content that mimics human reasoning, raising new questions about how we teach, learn, and think in the field of economics.

This shift demands a reimagining of business education. Traditional curricula, which often emphasize theoretical models and discipline-specific knowledge, may no longer be sufficient. Future economists and business leaders must be equipped not only to understand economic principles but also to collaborate with intelligent systems. This includes the ability to critically assess AI-generated outputs, synthesize insights from multiple disciplines, and apply ethical reasoning in complex, data-rich environments.

To meet these demands, economics education must evolve in three key ways. First, pedagogy must shift from a focus on content delivery to the development of higher-order thinking skills -such as critical analysis, interdisciplinary integration, and ethical judgment. Second, instructors must transition from being sole sources of knowledge to becoming facilitators who guide students in navigating and questioning AI-generated insights (Mollick & Mollick, 2023). Third, AI itself must be embraced not as a threat, but as a cognitive partner -an extension of human capability that, when used thoughtfully, can enhance creativity, problem-solving, and strategic thinking (Aiyar et al., 2023).

This paper explores how economics education can be redesigned to prepare students for this new reality. It argues for a proactive, interdisciplinary, and ethically grounded approach to teaching and learning -one that empowers students to thrive in an AI-augmented business world and to lead with both intelligence and integrity.

2. Paradigm Shift 1: From Disciplinary Boundaries to Interdisciplinary Synthesis

The economic challenges of the 21st century -ranging from the dominance of digital platforms and the complexities of climate finance to the social disruptions caused by rapid technological change -are increasingly multifaceted and interconnected. These issues rarely fall neatly within the confines of a single academic discipline. Yet, economics education has traditionally remained siloed, emphasizing mastery of core theories and quantitative techniques within a narrowly defined framework. While this foundation is important, it often

limits students' ability to fully grasp the broader context of real-world problems or to design solutions that account for the diverse perspectives and systems involved (Brown, Recker, & Varga, 2020; Colander & Kupers, 2014).

This limitation becomes especially apparent in the context of AI's growing role in business. AI-driven challenges and opportunities are inherently interdisciplinary. Solving them requires more than economic reasoning -it demands the integration of insights from computer science, psychology, law, ethics, and beyond. For example, algorithmic pricing in e-commerce may begin with economic models of supply and demand, but its real-world implementation also involves algorithm design, consumer behavior analysis, and legal compliance with antitrust regulations. Similarly, AI-based credit scoring systems require not only financial risk assessment but also an understanding of algorithmic bias, social equity, and ethical responsibility (Eubanks, 2018).

To prepare students for this complexity, economics education must embrace interdisciplinary synthesis. This means designing learning experiences that encourage students to draw connections across fields, think holistically, and approach problems from multiple angles. It's not just about adding more content -it's about reshaping how students learn to think.

Curricular innovation is key. Integrating modules in behavioral science and economic anthropology, for instance, can help students explore how AI influences consumption patterns and economic behavior within different cultural and social contexts. These perspectives enrich traditional economic analysis by adding depth and nuance. Similarly, experiential learning opportunities like "policy hackathons" can bring students from diverse disciplines together to tackle real-world challenges -such as regulating AI in fintech, healthcare, or the gig economy. These collaborative projects require students to blend economic reasoning with legal analysis, ethical reflection, and technological feasibility.

Another powerful tool is systems thinking. By using sociotechnical system mapping, students can visualize how AI-driven decisions ripple through economic systems, creating feedback loops and emergent outcomes. This approach moves beyond linear cause-and-effect models, helping students understand the dynamic interplay between technology, society, and the economy.

Ultimately, fostering interdisciplinary thinking equips students with the intellectual agility and critical perspective needed to navigate the AI era. It prepares them not only to analyze complex problems but to lead in designing sustainable, inclusive, and forward-thinking solutions in a world where economics is deeply intertwined with technology and society.

3. Paradigm Shift 2: Instructor as Evaluator, Mentor, and Ethical Guide

The rise of generative AI -particularly large language models (LLMs) -has dramatically altered the educational landscape, challenging the traditional role of instructors as the primary source of knowledge and authority in the classroom. As Mollick and Mollick (2023) observe, students can now generate essays, reports, and even economic analyses that are coherent, persuasive, and stylistically polished, all with the help of AI. This new reality calls for a fundamental rethinking of the instructor's role in economics education.

Rather than focusing solely on delivering content, educators must now become facilitators of critical thinking, mentors in ethical reasoning, and evaluators of both student work and AI-generated outputs. The emphasis must shift toward helping students develop the judgment and discernment needed to navigate a world where intelligent systems are active participants in the learning process.

A key responsibility of the modern instructor is to scaffold students' ability to critically assess AI-generated content. This includes teaching them how to evaluate the reliability of sources, the soundness of methodologies, and the presence of potential biases in AI outputs. Students must learn to question the assumptions behind algorithms, assess the quality of data used, and determine whether AI-generated conclusions are logically and ethically sound.

Equally important is fostering metacognitive awareness -encouraging students to reflect on when and why they use AI tools, and how these tools influence their thinking. Instructors should prompt students to consider the strengths and limitations of AI in different contexts, to recognize the risks of over-reliance, and to develop strategies for integrating AI insights with their own reasoning. This reflective practice helps students become more intentional and responsible users of AI.

Transparency from educators is also essential. By openly sharing their own processes for evaluating and using AI-generated content, instructors can model critical engagement and ethical decision-making. This openness helps demystify AI, positioning it not as an all-knowing authority but as a tool that requires thoughtful interpretation and oversight. It also fosters a classroom culture where students feel empowered to question, critique, and discuss the role of AI in their learning.

To support this pedagogical shift, several innovative teaching strategies can be employed. For example, AI-informed feedback loops can be introduced, where students compare AI-generated critiques of their work with their own self-assessments and instructor feedback. This process deepens their understanding of quality, coherence, and argumentation.

Debate-based learning is another powerful tool. Instructors can organize debates where students must defend their positions against AI-generated counterarguments. These exercises not only sharpen students' analytical skills but also highlight the limitations of AI in addressing nuanced, value-laden economic issues.

Finally, the use of "ethics diaries" can provide a space for students to document and reflect on the ethical dilemmas they encounter when using AI in their coursework. These reflections can serve as a springboard for class discussions and the co-creation of ethical guidelines for AI use in academic settings.

By embracing these new roles and practices, instructors can help students become not just consumers of AI-generated knowledge, but thoughtful, ethical, and empowered participants in an AI-augmented academic and professional world.

4. Paradigm Shift 3: AI as a Cognitive Partner, Not Just a Tool

The third major shift in AI-era business education in economics involves reimagining

artificial intelligence not merely as a tool for automation or information retrieval, but as a collaborative cognitive partner in the learning and problem-solving process (Mollick & Mollick, 2023). This shift calls for a more dynamic and interactive relationship between students and AI -one where both human insight and machine intelligence work together to tackle complex economic and business challenges.

AI systems excel at processing vast datasets, identifying patterns, and generating a wide range of potential solutions with speed and precision. They can automate repetitive analytical tasks, simulate economic scenarios, and provide real-time feedback, enabling more agile and data-informed decision-making (Chen & Yu, 2023; Kahn et al., 2024). These capabilities free up human cognitive resources, allowing students to focus on higher-order thinking -such as strategic reasoning, ethical reflection, and creative problem-solving.

However, AI lacks the contextual awareness, ethical judgment, and intuitive understanding that human thinkers bring to the table. This makes the human-AI partnership essential. Students must learn how to frame problems in ways that AI can meaningfully assist with, craft effective prompts to extract relevant insights, and critically evaluate AI-generated outputs for accuracy, bias, and unintended consequences.

To foster this partnership, economics education must provide students with hands-on experience using AI tools in real-world contexts -such as market analysis, forecasting, and strategic planning (Zhang et al., 2021; Chui et al., 2025; Edgecliffe-Johnson, 2025). Assignments and case studies should be designed to integrate AI into the learning process, not as a shortcut, but as a thinking partner that enhances students' analytical capabilities.

Equally important is teaching students to question and refine AI-generated solutions. Rather than accepting outputs at face value, students should be encouraged to apply their economic knowledge and critical thinking to assess the assumptions, data quality, and broader implications of AI recommendations. This includes understanding the limitations of AI models and recognizing when human judgment must take precedence.

Ethical considerations must also be woven into the curriculum. Students should explore the societal impacts of AI in business -such as algorithmic bias, data privacy, and the implications of automation on labor markets. Classroom discussions, case studies, and reflective exercises can help students develop a responsible and informed approach to AI use.

This transformation also redefines the role of the instructor. Faculty must evolve from content experts to cognitive coaches and ethical co-inquirers. They are no longer just transmitters of knowledge, but guides who help students navigate the complexities of working with AI. This includes teaching students how to prompt AI effectively, interpret its outputs, and integrate those insights with their own reasoning.

To support this shift, institutions must invest in faculty development. Instructors need training not only in AI tools and their pedagogical applications, but also in interdisciplinary teaching, bias detection, and inclusive education practices (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Faculty should be equipped to create learning environments where students are encouraged to think critically, act ethically, and collaborate meaningfully with AI.

Ultimately, the goal is to prepare graduates who can confidently and responsibly integrate AI into their workflows -leveraging its strengths while maintaining the uniquely human qualities of judgment, creativity, and ethical awareness. In doing so, economics education can produce leaders who are not only technologically fluent but also capable of shaping a more thoughtful and equitable AI-powered future.

5. Implications for Curriculum, Assessment, and Instructional Design

The paradigm shifts explored in this paper -toward interdisciplinary synthesis, redefined instructor roles, and AI as a cognitive collaborator -carry significant implications for how economics education is structured and delivered. To prepare students for the realities of an AI-augmented workplace, institutions must rethink not only what is taught, but how it is taught, assessed, and supported. This calls for a comprehensive redesign of curricula, assessment strategies, and instructional design principles (Tadimalla & Maher, 2024; Xie et al., 2024).

5.1 Rethinking Curriculum: Integrating AI and Interdisciplinary Perspectives

Curricula must evolve to reflect the complex, interconnected nature of modern economic challenges. This means moving beyond traditional, siloed approaches and embedding interdisciplinary thinking and AI literacy throughout the learning experience. Courses should be designed to help students develop the ability to critically evaluate AI-generated insights, synthesize knowledge from multiple domains, and apply economic reasoning in real-world, tech-infused contexts.

New modules focused on the intersection of economics and AI are essential. These could explore topics such as algorithmic bias in economic modeling, the impact of automation on labor markets, AI's role in shaping income inequality, and the legal and regulatory challenges of AI in business (Beck, 2024; Aliabadi et al., 2023). At the same time, existing courses should be updated to incorporate AI tools for data analysis, simulation, and scenario planning -giving students hands-on experience with the technologies shaping their future careers (Huang & Zhang, 2024).

5.2 Redesigning Assessment: Measuring Higher-Order Thinking and AI Collaboration

Traditional assessments -focused on memorization and standard model application -are no longer sufficient. In the AI era, assessments must capture students' ability to think critically, solve complex problems, and collaborate effectively with intelligent systems. This requires a shift toward more authentic, performance-based evaluation methods (Rudolph et al., 2023; Allen & Kendeou, 2024).

For example, students might be asked to critique AI-generated economic reports, identifying strengths, weaknesses, and potential biases in the analysis. They could be tasked with justifying their own decisions in light of AI recommendations, or with designing prompts that elicit more accurate and relevant outputs from AI tools. Team-based projects that involve using AI to solve real-world business problems can also serve as powerful assessments -evaluating students' ability to frame problems, interpret AI insights, and integrate human judgment and ethical reasoning into their solutions.

5.3 Reimagining Instructional Design: Active, Applied, and AI-Enhanced Learning

Instructional design must also adapt to support these new learning goals. Active learning strategies -such as case-based learning, simulations, and project-based assignments -are particularly effective in developing the skills needed in an AI-rich environment. These approaches encourage students to engage deeply with content, collaborate with peers, and apply their knowledge in meaningful, real-world contexts.

AI tools can enhance these experiences by generating diverse case scenarios, simulating economic systems, or providing real-time feedback on student work. When thoughtfully integrated, AI can support personalized learning, foster deeper engagement, and help students develop the digital fluency required in today's economy.

5.4 Supporting Faculty: Professional Development for the AI Era

Perhaps the most critical enabler of this transformation is faculty development. Instructors need support to adapt their teaching practices, integrate AI tools effectively, and foster ethical, inclusive learning environments. This includes training in AI technologies relevant to economics, strategies for designing AI-integrated assignments, and methods for assessing student work in this new context (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Faculty must also be equipped to guide students in navigating the ethical dimensions of AI use -discussing issues such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the societal impacts of automation. By becoming facilitators of critical inquiry and ethical reflection, instructors can help students develop the judgment and responsibility needed to lead in an AI-driven world.

Ultimately, transforming economics education for the AI era requires more than incremental change. It demands a sustained commitment to innovation, a willingness to experiment with new pedagogical models, and a continuous process of reflection and adaptation. By embracing these changes, institutions can ensure that their graduates are not only technically proficient but also intellectually agile, ethically grounded, and ready to thrive in the complex, AI-augmented economy of the future (Aiyar et al., 2023).

6. Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence into the fabric of business and economic life is not a distant possibility -it is a present reality. As AI continues to reshape how decisions are made, how markets function, and how organizations operate, economics education must evolve in tandem. This paper has outlined three critical paradigm shifts necessary for this transformation: embracing interdisciplinary synthesis, redefining the role of the instructor, and positioning AI as a cognitive partner rather than a passive tool.

These shifts demand more than surface-level adjustments. They call for a fundamental rethinking of curriculum design, assessment strategies, and instructional practices. Students must be equipped not only with technical proficiency in economic theory and data analysis but also with the ability to think critically, act ethically, and collaborate effectively with intelligent systems. They must learn to question AI-generated outputs, integrate diverse perspectives, and navigate the complex ethical terrain of AI-driven decision-making.

For this transformation to succeed, institutions must invest in faculty development, foster a culture of innovation, and create learning environments that are inclusive, adaptive, and forward-looking. Educators must become facilitators of inquiry, mentors in ethical reasoning, and guides in the responsible use of AI.

Ultimately, the goal is to prepare a new generation of economists and business leaders who are not only fluent in the language of AI but also capable of shaping its use for the betterment of society. By reimagining economics education through the lens of AI, we can ensure that our graduates are not just ready for the future -they are ready to lead it.

Competing interests

Not applicable.

Informed consent

Obtained.

Ethics approval

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Macrothink Institute.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Copyrights

References

Aiyar, S., Ebeke, C., Shao, X., & Yülek, M. (2023). Rethinking Economics Education in the Age of AI. International Monetary Fund Working Papers.

Aliabadi, R., Singh, A., & Wilson, E. (2023). Transdisciplinary AI education: The confluence of curricular and community needs in the instruction of artificial intelligence. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-7947-9_11

Allen, L. K., & Kendeou, P. (2024). ED-AI Lit: An interdisciplinary framework for AI literacy in education. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 116*(3), 456-472. https://doi.org/10.1177/23727322231220339

Beck, S. (2024). Generative AI in economics: Teaching economics and AI literacy. Economics Network. https://doi.org/10.53593/n4121a

Beck, S. (2024). Teaching economics and generative AI. Valparaiso University. https://www.valpo.edu/cital/files/2024/05/Teaching-Economics-and-Generative-AI-Sedefka-Beck.pdfValparaiso University

Brown, D., Recker, M., & Varga, M. (2020). Interdisciplinary thinking for economic decision-making: Curriculum design for complex problem-solving. *Journal of Economic Education, 51*(2), 145-160.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). Machine, Platform, Crowd: Harnessing Our Digital Future. W. W. Norton & Company.

Chen, L., Liu, C., & Yu, J. (2023). ProcessGPT: Transforming business process management with generative artificial intelligence. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.01771

Chui, M., Manyika, J., & Smaje, K. (2025). Superagency in the workplace: Empowering people to unlock AI's full potential at work. McKinsey & Company. https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/mckinsey-digital/our-insights/superagency-in-the-workplace-empowering-people-to-unlock-ais-full-potential-at-work

Colander, D., & Kupers, R. (2014). Complexity and the art of public policy: Recognizing the need for fundamental change in economic theory and practice. *Journal of Socio-Economics, 48*, 95-103.

Edgecliffe-Johnson, A. (2025, April 18). AI agents: From co-pilot to autopilot. *Financial Times*. https://www.ft.com/content/3e862e23-6e2c-4670-a68c-e204379fe01f

Eubanks, V. (2018). Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor. St. Martin's Press.

Floridi, L., & Chiriatti, M. (2020). GPT-3: Its nature, scope, limits, and consequences. *Minds and Machines, 30*(4), 681-694. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-020-09548-1

Huang, Y., & Zhang, L. (2024). Artificial intelligence empowered reforms in economics education. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 21*(3), 45-60.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389180667_Artificial_Intelligence_Empowered_Reforms_in_Economics_EducationResearchGate

Khan, S. U., Abbas, A., & Iqbal, M. (2024). AI-driven business analytics and decision making. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 15*(2), 115-123. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384729583_AI-driven_business_analytics_and_decision_making

Mollick, E., & Mollick, L. (2023). 1 Using AI to Teach: Experiments in Business Education. *SSRN Electronic Journal.* https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4338457

Rudolph, J., Akyıldız, S. T., & Ahmed, K. H. (2023). Redesigning assessments for AI-enhanced learning: A framework for educators in the generative AI era. *Education Sciences, 13*(2), 174. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15020174

Tadimalla, S. Y., & Maher, M. L. (2024). AI literacy for all: Adjustable interdisciplinary socio-technical curriculum. arXiv. https://doi.org/10.1109/FIE61694.2024.10893159

Xie, B., Sarin, P., Wolf, J., Garcia, R. C. C., Delaney, V., Sieh, I., … & Lee, V. R. (2024). Co-designing AI education curriculum with cross-disciplinary high school teachers. *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 38(*21), 23146-23154. https://doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i21.30360

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education 1 – where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16*(1), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0

Zhang, L., Wang, Y., & Patel, N. (2021). Real-time intelligent big data processing: Technology, platform, and applications. arXiv. https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.11872