International Journal of Management Innovation Systems
ISSN 1943-1384
2024, Vol. 9, No. 1

CBN Recapitalization Policy and Banking System Soundness in Nigeria

Dr. Marshal Iwedi (Corresponding author)
Department of Finance
Faculty of Administration and Management
Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt
Tel: 234-803-873-5422 E-mail: marshal.iwedi@ust.edu.ng

Dr. Precious Onyinye Okey-Nwala
Department of Finance
Faculty of Administration and Management
Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt
Tel: 234-810-583-7041 E-mail: Onyinye.okey-nwala@ust.edu.ng

Dr. Iheanacho Princewill Wachukwu
Department of Finance
Faculty of Administration and Management
Rivers State University, Nkpolu-Oroworukwo, Port Harcourt
Tel: 234-803-308-0749 E-mail: iheanacho.wachukwu@ust.edu.ng

Received: October 25, 2024 Accepted: December 26, 2024 Published: December 30, 2024
doi:10.5296/ijmis.v9i1.22338 URL: https://doi.org/10.5296/ijmis.v9i1.22338

Abstract

This study examines the impact of the Central Bank of Nigeria's (CBN) recapitalization policy on the soundness of the Nigerian banking system. The research analyzes the historical context of banking sector reforms in Nigeria, focusing on the recent substantial increase in minimum capital requirements announced in March 2024. The study investigates how these new capital standards aim to enhance banks' resilience against economic shocks, improve financial stability, and support sustained economic growth. Through a comprehensive analysis of financial indicators, stress testing data, and regulatory frameworks, the research reveals that the recapitalization policy is likely to significantly improve capital adequacy ratios, enhance risk management practices, and boost investor confidence in the Nigerian banking sector. The study also highlights potential challenges, including difficulties for smaller banks in meeting the new requirements and the risk of regulatory arbitrage. The research utilizes historical data on non-performing loan ratios, liquidity indicators, and capital adequacy ratios from 2009 to 2024 to assess the banking sector's resilience and the potential impact of the new policy. Findings suggest that while the Nigerian banking industry has shown improvement in key financial soundness indicators, it remains vulnerable to economic pressures, underscoring the importance of the recapitalization efforts. The study concludes that the CBN's recapitalization policy represents a crucial step towards creating a more robust and resilient banking sector in Nigeria. While implementation challenges exist, the potential benefits in terms of improved financial stability, enhanced risk management, and increased lending capacity are significant. The research emphasizes the need for careful monitoring during policy implementation to ensure effectiveness and mitigate unintended consequences.

Keywords: Bank recapitalization, Financial stability, Capital Adequacy Ratio, Nigerian banking sector, Regulatory reform

1. Introduction

The Nigerian banking system has undergone significant transformations over the past few decades, characterized by periods of rapid expansion, crises, and regulatory reforms. The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) has played a crucial role in steering the financial sector through these turbulent times, implementing policies aimed at ensuring stability and growth. One of the most notable of these policies is the recapitalization policy, which has had profound implications for the soundness of the banking system in Nigeria. The Nigerian banking sector comprises commercial banks, microfinance banks, merchant banks, Non interest banks and development finance institutions, serving a diverse range of financial needs across the economy. Historically, the sector has been plagued by issues such as poor asset quality, inadequate capital, and governance challenges, leading to periodic banking crises (Iwedi, 2017). In response to these challenges, the CBN has introduced various regulatory measures to strengthen the sector. Among these measures, the recapitalization policy stands out due to its significant impact on the banking system’s stability and soundness.

The recapitalization policy, introduced by the CBN in 2004 under the leadership of then-Governor Charles Soludo, mandated a substantial increase in the minimum capital requirement for banks from N2 billion to N25 billion (CBN, 2004). This policy aimed to consolidate the banking sector, reduce the number of weak banks, and enhance the capital base of surviving institutions. The policy led to a wave of mergers and acquisitions, reducing the number of banks from 89 to 25 and significantly improving the sector’s resilience to financial shocks (Sanusi, 2010).

Despite the economic challenges that led Nigeria into a recession in 2020 and pushed the inflation rate to 31.7% in February 2024, Nigerian banks have demonstrated resilience and improved financial performance. Key financial soundness indicators remain robust, with the Capital Adequacy Ratio at 13.7% in January well above the 10% regulatory minimum and Non-Performing Loans (NPLs) at 4.81%, below the 5% maximum threshold (CBN, 2024). Additionally, the liquidity ratio stood at 40.14%, surpassing the 30% regulatory minimum. Banks have also significantly increased lending to the private sector, with credit growing by 94% from N41.75 trillion in February 2023 to N80.86 trillion in February 2024. However, to achieve the President Tinubu administration’s goal of a $1 trillion economy, banks must enhance their support for economic activities. Nigeria’s Credit to Private Sector as a percentage of GDP was only 14.1% in 2022, far below the 90.7% average for BRICS countries (World Bank, 2023). To reach this benchmark, Nigerian banks need to amplify their lending efforts nearly six fold.

Given the prevailing economic challenges, the CBN has recently updated its minimum capital requirements to bolster banks’ financial resilience. Stakeholders and scholars have supported this recapitalization move, noting that inflation has significantly eroded the real value of bank capital since the last major review in 2005. They argue that the increased capital requirements are crucial for maintaining depositor safety, financial system stability, and enabling banks to support economic growth effectively. The CBN’s recapitalization policy aims to strengthen the banking sector's resilience against economic shocks and inflation, ensuring sustained support for economic activities. This study will investigate the policy's impact on Nigeria's banking system soundness, focusing on how higher capital bases improve banks' ability to manage economic volatility, maintain liquidity, and bolster the broader economy.

2. Literature Review

The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) recapitalization policy originated in the early 2000s, a period marked by substantial challenges within the Nigerian banking sector. Before its implementation, the sector suffered from low capital bases, poor corporate governance, and a high incidence of non-performing loans (NPLs) (Sanusi, 2010). These issues led to multiple banking crises, creating an urgent need for reforms to stabilize the sector. In 2004, led by then-Governor Charles Soludo, the CBN introduced a groundbreaking recapitalization policy to address these systemic weaknesses. The policy required banks to increase their minimum capital base from N2 billion to N25 billion, a substantial increment aimed at promoting consolidation and strengthening the sector's financial health (CBN, 2004). This measure led to widespread mergers and acquisitions, reducing the number of banks from 89 to 25 in two years.

The primary objective of the CBN recapitalization policy was to bolster the resilience and stability of Nigeria's banking system. By mandating higher capital requirements, the CBN sought to ensure that banks had adequate buffers to absorb financial shocks, reducing the likelihood of bank failures. Specific objectives included strengthening financial stability by creating a more robust banking sector capable of withstanding economic downturns and crises; promoting efficiency and competitiveness by encouraging mergers and acquisitions; improving public confidence in the banking system to stimulate financial intermediation; and reducing systemic risk by ensuring that banks were well-capitalized and more resilient to adverse economic conditions (Soludo, 2004).

The recapitalization policy introduced several key measures to achieve its objectives. The most prominent feature was the increase in the minimum capital base for banks from N2 billion to N25 billion, a measure designed to drive consolidation, resulting in fewer but stronger banks. To meet these new capital requirements, many banks merged or acquired others, creating larger, more capitalized institutions that could compete effectively on both domestic and international levels (CBN, 2004). In addition to capital requirements, the CBN implemented stricter regulatory and supervisory frameworks to ensure compliance and strengthen corporate governance across the sector. The policy also featured phased implementation, providing banks with a timeline to meet the new capital thresholds through various means, such as equity injections, rights issues, and strategic partnerships.

Building on the 2004 recapitalization success, the CBN has continued to adjust capital requirements to address evolving challenges. In March 2024, the CBN announced new capital requirement increases to adapt to inflationary pressures and economic volatility. The updated requirements are as follows: commercial banks with international authorization must maintain a minimum capital base of N500 billion, up from N50 billion; national commercial banks' capital base increased to N200 billion from N25 billion; regional commercial banks' base increased to N50 billion from N10 billion; merchant banks now have a minimum requirement of N50 billion; and non-interest banks must maintain N20 billion for national authorization and N10 billion for regional authorization (CBN, 2024).

However, the recent updates to the minimum capital requirements by the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) are anticipated to have substantial implications for the soundness of the Nigerian banking system. These impacts can be analyzed through various dimensions, including improved capital adequacy ratios, enhanced risk management practices, increased investor confidence, and improved lending practices. Each of these elements plays a vital role in the stability and resilience of the banking sector.

Improved Capital Adequacy Ratios: Capital adequacy ratios (CAR) are a critical measure of a bank's financial health, reflecting its ability to absorb potential losses and safeguard depositors' money. The CBN's decision to increase the minimum capital base for banks is expected to significantly enhance these ratios across the industry. Higher capital buffers enable banks to absorb unexpected losses, reducing the likelihood of bank failures (Iwedi, Edeh, & Oriakpono, 2023). According to the Basel III framework, which emphasizes capital adequacy, liquidity, and leverage, higher capital requirements ensure that banks are better equipped to handle financial stress (Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, 2011). By ensuring that banks maintain higher capital levels, the CBN's policy helps mitigate systemic risk. This is particularly important in Nigeria, where the banking sector plays a crucial role in economic stability. Research indicates that well-capitalized banks are less likely to engage in risky behavior, thus contributing to overall financial stability (Wachukwu, Iwedi, & Barisua, 2023; and Berger & Bouwman, 2013). Banks with robust capital adequacy ratios are more likely to receive favorable credit ratings from rating agencies. This not only lowers the cost of capital for these banks but also enhances their credibility and attractiveness to investors (IMF, 2019).

Enhanced Risk Management Practices: The increase in capital requirements is expected to drive banks to adopt more rigorous risk management practices to ensure compliance and maintain profitability. Banks will need to enhance their internal controls and risk assessment frameworks to manage the larger capital base effectively. This includes better credit risk assessment, operational risk management, and market risk management practices (CBN, 2018). The new capital requirements will likely push banks to invest in advanced risk management tools and technologies. These tools can help in better forecasting, stress testing, and scenario analysis, thereby improving the overall risk management framework (PwC, 2020). Enhanced risk management practices will ensure better compliance with regulatory requirements, reducing the likelihood of penalties and sanctions. This is crucial for maintaining operational efficiency and protecting the bank's reputation (CBN, 2018).

Increased Investor Confidence: Investor confidence is paramount for the banking sector, as it directly influences the ability of banks to attract capital and funding. The CBN's recapitalization policy is expected to boost investor confidence in several ways. Higher capital bases and improved financial stability make Nigerian banks more attractive to foreign investors. This can lead to increased foreign direct investment (FDI) in the banking sector, fostering economic growth (World Bank, 2020). Banks with strong capital adequacy and sound financial practices are likely to perform better in the stock market. This not only benefits the banks but also contributes to the overall health of the financial markets (IMF, 2019). With increased confidence from investors, banks can access capital at lower costs. This is crucial for funding expansion and lending activities, ultimately supporting economic development (Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, 2011).

Improved Lending Practices: The recapitalization policy is also expected to lead to improved lending practices among Nigerian banks. This can have far-reaching positive effects on the economy. With higher capital bases, banks can afford to be more selective and thorough in their credit assessments. This reduces the likelihood of loan defaults and improves the overall quality of the loan portfolio (Berger & Bouwman, 2013). Well-capitalized banks are better positioned to extend credit to individuals and businesses, fostering economic growth. This is particularly important for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which are crucial for job creation and economic development (World Bank, 2020). By improving their lending practices, banks can play a more effective role in supporting economic activities. This includes providing financing for infrastructure projects, agriculture, and other critical sectors of the economy (IMF, 2019). The CBN's recent updates to the minimum capital requirements are poised to significantly enhance the soundness of the Nigerian banking system. By improving capital adequacy ratios, fostering enhanced risk management practices, boosting investor confidence, and leading to improved lending practices, these measures will help ensure the stability and resilience of the banking sector.

The Central Bank of Nigeria's (CBN) decision to increase the minimum capital requirements for banks is aimed at enhancing the financial stability and resilience of the banking sector. However, this policy also presents several challenges and limitations. These include the difficulty in meeting recapitalization requirements, the impact on smaller banks, and the potential for regulatory arbitrage. Below, we explore these challenges in detail.

Difficulty in Meeting Recapitalization Requirements: The substantial increase in the minimum capital base poses significant challenges for many banks, particularly in a developing economy like Nigeria. Raising substantial capital in a short period can be challenging, especially in an economy that might be experiencing slow growth or other macroeconomic challenges. Banks may struggle to attract sufficient investment to meet the new requirements, particularly if investor confidence is low (Owusu-Antwi et al., 2020). Unfavorable market conditions, such as low stock market performance or economic downturns, can further complicate efforts to raise capital. Investors may be wary of committing substantial funds to banks during periods of economic uncertainty (IMF, 2019). To meet the new capital requirements, banks may need to issue new shares, which can dilute the holdings of existing shareholders. This can lead to resistance from current shareholders and potential internal conflicts (Berger, Imbierowicz, & Rauch, 2016). Banks may turn to debt financing to meet capital requirements, but this increases their leverage and financial risk. High levels of debt can strain a bank's financial health and increase the likelihood of default during economic downturns (Acharya, Berger, & Roman, 2018).

Impact on Smaller Banks: Smaller banks are likely to face disproportionate challenges in meeting the new capital requirements, which could lead to significant changes in the banking sector. Smaller banks may find it harder to raise the required capital compared to their larger counterparts. This puts them at a competitive disadvantage, as they may struggle to attract the same level of investor interest or access to capital markets (Naceur & Kandil, 2009). The new requirements may lead to increased consolidation in the banking sector, as smaller banks merge or are acquired by larger institutions to meet capital requirements. While consolidation can lead to more robust institutions, it can also reduce competition and limit consumer choice (Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Levine, 2006). Smaller banks may face significant operational strain in trying to comply with the new requirements. The costs associated with raising capital, such as legal and advisory fees, can be disproportionately high for smaller institutions (Berger, Imbierowicz, & Rauch, 2016). Some smaller banks may be forced to exit the market if they are unable to meet the new capital requirements. This can lead to job losses, reduced access to banking services for some communities, and potential disruptions in the financial system (Owusu-Antwi et al., 2020).

Potential for Regulatory Arbitrage: Regulatory arbitrage occurs when institutions exploit differences in regulations between jurisdictions to circumvent regulatory requirements. The new capital requirements could inadvertently encourage such behavior. Banks or financial institutions might move their operations to less regulated sectors or jurisdictions to avoid stringent capital requirements. This can lead to a shift in financial activities to areas with weaker oversight, increasing systemic risk (Acharya, Berger, & Roman, 2018). Banks may develop or engage in complex financial instruments and off-balance-sheet activities to appear compliant while effectively reducing their actual capital base. This can obscure the true financial health of the institution and undermine regulatory objectives (Naceur & Kandil, 2009). International banks operating in Nigeria might exploit differences in regulatory standards between Nigeria and other countries. This can complicate the regulatory landscape and make it difficult for the CBN to enforce compliance effectively (Beck, Demirgüç-Kunt, & Levine, 2006). Inconsistent implementation of the new requirements across different banks and regions can lead to uneven playing fields. Banks that find ways to bypass the requirements can gain an unfair competitive advantage, undermining the policy’s effectiveness (Owusu-Antwi et al., 2020).

3. Methodology

The methodology adopted for this study on “CBN Recapitalization Policy and Banking System Soundness in Nigeria” follows a descriptive research design, utilizing secondary data sourced from the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) Financial Stability Reports across various years. This approach allows for a detailed examination of the changes in banking soundness metrics before and after the 2005 recapitalization policy and provides insights into the expected impact of the recent 2024 recapitalization adjustments.

The study population comprises all commercial banks operating in Nigeria as of 2024. Key variables include the Non-Performing Loans (NPL) ratio, Liquidity Ratio, and Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), as these metrics are critical indicators of banking soundness and stability. NPL ratios are used to assess credit risk levels within the banking sector, the Liquidity Ratio evaluates the ability of banks to meet short-term obligations, and CAR measures the capital buffer maintained by banks to absorb financial shocks.

Data analysis involves a stress-testing approach to evaluate the resilience of Nigerian commercial banks both before and after the 2005 recapitalization. Stress tests simulate adverse economic conditions to determine the banking sector's capacity to withstand financial stress, highlighting the influence of recapitalization on banking soundness. Furthermore, predictive analysis assesses the impact of the 2024 recapitalization, projecting that with stronger capital bases, Nigerian banks are positioned to perform better under economic stress, given their enhanced capital strength and resilience.

4. Results of Stress Testing of the Nigerian Banking Industry

Stress testing is a crucial tool used to assess the resilience of the banking sector to adverse economic conditions. By analyzing key indicators such as the Non-Performing Loans (NPL) ratio, liquidity, and Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), we can gauge the potential impact of economic shocks and the effectiveness of regulatory measures like the recent recapitalization policy by the Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). The table below summarizes the key financial and banking soundness indicators for the Nigerian banking industry from 2009 to 2024:

Table 1. Trends in non-performing loans, liquidity, and capital adequacy in the Nigerian banking industry (2009-2024)

Year	Banking Industry NPL Ratio	Banking Industry Liquidity Indictor	Banking Industry Capital Adequacy Indictor
2009	27.6	11	4.1
2010	15.7	11.7	1.8
2011	5.3	16	17.9
2012	3.5	16.2	18.9
2013	3.4	16.8	17.1
2014	3	11.4	17.2
2015	5.3	18.5	16.1
2016	14	16.3	13.9
2017	14.8	18.81	10.48
2018	11.67	22.64	15.21
2019	6.03	22.99	14.57
2020	5.7	20.98	16.46
2021	4.93	20.08	14.11
2022	4.95	19.82	13.76
2023	4.14	16.85	11.23
2024	4.15	42.7	12.3

Source: CBN Financial Stability Report Various Years and Issues.

The NPL ratio reflects the percentage of loans that are in default or close to being in default. High NPL ratios indicate poor asset quality and potential losses for banks (Onah, Iwedi, & Leera, 2022). Historically, the Nigerian banking industry's NPL ratio peaked at 27.6% in 2009 during the global financial crisis. It improved significantly to 3.0% in 2014 but spiked again to 14.8% in 2017 due to economic recession and oil price shocks. As of 2024, the NPL ratio stands at a relatively low 4.15%, indicating improved asset quality. Liquidity is crucial for banks to meet their short-term obligations. The liquidity indicator has shown significant improvement over the years, from a low of 11% in 2009 to a high of 42.7% in 2024. This indicates that banks are better positioned to handle liquidity pressures.

The CAR measures a bank's capital relative to its risk-weighted assets. It is crucial for absorbing losses and protecting depositors. The CAR has fluctuated significantly, reaching a low of 1.8% in 2010 and a high of 18.9% in 2012. As of 2024, the CAR stands at 12.3%, above the regulatory minimum but lower than previous years, highlighting the need for increased capitalization. The stress testing indicates that while the Nigerian banking industry has shown resilience, it remains vulnerable to economic shocks and inflationary pressures. The CBN's updated capital requirements are a crucial step towards enhancing the sector's financial resilience. By significantly increasing the capital base, banks will be better equipped to absorb losses, maintain liquidity, and support economic growth even in adverse conditions. The successful implementation of these requirements will ensure the stability and robustness of the Nigerian banking sector in the face of future challenges.

Discussion of Findings in Relation to the Existing Literature

The findings are consistent with the literature on the behavior of NPL, liquidity, and capital adequacy in the Nigerian banking sector. Onah, Iwedi, and Leera (2022) highlight that high NPL ratios reflect declining asset quality and heightened risk of losses. The highest NPL ratio reached 27.6% in 2009, confirming their assertion and reflecting the effects of the global financial crisis. The subsequent decrease to 3.0% by 2014 reflects the wider trend of economic recovery and better credit risk management; this agrees with the works of Adebayo and Yusuf 2020, which established how regulatory reforms ensured the dampening of credit risks. However, the increase in NPLs to 14.8% by 2017 during the period of economic recession justifies the fact that Adegbite et al. (2019) relate financial downturn and volatility in the price of oil to an increase in credit defaults.

The liquidity indicator's upward path from 11% in 2009 to 42.7% in 2024 underlines banks' enhanced capacity to manage their short-term obligations. It confirms the view of Oke and Aluko (2021), who stated that an increased liquidity buffer is the necessary condition for banking stability in a volatile economic environment. This good liquidity growth places Nigerian banks in a favorable position to confirm the argument that increased liquidity by Nwankwo (2023) smooths credit intermediation and reduces systemic risks.

The fluctuation in CARs from the low of 1.8% in 2010 to 18.9% in 2012 reflects periods of capitalization challenges and regulatory interventions. The CAR of 12.3% in 2024, though above the minimum threshold, portends the need for sustained capitalization efforts in tune with studies by Eke and Okonkwo (2022), who note that adequate capitalization is an important shock absorber and a protection for depositors. The recent capital requirements by the Central Bank of Nigeria are in tandem with the assertion of Chijioke and Umeh (2020) of the need for increased capital buffers to cushion banks against various macroeconomic shocks. Therefore, the results support the overall literature on the inter-relationship between NPLs, liquidity, and capitalization in reinforcing the banking stability cycle in Nigeria. Precisely, the outcomes have brought to the fore the need for sustained regulatory vigilance and proactive management of risks towards developing a solid banking system that would ensure steady economic growth.

5. Conclusion

The recapitalization policy is expected to lead to several positive outcomes for the Nigerian banking sector. Improved capital adequacy ratios will enhance banks' ability to absorb losses, reducing systemic risk and promoting financial stability. Enhanced risk management practices, driven by the need to comply with higher capital requirements, will lead to more rigorous internal controls and better risk assessment frameworks. This, in turn, will reduce the likelihood of bank failures and protect depositor funds. Increased investor confidence, resulting from stronger capital bases and improved financial stability, will attract more foreign direct investment and lower the cost of capital for banks. This will enable banks to fund expansion and support economic activities more effectively. Improved lending practices, supported by higher capital levels, will enhance the quality of loan portfolios and reduce the incidence of non-performing loans, fostering economic growth and development.

However, the policy also presents challenges, particularly for smaller banks that may struggle to meet the new capital requirements. The potential for regulatory arbitrage and the difficulty in raising substantial capital in a short period are significant concerns that need to be addressed. Nevertheless, the CBN's recapitalization policy is a crucial step towards ensuring the long-term soundness and stability of the Nigerian banking system. In conclusion, the CBN's recapitalization policy represents a comprehensive effort to bolster the financial health of Nigerian banks. By addressing capital adequacy, risk management, investor confidence, and lending practices, the policy aims to create a more resilient banking sector capable of supporting sustainable economic growth. The successful implementation of these requirements will be essential in maintaining the stability and robustness of the Nigerian banking sector in the face of future challenges.

References

Acharya, V. V., Berger, A. N., & Roman, R. A. (2018). Lending implications of U.S. bank stress tests: Costs or benefits? *Journal of Financial Intermediation, 34*, 58-89.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2011). *Basel III: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems*. Bank for International Settlements.

Beck, T., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., & Levine, R. (2006). Bank concentration, competition, and crises: First results. *Journal of Banking & Finance, 30*(5), 1581-1603.

Berger, A. N., & Bouwman, C. H. (2013). How does capital affect bank performance during financial crises? *Journal of Financial Economics, 109*(1), 146-176.

Berger, A. N., Imbierowicz, B., & Rauch, C. (2016). The roles of corporate governance in bank failures during the recent financial crisis. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 48*(4), 729-770.

Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2004). *Annual Report and Statement of Accounts*. Abuja: CBN.

Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2018). *Risk-Based Supervision Framework*. Abuja: CBN.

Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2024). *Annual Report and Statement of Accounts.* Abuja: CBN.

Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN). (2024). *Circular on Minimum Capital Requirements for Banks.* Abuja: CBN.

IMF. (2019). *Global Financial Stability Report.* Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund.

International Monetary Fund (IMF). (2019). *Global Financial Stability Report.* Washington, D.C.: IMF.

Iwedi, M. (2017). Bank failure in Nigeria: Evidence of prudential regulator laxity. *Frontiers in Management Research, 1*(4), 141-150.

Iwedi, M., Edeh, M. B., & Oriakpono, A. (2023). Capital regulatory policy and non-performing loans of commercial banks in Nigeria. *African Journal of Accounting and Financial Research, 6*(2), 55-69.

Naceur, S. B., & Kandil, M. (2009). The impact of capital requirements on banks' cost of intermediation and performance: The case of Egypt. *Journal of Economics and Business, 61*(1), 70-89.

Onah, C. R., Iwedi, M., & Leera, L. K. (2022). Causal relationship between asset quality and profitability of the Nigeria banking industry. *Contemporary Journal of Banking and Finance, 2*(9), 13-21.

Owusu-Antwi, G., Banerjee, A., Antwi, J., & Owusu-Antwi, G. A. (2020). The impact of financial sector recapitalization on bank performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Banking and Financial Services, 14*(2), 75-95.

PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC). (2020). *Banking in Nigeria: The Future of Risk Management.* PwC Nigeria.

Sanusi, L. S. (2010). *The Nigerian Banking Industry: What Went Wrong and the Way Forward*. Lecture delivered at Bayero University, Kano.

Soludo, C. (2004). *Consolidating the Nigerian Banking Industry to Meet the Development Challenges of the 21st Century.* Address delivered at the Special Meeting of the Bankers' Committee, July 6, 2004.

Wachukwu, P. I., Iwedi, M., & Barisua, S. P. (2023). Central bank policy and commercial banks distress level in Nigeria. *Journal of Business and Management*, *1*(2), 157-173.

World Bank. (2020). *World Development Indicators*. World Bank Data.

World Bank. (2023). *World Development Indicators*. World Bank Data.