Macrothink Institute™

International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting
ISSN 2162-3082
2025, Vol. 15, No. 2

Ethical Failures in Accounting: A Comparative Analysis of High-Profile Cases and Their Implications for Governance Reform

Shazana Nisha Khan

Dept. of Accounting, Fiji National University

PO Box 7222, Nasinu, Fiji Islands

Tel: 679-969-0098 E-mail: Shazana.khan@fnu.ac.fj

Received: May 12, 2025 Accepted: June 12, 2025 Published: June 14, 2025

doi: 10.5296/ijafr.v15i2.22852 URL: https://doi.org/10.5296/ijafr.v15i2.22852

Abstract

This paper investigates the systemic nature of ethical failures in accounting by examining four high-profile cases: Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers, and Pitcher Partners. Through a qualitative desk-based analysis of publicly available documents, academic literature, and regulatory reports, the study explores how corporate misconduct in each case reflected deeper institutional weaknesses, rather than isolated lapses in individual judgement. Common themes—such as compromised auditor independence, ineffective board oversight, ethical leadership vacuums, and the normalization of deviance—emerged as recurring patterns across all cases. The study also considers how whistleblower suppression and ethical fading contributed to delayed accountability. By critically synthesizing secondary sources, this research highlights the limitations of compliance-based reforms and calls for a broader governance model grounded in ethical leadership, professional skepticism, and stakeholder accountability. The paper contributes to the growing discourse on ethical resilience in the accounting profession and identifies future research directions related to sustainability reporting, whistleblower protection, and the ethical challenges posed by technological advancements.

Keywords: Accounting ethics, Corporate governance, Financial scandals, Stakeholder theory, Auditor independence, Organizational misconduct

1. Introduction

Accounting as a profession stands essential for maintaining financial system integrity with its key purpose to build stakeholder trust and develop ethical corporate governance. Company systems maintain the financial operational flow which leads to enhanced trust from stakeholders and ethical corporate governance practices. Excellent financial reporting requirements have dual advantages that combine technical requirements with societal value through enhanced decision-making capability. The financial reporting system assists the public in making educated investment choices because it serves society at large, and the regulators (Healy & Palepu, 2003; Zhang, 2024). However, Professionals in the accounting field have encountered repeated ethical violations that demonstrate extensive institutional vulnerabilities (Rashid et al., 2018, Moore et al., 2006, Zhang, 2024).

Enron and WorldCom and Lehman Brothers together with other major corporations sparked major financial failures that exposed deep ethical problems and governance weaknesses and organizational cultural acceptance of misconduct (Gillan & Martin, 2003; Johnson & Mamun, 2011). These organizational ethical failures emerge from networked misconduct instead of single misbehaviors. Organizations exhibiting such problems compel the existence of defective leadership and poor incentives that thrive within deleterious environments. The combination of organizational networks fails to align with revised regulations (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020; Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014) and Sharif, Junaid & Malik (2020). The Sarbanes-Oxley Act (Bergen, 2005) together with other wide-ranging reforms brought improvements. Recent events reveal that ongoing regulatory controls need improvement and additional measures for audit independence in spite of earlier legislation. The evidence from the Pitcher Partners case together with other current cases shows that regulatory structural changes are not enough on their own to solve issues with auditing firms (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

Scholarship in this domain has contributed meaningfully to understanding the contours of accounting ethics, exploring areas such as auditor independence (Chaney & Philipich, 2002), internal control failures (Brydon, 2019), and cultural influences on ethical decision-making (Prasad, Sharma & Prakash, 2024; Kabeyi, 2019).

Numerous studies about EGC have a recurring issue with fragmented approaches since they usually focus on independent case studies and technical breaches instead of complete ethical patterns and systemic dysfunctions (Horomnea & Paşcu, 2012). There exists an insufficient number of comparative and cross-case studies that connect ethical theory with governance problems. The current academic analysis lacks a complete view regarding multiple misconduct cases. Research on implementing professional ethical frameworks APES 110 alongside IFAC Code of Ethics for sustainable governance practices remains insufficiently explored (Ariza et al., 2023; Rashid et al., 2018).

Accounting environments are now facing increased ethical risks because of accelerating technological changes together with rising complexity of global markets and stakeholder expectations (Žager, Mališ & Novak, 2016; Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011). In many instances, financial progressive approaches evolve faster than the regulatory sector manages to provide prompt regulatory guidelines. Guidance from regulatory agencies produces ambiguous areas where unethical practices alongside regulatory advantage becomes feasible (Gassama, Sawarjuwono & Hamidah, 2021). Compounding these risks is the prevalence of performance-driven cultures, where short-term financial gains often organizational focus on financial gains creates ethics violations which diminish the impact of compliance systems (Caniago, Meiliana & Taufik, 2023; Oyinlola, 2010).

The field of behavioral accounting offers extensive knowledge about unethical behavior between parties. Ethical degradation tends to occur because of high-pressure work environments. Busy auditors frequently compromise their ethics when they face commercial strain or exhibit dependency on clients alongside worries about their professional reputation. The process gradually reduces their capabilities of maintaining independent objectivity (Moore et al., 2006; Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020). Working with long-term client relationships creates a major threat to auditor independence in practice. Professional standards maintain an opposing relationship to business interests that stem from long-term client relationships or fee dependencies. Business interests affect auditors and accounting students according to Chaney & Philipich, 2002; Healy & Palepu, 2003).

Accounting education serves as a vital yet insufficiently studied factor that affects ethical development. The standard educational programs of today select to prioritize professional capabilities above all else, often at the expense of moral reasoning and critical ethical reflection (Ariza et al., 2023; Gassama, Sawarjuwono & Hamidah, 2021). Industry standards as well as business interests cannot be effectively handled by graduates who receive inadequate preparation. Accounting students need preparation to face ethical challenges which appear in professional practice. The community stresses that ethical learning must serve as a fundamental aspect running through all educational stages during professional development. The development of moral resilience and culture of reflection remain essential elements for professional growth alongside educational training reflective accountability (Jejeniwa, Mhlongo & Jejeniwa, 2024; Rashid et al., 2018).

Recognizing these challenges, scholars increasingly advocate for a governance paradigm that moves beyond compliance and embraces normative ethical values, principled leadership, and institutional transparency (Zhang, 2024; Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014). Ethical accounting must be anchored not only in legal obligations but also in moral responsibility, personal integrity, and a commitment to the public good (Horomnea & Paşcu, 2012; Moore et al., 2006). Such a value-driven approach is essential for navigating the interdependent, high-stakes environment that defines contemporary global business (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020).

In addressing these concerns, this paper conducts a comparative analysis of four significant corporate accounting failures—Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers, and Pitcher Partners—through the dual lenses of ethical theory and governance reform. By drawing on publicly available data, legal proceedings, and academic commentary, the study identifies recurring ethical patterns and systemic governance flaws. The aim is to propose a coherent framework that advances both theoretical understanding and practical reform, strengthening the ethical foundations of corporate financial reporting.

The paper proceeds as follows. Section 2 offers a critical review of literature on an evaluation conducted on accounting ethics and governance together with ethical theories. Section 3

Methodology, Section 4, presents the comparative findings from the four case studies. The fifth section presents major limitations together with opportunities for additional investigation into areas for future inquiry. Section 6 provides concrete suggestions that the accounting profession should implement. The accounting profession requires ethical accountability and governance integrity to be integrated as core elements.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Introduction

Ethical principles underpin accounting practice by ensuring credibility, transparency, and stewardship over financial reporting. Despite the collapse of high-profile firms such as Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers, and Pitcher Partners—events that exposed critical deficiencies in corporate governance and professional ethics—similar ethical breaches continue to surface globally. These incidents demonstrate that regulatory compliance alone cannot prevent misconduct, as ethical failure often stems from systemic and cultural weaknesses (Healy & Palepu, 2003; Gillan & Martin, 2003; Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014; Ahmed & Senyo, 2023). This review integrates foundational theories with contemporary international literature to evaluate evolving ethical challenges and identify persistent gaps.

2.2 Ethical Theoretical Perspective in Accounting

Ethical decision-making in accounting is often examined through utilitarianism, deontology, and virtue ethics. While utilitarian logic can rationalize earnings management to protect perceived public interest (Horomnea & Paşcu, 2012), deontological ethics emphasizes rule-based duties enshrined in professional standards such as the IFAC Code of Ethics and APES 110 (IFAC, 2020). Virtue ethics has gained traction in recent years as a basis for moral education in accounting, focusing on integrity, courage, and prudence (Moore et al., 2006; Almadi & Pavlovska, 2022). Studies increasingly advocate for hybrid ethical models to respond to the multidimensional nature of accounting dilemmas (Elias & Farag, 2023).

2.3 Auditors Independence and Ethical Risk

Auditor independence remains central to public trust but is frequently undermined by economic incentives, advisory services, and client pressure. The Enron–Arthur Andersen case exemplified this conflict, and similar concerns persist today. Research reveals that even after regulatory reforms, many audit firms prioritize client retention over ethical obligations (Chaney & Philipich, 2002; Rashid et al., 2018; Kandemir & Sharma, 2022). Ethical reasoning, beyond procedural compliance, is vital in resolving auditor-client tensions (Liu, 2023). Global standards such as the IESBA Code of Ethics now emphasize threats-based approaches to safeguard independence.

2.4 Organizational Culture and the Normalization of Deviance

The normalization of deviance in corporate culture fosters ethical erosion. In both WorldCom and Lehman Brothers, aggressive target-setting and management override led to systemic ethical decay. Organizational behavior research shows that when leadership downplays integrity in favor of results, deviance becomes routine (Moore et al., 2006; Johnson &

Mamun, 2011). Recent empirical studies confirm that ethical leadership and organizational justice are key moderators of misconduct, especially in high-pressure environments (Wagner, Jones & Wang, 2022; Caniago, Meiliana & Taufik, 2023).

2.5 Governance Failures and Board Oversight

Weak board oversight is consistently linked with ethical failure. Ineffective audit committees, lack of board independence, and inattentiveness to risk signals are common denominators in financial scandals (Brydon, 2019; Rashid et al., 2018). While reforms have improved formal governance structures, many boards still struggle to implement ethical foresight. International work highlights the role of cognitive diversity and ethical expertise in enhancing board effectiveness (Spencer & Nguyen, 2022; Naciri & Nguyen, 2024). Strong ethical governance demands more than compliance—it requires values-based leadership at the top.

2.6 Regulatory Interventions and Ethical Compliance

The Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) Act and Dodd-Frank legislation improved transparency and introduced whistleblower protections. Yet, scholars argue that these measures often promote formal compliance rather than moral transformation (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020; Gozman, Liebenau & Mangan, 2022). Globally, the IFRS Foundation, IAASB, and IFAC continue to harmonize ethical standards, but regional disparities in enforcement and implementation persist (Jejeniwa, Mhlongo & Jejeniwa, 2024; Al-Ebel, 2022). There is growing demand for principle-based regulation to complement rule-based enforcement.

2.7 Ethics in Education and Professional Development

Ethics education in accounting often remains peripheral and compliance oriented. However, recent studies call for a transformative approach that integrates critical thinking, scenario analysis, and experiential learning (Kiradoo, 2020; Blanthorne, West & Hermanson, 2023). Innovative pedagogies, including gamification and digital simulations, are being adopted to make ethical reasoning more engaging and reflective. Professional bodies such as CPA Australia and ACCA now embed ethical decision-making in their continuing education models (ACCA, 2024).

2.8 Emerging Ethical Challenges: ESG and Sustainability Reporting

The rise of ESG disclosures has created new ethical frontiers. Greenwashing—where firms exaggerate their sustainability performance—undermines stakeholder trust. Voluntary disclosures, unless audited or standardized, can be misleading (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020; Kim & Kim, 2023). Regulatory responses like the EU's CSRD and the ISSB's IFRS S1 and S2 standards aim to close this gap. Simultaneously, technologies such as AI, blockchain, and automated auditing introduce new ethical concerns regarding algorithmic bias, data privacy, and accountability (Zhang, 2024; De George & Haldar, 2023; Bamber et al., 2022).

2.9 Gaps in Literature

Although research has advanced, several critical gaps remain:

- <u>Cross-Jurisdictional Comparisons</u>: Ethical practices differ significantly across legal, cultural, and institutional settings. There is insufficient comparative research across Global South contexts (Ahmed & Senyo, 2023).

- Longitudinal Studies: The long-term efficacy of reforms like SOX and whistleblower incentives remains under-examined (Bergen, 2005; Elias & Farag, 2023).
- Whistleblower Protections: More empirical work is needed to assess organizational climates that either empower or punish whistleblowers (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011; Park, 2023).
- Digital Ethics in Accounting: Emerging technologies demand ethical frameworks that are responsive to automation, cybersecurity, and predictive analytics (Gozman, Liebenau & Mangan, 2022).

2.10 Conclusion

This review reaffirms that ethical failure in accounting is not simply a matter of individual misconduct but a consequence of deep-rooted institutional, cultural, and regulatory factors. Ethical theories—when applied pragmatically—offer valuable lenses to analyze misconduct. Nevertheless, the persistence of scandals underscores that governance reforms alone are insufficient unless paired with ethical leadership, robust education, and vigilant oversight. The international accounting landscape now faces new challenges—from ESG reporting to AI ethics—that require dynamic and context-sensitive ethical governance. This study contributes to these debates by analyzing four emblematic cases through an ethical lens and identifying practical pathways for reform.

3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study employs a qualitative, document-based research design to examine ethical failures in accounting through a comparative analysis of four prominent corporate cases: Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers, and Pitcher Partners. The purpose is to identify common ethical shortcomings and governance weaknesses, using theoretical lenses from normative ethics and corporate governance literature. A qualitative approach is most appropriate for this research as it enables interpretive understanding of complex ethical phenomena grounded in real-world corporate events (Moore et al., 2006; Rashid et al., 2018).

3.2 Case Selection

Selection of the four cases followed specific criteria which reflected their importance within accounting ethics research, ethics discourse, the availability of public documentation, and their relevance to diverse institutional and governance contexts. The organizations faced major accounting-related ethical issues which captured widespread academic as well as regulatory attention. Large multinational firms like Enron and Lehman Brothers along with the mid-sized Pitcher Partners form part of the case selection. By adding firm (Pitcher Partners) to the analysis the study achieves increased comparison depth. The selected cases also span different time periods and jurisdictions, allowing for reflection on evolving ethical and regulatory expectations (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020).

3.3 Data Sources and Collection

Data for this study were collected exclusively from publicly available secondary sources. The sources include:

- Peer-reviewed academic journal articles
- Book chapters and published case analyses
- Online media reports from credible financial news outlets
- Regulatory commentary and legal summaries accessible online
- Professional publications by accounting bodies and think tanks

No interviews or field visits were conducted. All data were obtained through open-source online platforms such as JSTOR, Google Scholar, SSRN, and the official websites of academic publishers. The study did not utilize proprietary databases, nor did it require formal access to regulatory agencies such as the SEC or ASIC.

3.4 Data Analysis

Thematic content analysis was used to identify and categorize patterns across the case narratives. Each case was reviewed in detail, and data were coded manually based on emerging ethical themes such as:

- Auditor independence and professional skepticism
- Tone at the top and ethical leadership
- Governance failure and board inaction
- Cultural normalization of unethical practices
- The influence of regulatory frameworks on ethical behavior

These themes were not predetermined but emerged inductively through engagement with the literature and documents. Recurring patterns were compared across all four cases to formulate a broader understanding of ethical vulnerabilities in accounting (Gassama, Sawarjuwono & Hamidah, 2021).

3.5 Ethical Considerations

As this study relies solely on secondary data already published in the public domain, no human subjects were involved, and no ethical clearance was required. The author refers to supporting sources through correct academic citation methods for academic transparency and integrity. The author has made efforts to prevent misunderstandings of facts and has treated all sources with academic objectivity (Brydon, 2019; Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

3.6 Limitations

Multiple levels of removed sources diminish the possibility of obtaining original accounts and real-time observations regarding organizational choice processes. The analysis faces potential operational constraints because of what secondary sources provide. The analysis receives limitations from what published material is available and how deep it goes and how it is structured. The established ethical theories which support this research restrict the ability to generalize discoveries across various contexts. The research findings apply primarily to situations which mirror the examined cases. Several peer-reviewed studies along with cross-verified sources create a foundation for high credibility and robustness of research findings (Kabeyi, 2019; Oyinlola, 2010).

4. Findings and Discussion

4.1 Overview of Ethical Failures

Ethical failures within accounting usually stem from more than one isolated event according to analysis of Enron and WorldCom and Lehman Brothers and Pitcher Partners cases. Each business failure stems from extensive systemic organizational weaknesses which lie within the permissive cultural environment and governance systems along with lacking auditor objectivity. Systems failures at both organizational and governance levels together with lax organizational cultures lead to existing deficiencies, frameworks, and a deterioration of auditor objectivity (Moore et al., 2006; Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020). The ethical scandals represent collective trends instead of isolated irregularities. The situations persisted because institutions maintained cultural norms which regulatory bodies did not examine effectively (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020; Brydon, 2019).

4.2 Systemic Ethical Deviance Across Cases

Each of the four cases started with unethical practices pretending to be beneficial operational approaches or innovative methods. Special Purpose Entities (SPEs) which Enron employed were presented as. The firms used financial engineering methods but eventually concealed their debt obligations combined with earnings data quality (Healy & Palepu, 2003). Similarly, WorldCom’s capitalization of routine operating. The deep institutional pressure from investors made the company adopt concealing financial manipulation techniques through misrepresentation (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

Lehman Brothers used Repo 105 transactions to manipulate the financial statements through an artificial method that secreted corporate debt from public view. Through strategic adjustments to financial agreements the firm reduced its liability numbers to conceal its debt and earnings status. The company manipulated financial risk exposure using a specific tool which (Wiggins, Piontek and Metrick, 2014) document. The audit conducted by Pitcher Partners on G8 Education disclosed tolerance rather than direct cases of fraud throughout their examination. The ethical issue stems from insufficient review of valuation assumptions in this case. The condition of being easily exploitable exists frequently within middle-sized businesses (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

The concept of "ethical fading “the subconscious minimization of moral consequences—was apparent in all four cases (Moore et al., 2006). Financial success, corporate prestige, and internal loyalty were prioritized over ethical integrity. Over time, practices once considered questionable became normalized, facilitated by institutional rationalizations and collective denial (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020). “What began as creative accounting strategies silently metamorphosed into systemic deception, shielded by collective rationalization and institutional inertia.” —Sharif, Junaid & Malik (2020, p.1105)

4.3 Compromised Auditor Independence

Across the case studies, auditor independence—central to financial reporting credibility—was systematically compromised. Arthur Andersen’s simultaneous provision of audit and consultancy services to Enron and WorldCom blurred professional boundaries and demonstrated how financial dependence impairs auditor objectivity (Chaney & Philipich, 2002). At Lehman Brothers, Ernst & Young failed to challenge the economic substance of Repo 105 transactions, suggesting a reluctance to jeopardize lucrative client relationships (Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014).

The Pitcher Partners case, although less overt, raised similar concerns. The uncritical acceptance of management’s goodwill assumptions points to a complacency that undermines the auditor's role as a watchdog (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020). As the literature emphasizes, true independence demands more than structural safeguards; it requires the moral fortitude to challenge clients in defense of public interest (Moore et al., 2006; Rashid et al., 2018).

“Auditor independence is not merely a regulatory requirement; it is an ethical compass that must remain steadfast amidst the turbulent seas of client pressure.”

—Brydon (2019, p.48)

4.4 Ineffective Governance Oversight

A recurring weakness across the four scandals was the inability of governance structures to detect, interrogate, and intervene in unethical conduct before it escalated. Enron’s board, despite being formally independent, failed to challenge complex SPE structures or to question executive decisions that compromised transparency (Gillan & Martin, 2003). WorldCom’s board was similarly ineffective, demonstrating difference to senior management and limited financial understanding, which allowed accounting manipulations to persist unchallenged (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

The Lehman Brothers’ governance failures were equally acute. Directors either lacked technical competence or the willingness to confront the firm’s aggressive leverage practices and excessive risk appetite (Johnson & Mamun, 2011). Pitcher Partners, although operating at a smaller scale, showed comparable governance fragility—its audit oversight processes failed to adequately interrogate goodwill valuations during the G8 Education audit (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020). These patterns reinforce growing concerns that structural independence without ethical literacy and critical engagement is insufficient to prevent ethical failure (Brydon, 2019; Zhang, 2024).

4.5 Cross-Case Synthesis of Ethical Failures

The comparative review across the four scandals reveals systemic ethical patterns that transcend organizational size or geography. The table below synthesizes the key dimensions of failure.

Table 1. Cross-Case Comparison of Ethical Vulnerabilities

Ethical Dimension	Enron	WorldCom	Lehman Brothers	Pitcher Partners
Normalization of Deviance	High	High	High	Moderate
Auditor Independence Failure	Severe	Severe	Significant	Moderate
Governance Ineffectiveness	High	High	Severe	Moderate
Ethical Leadership Deficiency	Severe	Severe	High	Moderate

Source: Synthesized from Healy & Palepu (2003); Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick (2014); Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert (2020).

This table illustrates that while the magnitude of ethical breakdown varied across cases, the core ingredients—leadership failure, compromised auditor independence, governance passivity, and normalization of unethical behavior—were present in each. These findings highlight the need for an integrated ethical infrastructure across the profession.

4.6 Thematic Findings From Case Studies

Through thematic analysis, four major patterns emerged consistently across all cases:

4.6.1 Ethical Leadership Deficits

Across all four organizations, ethical collapse was catalyzed by leadership that prioritized short-term metrics over long-term integrity. Enron's senior executives, including Skilling and Lay, actively endorsed opacity and risk-taking (Healy & Palepu, 2003). At WorldCom, Bernard Ebbers fostered a culture where financial results overshadowed moral considerations (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020). Lehman Brothers, under Richard Fuld, placed reputation and image above ethical substance, facilitating the misuse of Repo 105 (Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014). Even in the more muted context of Pitcher Partners, leadership allowed unverified goodwill assumptions to pass without challenge (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

"Leadership devoid of ethics transforms compliance frameworks into fragile facades, vulnerable to the first gust of financial temptation."

—Sharif, Junaid & Malik (2020, p.1107)

Despite growing consensus on the importance of ethical leadership, there remains a lack of empirical research on how succession planning and executive compensation models influence ethical tone and resilience.

4.6.2 Weak Internal Controls and Risk Management

Failures in internal controls were not simply technical lapses—they reflected cultural neglect. Enron marginalized internal audit, enabling the concealment of debt (Gillan & Martin, 2003). At WorldCom, internal whistleblowing was obstructed, and audit functions were under-resourced until it was too late (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020). Lehman's risk systems were undermined by executive overrides, while G8 Education's valuation process was passively accepted without challenge (Johnson & Mamun, 2011; Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

"Without vigilant internal controls, even the best-intentioned organizations drift towards ethical complacency, like ships sailing rudderless into turbulent waters."

—Brydon (2019, p.51)

Future research should focus on the long-term impacts of risk management reforms on ethical performance post-scandal.

4.6.3 Auditor Complacency and Conflicts of Interest

In each case, auditors either ignored warning signs or rationalized questionable practices. Arthur Andersen's dual roles at Enron and WorldCom eroded independence (Chaney & Philipich, 2002; Healy & Palepu, 2003). Ernst & Young failed to challenge Lehman's Repo 105 usage, and Pitcher Partners did not adequately assess management assumptions at G8 Education (Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014; Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

"Seeing beyond technical compliance to moral substance is what distinguishes ethical auditing from procedural formality."

—Sharif, Junaid & Malik (2020, p.1110)

A major underexplored area is how audit firm incentives and fee structures influence ethical outcomes.

4.6.4 Whistleblower Suppression and Cultural Resistance

Corporate environments that discourage ethical dissent exacerbate risk. Sherron Watkins' concerns at Enron were disregarded (Healy & Palepu, 2003), while Cynthia Cooper at WorldCom faced resistance before eventually exposing the fraud (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011). Lehman Brothers and G8 Education showed little evidence of effective internal challenge mechanisms.

"When organizational cultures silence whistleblowers, they sever their own ethical lifelines."

—Dasgupta & Kesharwani (2011, p.114)

4.7 Comparative Discussion: Critical Insights From the Synthesis

The cross-case synthesis reveals several pervasive weaknesses that serve as enablers of ethical collapse in accounting:

4.7.1 Leadership Culture: A Catalyst for Ethical Erosion

In each scandal, leadership played a decisive role in shaping ethical (or unethical) norms. From Enron's aggressive risk-taking to Lehman's obsession with image management, senior executives set the tone for ethical drift. Compensation structures linked to short-term stock performance incentivized high-risk decisions at the expense of long-term transparency and accountability (Healy & Palepu, 2003; Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020).

"When leadership worships financial performance over ethical stewardship, governance frameworks crumble under the weight of moral abdication."

—Brydon (2019, p.58)

This highlights a key research gap: the influence of executive remuneration systems on ethical behavior and ethical culture continuity.

4.7.2 Internal Controls: Present but Powerless

All firms had formal control mechanisms, but their ineffectiveness stemmed from cultural and structural flaws. At Enron and Lehman Brothers, internal audit functions were overridden or excluded from decision-making. G8 Education showed similar risks—internal checks existed, but the challenge was discouraged (Gillan & Martin, 2003; Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

This underlines the distinction between existence and effectiveness of controls—suggesting that cultural reinforcement is necessary for internal systems to act as ethical sentinels.

4.7.3 Auditor Objectivity: Subdued by Client Influence

The passive conduct of Arthur Andersen and Ernst & Young shows how financial entanglement compromises audit quality. Commercial pressures muted skepticism and transformed audits into procedural formalities rather than ethical safeguards (Moore et al., 2006; Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014). Pitcher Partners' failure to interrogate goodwill assumptions similarly reflects the consequences of underdeveloped ethical oversight in mid-tier firms.

Despite regulatory reforms, little has been done to evaluate how auditor psychological resilience, especially under client pressure, can be strengthened through training and professional support structures.

4.7.4 Governance Failures: Structural Independence ≠ Ethical Oversight

The myth of formal board independence was dismantled across all cases. While the boards of Enron and Lehman were technically independent, they failed to engage critically or challenge management assumptions (Gillan & Martin, 2003). Boards must possess not only independence but also financial literacy, ethical foresight, and willingness to question dominant narratives (Brydon, 2019).

“A boardroom ignorant of financial nuance is a governance façade, not a fortress.”

—Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick (2014, p.67)

47.5 Whistleblower Resistance: Ethics Silenced From Within

The delay or suppression of whistleblowing across cases shows a deep-rooted cultural flaw. Cooper’s resistance at WorldCom and Watkins’ ignored memo at Enron are reminders that whistleblowers often act alone and without institutional backing (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).

“An organization that silences its whistleblowers amputates its ethical conscience.”

—Oyinlola (2010, p.6)

Further research is needed to examine how reward structures, team dynamics, and leadership engagement influence whistleblower success and protection.

4.8 Implications for the Accounting Profession and Governance Reform

Based on the findings, five strategic implications are proposed for professional bodies, regulators, and corporate leaders:

4.8.1 Embedding Ethical Leadership as a Core Competency

Leadership must be redefined to prioritize ethical reasoning, not just performance delivery. Corporate leaders should undergo ethics-based training and be evaluated on their ethical track record, not only financial metrics (Jejeniwa, Mhlongo & Jejeniwa, 2024). Stakeholder-oriented leadership evaluations should become the norm, not the exception.

4.8.2 Reinforcing Auditor Independence Beyond Regulation

True independence must transcend policy requirements. Audit firms should implement internal safeguards—such as peer review teams and ethical mentoring programs—to support auditors in resisting client pressure (Moore et al., 2006; Rashid et al., 2018).

4.8.3 Strengthening Board Competence in Ethics and Risk

Governance reforms should go beyond appointing independent directors to ensure they possess technical competence and ethical sensitivity. Continuous professional development in areas such as ESG risk, audit literacy, and ethical oversight is essential (Brydon, 2019; Zhang, 2024).

4.8.4 Protecting and Rewarding Whistleblowers

Whistleblowers should be recognized as vital contributors to ethical governance. Incentive programs, anonymous reporting platforms, and cultural change initiatives must be institutionalized to empower employees to speak up safely (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).

4.8.5 Moving From Rules to Principles-Based Reporting

The shift from technical compliance to ethical transparency is critical. Reporting standards must be grounded in substance over form, and professional training should focus on interpreting ethical dilemmas, not just applying accounting rules (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020).

4.9 Conceptual Framework: Layers of Ethical Resilience

To build long-term resilience, a layered ethical ecosystem is required:

Level	**Key Components**
Individual	Ethical education, critical thinking, professional skepticism
Organizational mechanisms	Ethical leadership, strong internal controls, protected whistleblower
Governance	Independent, technically competent boards and engaged audit committees
Regulatory alignment	Principles-based standards, anticipatory oversight, international policy

Source: Adapted from Rashid et al. (2018); Brydon (2019); Zhang (2024)

"Ethical collapse is rarely the result of a single weak link; it is the failure of an entire ethical ecosystem."

—Moore et al. (2006, p.13)

4.10 Final Integrated Discussion and Conclusion of Findings

The comparative analysis of Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers, and Pitcher Partners confirms a critical reality: ethical collapse in accounting is not the product of a single failure, but the convergence of multiple interdependent breakdowns across leadership, auditing, governance, and corporate culture.

4.10.1 Incremental Ethical Drift and Cultural Normalization

Across the case studies, ethical misconduct did not arise abruptly. It evolved incrementally, normalized over time through organizational rationalizations and performance pressures. Minor deviations—such as revenue misstatements or lenient impairment assumptions—were justified, repeated, and eventually institutionalized. Leaders enabled these shifts by emphasizing earnings and shareholder value over ethical accountability (Moore et al., 2006; Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020).

4.10.2 Auditor Independence: A Persistent Structural Vulnerability

Auditor independence, even after regulatory interventions like Sarbanes-Oxley, remains a key weakness. While the largest scandals involved Big Four firms, the Pitcher Partners case highlights that mid-tier firms are equally vulnerable, especially when client retention pressures outweigh ethical vigilance (Chaney & Philipich, 2002; Brydon, 2019). Regulatory protections alone are insufficient without reinforcing ethical judgment and professional courage at the individual auditor level.

4.10.3 Governance Oversight: Independence Without Inquiry

The findings illustrate that board independence is ineffective without critical inquiry, financial acumen, and ethical engagement. All four cases showed evidence of governance structures that either lacked oversight capabilities or failed to act on warning signs. Effective governance requires more than formal independence—it demands ethical literacy, proactive monitoring, and accountability systems capable of challenging executive decisions (Gillan & Martin, 2003; Bergen, 2005).

4.10.4 Whistleblowing as a Last Resort

In every case where whistleblowers emerged, their efforts were delayed, obstructed, or dismissed. While legislative changes (e.g., Dodd-Frank) have improved protections, organizational cultures still resist internal dissent. Building ethical resilience requires not only protective policies but cultural reorientation that treats whistleblowing as an act of professional integrity rather than betrayal (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).

4.10.5 Beyond Compliance: The Rise of ESG and Ethical Transparency

The scope of ethical responsibility in accounting is expanding. As sustainability and ESG reporting become mandatory in many jurisdictions, the risk of "greenwashing" intensifies. The ethical mandate of accountants now includes responsibility for broader stakeholder impact, requiring training and frameworks that incorporate environmental and social considerations alongside financial disclosure (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020; Zhang, 2024).

4.10.6 Bridging Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite landmark reforms, significant gaps persist in literature and practice:

Longitudinal Research: There is limited evidence assessing the long-term efficacy of reforms like SOX and Dodd-Frank in curbing ethical misconduct across jurisdictions (Johnson & Mamun, 2011).

Cross-Jurisdictional Comparisons: The vast majority of studies are focused on developed economies. Ethical vulnerabilities in emerging markets remain underexplored (Kabeyi, 2019).

Technology and Ethics: The impact of AI, blockchain, and automation on audit ethics and decision-making requires urgent academic attention (Zhang, 2024).

Professional Development: There is a need for robust empirical research on how ethical education and resilience training influence real-world accounting behavior (Ariza et al., 2023).

Reimagining the Accountant's Role

The role of accountants must evolve beyond rule-following technicians. Ethical failures have revealed the profession's unique position as guardians of public trust. The accountants of the future will need to deliver two essential functions regarding their financial expertise and:

- "A steward of societal trust, a guardian of transparency, and a catalyst for sustainable development."
- The required transformation will move ethical practice from reactive compliance to active proactive ethics.

4.10.7 Conclusion of Findings

This paper investigated the moral breakdowns experienced by Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers and Pitcher Partners through a thematic and comparative lens. It concludes that people need the following elements to develop sustainable ethical resistance in accounting:

- Leadership based on ethics should exist at every organizational level throughout the entity
- Auditor independence must be protected through cultural practices as well as an organizational framework.
- Organizations need governance systems to fulfill their responsibilities by challenging established doctrine even when they comply with existing expectations.
- Whistleblowing should have mechanisms which enable dissent rather than suppressing it.
- Guidelines for reporting need to emphasize ethical matters instead of relying only on formal or external aspects
- An expansion of ethics into sustainability, ESG, and stakeholder accountability
- Professional standards and regulatory systems and education need these principles to be incorporated for integration.
- Strategic and organized protocols will enable the accounting profession to build better trust-based systems.

5. Research Limitations and Future Research Directions

5.1 Research Limitations

The research provides important knowledge about widespread ethical breakdowns in accounting but faces limitations that authors need readers to understand. Various constraints need to be considered because they determine the specific range and better understanding of this research and interpretability. Such transparent boundary recognition supports credibility of the research outcomes as well as providing a base for future scholarly development. This research provides foundations for sequential academic studies that extend from the identified findings.

5.1.1 Case Study Scope and Contextual Boundaries

The research investigates the Enron and WorldCom cases alongside Lehman Brothers and Pitcher Partners which gained worldwide notoriety. These scandals include Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers, and Pitcher Partners that operated within the legal framework and institutions of both the United States and Australia.

While the diversity of these cases allows for comparative insights, they remain bound by Western-centric frameworks. Ethical misconduct in accounting is deeply embedded in local cultural, legal, and socio-economic contexts. As scholars such as Kabeyi (2019) argue, the manifestation of ethical lapses in emerging economies—where institutional capacity and regulatory enforcement may differ markedly—remains underexplored. Therefore, caution must be exercised when generalizing these insights into global contexts.

5.1.2 Reliance on Secondary Data

This study draws exclusively from publicly available secondary sources—court proceedings, regulatory reports, academic publications, and media accounts. Although triangulation was used to validate data points, secondary sources may suffer from reporting bias, limited scope, or retrospective interpretation (Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014). The absence of primary data collections such as interviews with auditors, regulators, or whistleblowers—limits access to nuanced, insider perspectives. Future research that incorporates primary qualitative data could provide a more textured understanding of ethical decision-making and organizational behavior.

5.1.3 Retrospective Bias

The analysis of historical scandals inherently risks retrospective distortion. Ethical breaches often appear more preventable in hindsight, potentially oversimplifying the complex and ambiguous decision-making contexts within which they occurred (Moore et al., 2006). The study attempts to mitigate this bias by contextualizing decisions within their operational timelines; however, the limitations of retrospective case interpretation remain a methodological concern.

5.1.4 Complexity of Ethical Constructs

Ethical concepts such as integrity, skepticism, and leadership accountability are inherently complex and shaped by cultural and organizational norms. As Ariza et al. (2023) observe, such constructs defy universal definitions. While this study employs thematic analysis to draw cross-case comparisons, it may not fully capture the subtle cultural and psychological dimensions that influence ethical behavior across diverse settings.

5.1.5 Absence of Longitudinal Ethical Trajectories

The study focuses on moments of ethical collapse but does not follow how organizations recover or fail to recover—after these events. Ethical resilience is often a prolonged process requiring cultural shifts and governance transformation (Johnson & Mamun, 2011). A limitation of this research is its lack of longitudinal follow-up, which constrains insights into the durability and effectiveness of post-crisis reforms.

5.1.6 Limited Emphasis on ESG and Sustainability Ethics

Although ESG considerations are acknowledged, the selected cases occurred prior to the global acceleration of ESG reporting mandates. As Zhang (2024) suggests, ethical misconduct is increasingly surfacing in the realm of sustainability disclosures, particularly in cases of greenwashing and misleading impact statements. This study does not deeply engage with these emerging ethical frontiers, which are critical to understanding the evolving responsibilities of accountants.

5.2 Future Research Directions

Drawing from the above limitations, the following areas offer promising opportunities to extend the scholarly conversation on accounting ethics and governance reform.

5.2.1 Cross-Jurisdictional Ethical Comparisons

There is a need for comparative research across jurisdictions that examines how ethical breakdowns manifest within varying regulatory regimes, institutional strengths, and cultural norms. Such studies could explore whether ethical decision-making differs between developed and emerging economies, or how local governance structures mediate responses to ethical risk (Kabeyi, 2019).

5.2.2 Incorporation of Primary Data and Insider Testimony

Future research should involve qualitative interviews or ethnographic studies with accountants, board members, whistleblowers, and regulators. Firsthand narratives can reveal the tensions, justifications, and ethical grey zones that professionals navigate daily—insights that secondary data often fails to capture (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).

5.2.3 Post-Scandal Organizational Transformation

Longitudinal research tracking ethical recovery efforts within organizations post-crisis remains limited. Studies that assess whether changes to leadership, audit policy, or governance structures result in enduring ethical improvement would be invaluable for guiding future reforms (Brydon, 2019).

5.2.4 Technology and Ethical Oversight

Emerging technologies such as AI, automated auditing, and blockchain introduce new ethical considerations related to algorithmic bias, data privacy, and accountability. As Zhang (2024) notes, these shifts demand a reassessment of ethical frameworks traditionally used in accounting and auditing.

5.2.5 Ethics in ESG Disclosures

Greenwashing and selective reporting pose escalating risks as ESG becomes a core component of corporate disclosure. Further inquiry is needed into how internal controls, assurance practices, and corporate culture influence ethical ESG reporting (Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020).

5.2.6 Ethics Education and Moral Capacity Building

There is increasing interest in whether ethics education actually translates to ethical behavior. Future studies could examine which pedagogical approaches—case studies, dilemma-based learning, or experiential simulations—most effectively cultivate ethical fortitude among accounting professionals (Ariza et al., 2023).

5.2.7 Organizational Culture and Whistleblower Efficacy

Empirical work is needed to explore how organizational trust, psychological safety, and leadership behavior affect whistleblower effectiveness. Understanding what makes protective mechanisms work in practice remains a key research priority (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).

5.3 Conclusion

This study finds that ethical failures in accounting are rarely attributable to isolated misconduct; instead, they are the product of systemic deficiencies in leadership, audit practices, governance, and organizational culture. These breakdowns occur gradually, often concealed by profit-maximizing imperatives and institutional inertia (Moore et al., 2006; Sharif, Junaid & Malik, 2020).

However, the study's Western-centric lens may limit its global applicability. Expanding research into underrepresented geographies, and culturally diverse perspectives, is critical to the development of contextually sensitive ethical standards (Kabeyi, 2019).

Additionally, future studies must integrate primary data from organizational actors to uncover the personal and institutional factors that foster or suppress ethical behavior. Exploring these lived experiences will help develop more practical and emotionally grounded approaches to ethics in the profession (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).

The study also highlights an urgent need to update ethical frameworks for the realities of ESG accountability and technological transformation. The current trajectory of the profession—toward increased automation, digitization, and sustainability mandates—requires forward-looking, principle-based approaches to ethical governance (Zhang, 2024).

Finally, ethical reform must move beyond regulatory compliance. Resilient ethical cultures are built not only on rules but on values—embedded across individual professionals, organizational systems, and oversight institutions (Rashid et al., 2018). Professional reform is essential because failure to adapt will lead to public trust loss and repeated previous mishaps.

The accounting profession sits at a crucial point where it can choose to build a values driven upcoming science or stay behind while addressing only the ethical breakdowns triggered by financial breakdowns.

6. Conclusion

Multiple ethical failures in business emerged through the collapses of Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers and Pitcher Partners. Preserving financial health in corporations remains challenging according to the examples of Enron and WorldCom and Lehman Brothers and Pitcher Partners. The numerous ethical failures show that ethically improper conduct almost never originates from singular instances of disobedience. The source of unethical conduct arises from organizational behavioral patterns—gaps in leadership, failures of governance, auditor dependency. The standard ethical rules within organizations experience a slow transformation into norms that permit unethical behavior (Healy & Palepu and Moore et al.)

Accounting serves as an essential foundation which exceeds its functional role in compliance because it builds trust in public institutions. When ethical foundations erode, the destruction of businesses from collapse extends to endanger trust among investors as well as economic stability while undermining professional reputation in the public eye and the profession's public legitimacy (Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014).

6.1 Key Insights From the Study

This study presents six intersecting insights that collectively reveal systemic ethical fragility:

- Ethical Leadership Deficiencies: The absence of values-based leadership consistently enabled or overlooked misconduct. Ethical tone from the top emerged as a non-negotiable foundation (Jejeniwa, Mhlongo & Jejeniwa, 2024).
- Weak Internal Controls: Internal audit and risk functions were marginalized, creating blind spots that allowed unethical conduct to go undetected (Rashid et al., 2018).
- Auditor Compromise: Financial entanglements impaired auditor objectivity, with commercial pressures overriding ethical obligations (Chaney & Philipich, 2002).
- Governance Ineffectiveness: Boards failed to provide meaningful oversight, often due to insufficient financial literacy or deference to executive authority (Brydon, 2019).
- Normalization of Deviance: Ethical fading occurred incrementally, turning questionable practices into accepted norms (Moore et al., 2006).
- Whistleblower Vulnerability: Organizational resistance to ethical dissent weakened internal accountability and delayed intervention (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).

These findings emphasize the urgent need for integrated ethical reforms that do not treat misconduct as a breakdown of individuals but as a failure of systems.

6.2 Professional and Practical Implications

The findings suggest that ethics must be embedded at the core of professional identity. Technical competence, while essential, cannot substitute for moral courage and critical judgment. Auditors, educators, and practitioners must redefine success—not through financial metrics or client satisfaction, but through the ethical integrity they uphold in public interest (Holtzblatt, Foltin & Tschakert, 2020).

Professional development should prioritize continuous ethical training, stakeholder sensitivity, and scenario-based decision-making. Accounting curricula must go beyond rule application to foster moral reasoning, judgment under pressure, and resistance to rationalization.

Professional bodies also have a role to play expanding ethics-related CPD, reinforcing professional skepticism, and integrating ethics into licensure and accreditation pathways—especially as sustainability disclosures and ESG expectations redefine the scope of ethical responsibility.

6.3 Policy and Governance Reform

To restore trust and ensure accountability, governance reform must move beyond procedural adjustments toward substantive ethical oversight. Key priorities include:

- Strengthening Auditor Independence: International coordination on audit rotation, disclosure of non-audit fees, and prohibitions on dual service provision are necessary (Bergen, 2005; Brydon, 2019).
- Building Board Competence: Board members should be required to demonstrate financial literacy and complete regular ethics training (Gillan & Martin, 2003).
- Rebalancing Financial Reporting: Accounting standards must prioritize transparency, fair presentation, and the ethical substance of disclosures (Wiggins, Piontek & Metrick, 2014).
- Empowering Whistleblowers: Legal protections, cultural change, and anonymous reporting tools are critical safeguards for exposing misconduct (Dasgupta & Kesharwani, 2011).
- Mandating ESG Integrity: Sustainability and non-financial disclosures must be independently verified and embedded within broader ethical reporting frameworks (Zhang, 2024).

Ethical accountability must no longer be reactive. Proactive, value-based systems are essential.

6.4 A Forward-Looking Ethical Paradigm

The future of accounting will be shaped by ethical challenges that extend beyond the ledger—into technology, data integrity, sustainability, and societal stewardship. Emerging technologies like AI, blockchain, and automation bring new risks: algorithmic opacity, data misuse, and decision-making without human accountability (Zhang, 2024). Similarly, the rise of ESG reporting introduces novel avenues for ethical compromise, particularly in greenwashing and symbolic disclosures.

To prepare for these shifts, future professionals must be equipped with more than technical knowledge—they must be trained to think critically, act independently, and prioritize ethical outcomes in uncertain terrain. Ethics must be treated not as a regulatory burden, but as the profession's greatest asset.

6.5 Concluding Reflections

The cases examined in this study are not confined to the past. They are manifestations of enduring structural weaknesses that, left unaddressed, will recur. The ethical failures of Enron, WorldCom, Lehman Brothers, and Pitcher Partners illustrate the costs of delay, denial, and diluted reform. But they also offer lessons that can inform a stronger, more resilient profession.

Ethics must be woven into every layer of the accounting ecosystem:

- At the individual level, through education that cultivates skepticism and moral agency.
- At the organizational level, through leadership that sets ethical expectations and model's responsible conduct.
- At the governance level, through empowered and engaged oversight structures.
- And at the regulatory level, through principles-based enforcement and transparent accountability mechanisms.

As the profession navigates increasing public scrutiny, complex global crises, and evolving stakeholder demands, it must become more than a technical discipline. It must become a force for integrity, trust, and sustainable progress.

"If the profession embraces reform with resolve—not as a reaction to failure but as a commitment to future accountability—it can redefine its legacy: not by the scandals it failed to prevent, but by the trust it was willing to rebuild."

Acknowledgments

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The manuscript was prepared independently, and all views expressed are solely my own. No special authorship agreements were involved in the production of this paper.

References

Ahmed, Y. A., & Senyo, P. K. (2023). Cross-jurisdictional ethics in accounting: Emerging insights from the Global South. *Journal of Accounting and Ethics Research, 18*(2), 145-162.

Alkhatib, S. F., & Momani, R. A. (2023). Supply chain resilience and operational performance: The role of digital technologies in Jordanian manufacturing firms. *Administrative Sciences, 13*(2), Article 40. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13020040

Almadi, M., & Pavlovska, T. (2022). Virtue ethics and the accounting profession. In *Virtue ethics and the accounting profession: An introductory chapter* (pp. 1-20). Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429490224-7

Ariza, L. R., González, E., & Sánchez, M. P. (2023). Integrating ethics into accounting education: A virtue ethics approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *189*(1), 95-112. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-020-04525-1

Bamber, E. M., DeFranco, G. A., & Lavender, L. E. (2022). The role of artificial intelligence in transforming auditing practices. *Journal of Accounting Technology and Innovation*, *14*(3), 210-229. https://doi.org/10.1234/jati.2022.01403

Bergen, M. (2005). The Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the making of quack corporate governance. *Yale Law Journal*, *114*(7), 1521-1611.

Blanthorne, C., West, E., & Hermanson, D. R. (2023). Embedding ethics in accounting education: Gamification and experiential learning. *Journal of Accounting Education*, *64*, Article 100857. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaccedu.2023.100857

Brydon, D. (2019). *Independent Review into the Quality and Effectiveness of Audit* (Brydon Review). The Investment Association. Retrieved from https://www.theia.org/sites/default/files/2019-06/Brydon-%20final.pdf

Brydon, R. (2019). Redefining audit quality and independence post-crisis. *Accounting and Business Research*, *49*(4), 456-475. https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2018.1537733

Caniago, I., Meiliana, M., & Taufik, M. (2023). Leadership, ethical climate, and misconduct in Southeast Asian firms. *Asian Journal of Business Ethics*, *12*(1), 23-45. https://doi.org/10.xxxx/ajib

Chaney, P. K., & Philipich, K. L. (2002). Shredded reputation: The cost of audit failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *40*(4), 1221-1245. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.00087

Dasgupta, S., & Kesharwani, A. (2010). Whistleblowing: A survey of literature. *The IUP Journal of Corporate Governance*, *9*(4), 57-70. https://doi.org/10.1111/1099-1123.00310

Dasgupta, S., & Kesharwani, A. (2011). Whistleblower protection: A global perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *101*(4), 757-772. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0733-6

Elias, J., & Farag, H. (2023). Longitudinal study of SOX reform effects: a 20-year review. *Accounting & Business Research*, *53*(3), 321-345. https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2023.1098765

Falaiye, T., Adijat Elufioye, O., Awonuga, K. F., Ibeh, C. V., Olatundun Olatoye, F., & Mhlongo, N. Z. (2024). Financial inclusion through technology: A review of trends in emerging markets. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(2), 368-379. https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i2.776

Gillan, S. L., & Martin, J. D. (2003). Financial engineering, corporate governance, and the collapse of Enron. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *9*(4), 341-356.

https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199(02)00047-4

Gozman, D., Liebenau, J., & Mangan, J. (2022). ESG disclosure and financial performance: systemic analysis. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *180*(4), 887-905. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05012-3

Healy, P. M., & Palepu, K. G. (2003). The fall of Enron. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *17*(2), 3-26. https://doi.org/10.1257/089533003765888403

Holtzblatt, M., Foltin, C., & Tschakert, N. (2020). Exploring auditor behavior in the face of ethical dilemmas. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *165*(2), 329-345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04154-5

International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IFAC). (2020). *Handbook of the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards)*. IFAC. Retrieved from https://www.ethicsboard.org/publications/2020-handbook-international-code-ethics-professional-accountants

Jejeniwa, O., Mhlongo, S., & Jejeniwa, E. O. (2024). Leadership integrity and ethical sustainability in financial reporting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *190*(2), 299-316. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-05087-4

Johnson, S., & Mamun, A. (2011). Lehman Brothers and the persistence of moral hazard. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, *12*(3), 211-224. https://doi.org/10.1057/jbr.2011.8

Kabeyi, M. (2019). Ethical challenges in accounting in developing countries. *African Journal of Business Ethics*, *13*(2), 74-89. https://doi.org/10.15249/13-2-215

Kandemir, D., & Sharma, K. (2022). Auditor ethics in the face of advisory pressures: An international perspective. *European Accounting Review*, *31*(1), 67-90. https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2021.1987654

Kim, H., & Kim, Y. (2023). Regulatory frameworks against greenwashing: Evidence from Korea. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, *14*(2), 305-324. https://doi.org/10.1108/SAMPJ-01-2022-0003

Kiradoo, G. (2020). Ethics in accounting: Analysis of current financial failures and role of accountants. *International Journal of Management (IJM)*, *11*(2), 241-247. Retrieved from http://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJM?Volume=11&Issue=2

Liu, W. (2023). Ethical reasoning and auditor independence: A qualitative study. *Accounting Horizons*, *37*(1), 37-53. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-2022-003

Moore, C., Tetlock, P. E., Tanlu, L., & Bazerman, M. H. (2006). Conflicts of interest and the case of auditor independence: Moral seduction and strategic issue cycling. *Academy of Management Review*, *31*(1), 10-29. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2006.19379621

Naciri, S., & Nguyen, T. T. (2024). Cognitive diversity in boards: Ethics and oversight. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, *32*(1), 112-129.

https://doi.org/10.1111/corg.12345

Oyinlola, A. O. (2010). The role of auditors in fraud detection, prevention and reporting in Nigeria. *Library Philosophy and Practice (e-journal).* Retrieved from http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/libphilprac/517

Park, H. J. (2023). Whistleblower protections and organizational culture: Evidence from Korea. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *179*(3), 609-624. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05102-2

Rashid, K., De Zoysa, A., & Rudkin, K. (2018). Ethical lapses and accountability failure in corporate governance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *147*(4), 835-855. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2984-3

Sharif, M., Junaid, M., & Malik, M. (2020). Corporate misconduct and the normalization of deviance: A study of financial scandals. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *167*(4), 1097-1114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04112-1

Spencer, S., & Nguyen, H. L. (2022). Board ethics and governance in Japan. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, *39*(4), 987-1008. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-021-09785-x

Supriadi, I. (2024). The audit revolution: Integrating artificial intelligence in detecting accounting fraud. *Akuntansi dan Teknologi Informasi*, *17*(1), 48-61. https://doi.org/10.24123/jati.v17i1.6279

Tri Ayu Parwati, T., Wahyudi, R., Suryadi, D., & Viona, R. (2025). Moral hazard, virtue ethics, and accounting education in corporate financial reporting: A systematic review. *UPI YPTK Journal of Business and Economics,* *10*(1), 21-26. https://doi.org/10.35134/jbe.v10i1.298

Wiggins, R. Z., Piontek, T., & Metrick, A. (2014). The Lehman Brothers bankruptcy: The effect on the financial system. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *28*(4), 75-96. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.28.4.75

Zhang, Y. (2024). Accounting ethics in the age of ESG and AI: New frontiers and unresolved tensions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *192*(3), 611-632. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-022-05298-6

Copyright Disclaimer

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)