

The Impact of Teacher Feedback on Non-cognitive Aspects of Student's Performance in Higher Education: A Review of Research.

Angelos Charalampous (Corresponding author)

Department of Primary Education, University of the Aegean Dimokratias 1, Rhodes 85132, Greece

Maria Darra

Department of Primary Education, University of the Aegean Dimokratias 1, Rhodes 85132, Greece

Received: June 2, 2024	Accepted: June 18, 2024	Published: June 20, 2024
doi: 10.5296/ire.v12i2.	URL: https://doi.org/10).5296/ire.v12i2.

Abstract

Teacher feedback in higher education is an essential aspect of the teaching and learning process, as it presents the learner with objective judgments about his performance, helping his educational progress and improving his academic performance. This paper is a systematic review based on the PRISMA 2020 methodology, which identified 41 studies in higher education from 2013 to 2023 documenting the effect of teacher feedback on non-cognitive domains of learner performance. From the results of the research, it was found that the provision of any form of feedback by the teachers helped the learners to develop and strengthen non-cognitive skills, such as motivation, self-regulation, cooperation, self-reflection, self-esteem, self-confidence, self-efficacy, criticism thinking, reducing stress, co-constructing knowledge, regulating their emotions, metacognitive awareness. The trainees positively provided feedback from the teachers, perceiving its benefits in developing their social-emotional skills.

Keywords: teacher feedback, higher education, non-cognitive skills, student's performance

1. Introduction

Feedback is the information provided to a learner to bridge the gap between their current performance and the desired goal (Burgess & Mellis, 2015). Its primary purpose is to help



learners adjust their thoughts and behaviors, revise their work, produce improved learning outcomes, and enhance their performance while developing cognitive and non-cognitive, socio-emotional, and metacognitive skills (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Social-emotional skills help individuals understand and manage their emotions, create and maintain positive relationships, make responsible decisions, and respond effectively to various social situations (Goleman, 1995; Conley, 2015). These skills are essential for personal well-being, school and workplace success, and overall life satisfaction (Rimm-Kaufman & Pianta, 2015).

The international literature review reveals that only a few studies have examined the impact of various types of feedback on the development of non-cognitive skills. While several sources have been cited (Black & Wiliam, 1998; Pekrun et al., 2005; Dweck, 2006; Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Hulleman & Harackiewicz, 2009; Yeager & Dweck, 2012), only one systematic review by Wisniewski, Zierer & Hattie (2020) has investigated the effects of feedback on learner learning in general, focusing on different types of feedback and their impact on learner performance. However, none of these studies exclusively focused on the effect of feedback on non-cognitive aspects of learner performance in higher education, which is the primary focus of this paper.

2. The Impact of Teacher Feedback on Non-cognitive Aspects of Student Performance: A Theoretical Perspective

In the context of higher education, feedback involves providing specific information that compares a learner's observed performance with a standard. The main purpose of feedback is to help improve the learner's performance (Van den Berg et al., 2006). Feedback is an essential part of the learning process and aims to minimize the gap between actual and desired performance (Burgess & Mellis, 2015). The feedback process serves several functions: a) it engages the learner by providing information about the quality of their performance and encourages them to enhance their learning strategies (Shepard, 2000), b) it supports effective decision-making by the learner and contributes to improved learning outcomes (Branch & Paranjape, 2002), c) it acts as a powerful tool to provide the learner with judgments about their performance, thereby aiding in their educational progress (Boud & Molloy, 2013). However, feedback practices are often unsustainable and demoralizing to learners (Boud & Molloy, 2013; Zahid et al., 2017). The ability to assess and provide feedback is a learned skill that requires appropriate training (Hattie & Timperley, 2007). Feedback can come in various forms, such as oral, written, informal, formal, descriptive, evaluative, peer feedback, and self-feedback (Hattie & Timperley, 2007; Boud & Molloy, 2013). Regardless of the form, it is the quality of the feedback that matters. Chappuis (2012) outlines three conditions that must be met before providing feedback, regardless of its form: a) learners need a clear vision of the intended learning, b) activities must be directly aligned with the intended learning, and learners must recognize this connection, and c) assessments must be designed so that learners can interpret the results as indicators of what they have or have not yet learned.

Feedback and non-cognitive skills are interrelated in several ways, particularly in educational and personal development contexts (Conley, 2015). Non-cognitive skills, also known as soft skills or social-emotional skills, are not related to cognitive skills, which focus on intellectual



abilities and academic performance, but focus on emotional-social skills (Matthews & Rugutt, 2019) and have a decisive role in personal and professional success (Salovey, & Mayer, 2016; Brackett, 2019). Non-Feedback and non-cognitive skills are interconnected in various ways, especially in educational and personal development contexts (Conley, 2015). Non-cognitive skills, also referred to as soft skills or social-emotional skills, are distinct from cognitive skills, which emphasize intellectual abilities and academic performance, and instead focus on emotional-social skills (Matthews & Rugutt, 2019). These skills play a crucial role in personal and professional success (Salovey & Mayer, 2016; Brackett, 2019). Non-cognitive skills can be broadly categorized into various dimensions, including:

a) Communication and interpersonal skills, encompassing communication, listening, presentation and negotiation skills, teamwork and collaboration, conflict resolution, networking, and relationship building (Weissberg et al., 2015; Matthews & Rugutt, 2019).

b) Emotional Intelligence, which includes self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and stress management (Goleman, 1995; Salovey & Mayer, 2016; Brackett, 2019).

c) Adaptability, comprising flexibility, resilience, and openness to new ideas (Conley, 2015; Matthews & Rugutt, 2019).

d) Creativity, involving critical thinking, resourcefulness, and innovation (Rimm-Kaufman & Pianta, 2015; Greenberg & Weissberg, 2017).

e) Leadership and management skills, encompassing decision-making, delegation, initiative, time management, problem-solving, and conflict management (Saarni, 2007; Davidson & Goldberg, 2012; Conley, 2015; Brackett, 2019).

f) Work ethic, including responsibility, directness, and integrity (Conley, 2015; Rimm-Kaufman & Pianta, 2015).

g) Cultural competence, comprising awareness of diversity, inclusiveness, and respect for different points of view (Weissberg et al., 2015; Greenberg & Weissberg, 2017).

Cognitive skills can be broadly categorized into various dimensions, some of which are as follows:

a) communication and interpersonal skills, including communication, listening, presentation and negotiation skills, teamwork and collaboration, conflict resolution, networking, and relationship building (Weissberg et al., 2015; Matthews & Rugutt, 2019).

b) Emotional Intelligence includes self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and stress management (Goleman, 1995; Salovey & Mayer, 2016; Brackett, 2019).

c) adaptability, which includes flexibility, resilience, and receptiveness to new ideas (Conley, 2015; Matthews & Rugutt, 2019)

d) creativity includes critical thinking, resourcefulness, and innovation (Rimm-Kaufman & Pianta, 2015; Greenberg & Weissberg, 2017).

e) leadership and management skills, which include decision-making, delegation, initiative,



time management, problem-solving, and conflict management (Saarni, 2007; Davidson & Goldberg, 2012; Conley, 2015; Brackett, 2019)

f) work ethic includes responsibility, directness, and integrity (Conley, 2015; Rimm-Kaufman & Pianta, 2015).

g) cultural competence, which includes awareness of diversity, inclusiveness, and respect for different points of view (Weissberg et al., 2015; Greenberg & Weissberg, 2017).

3. Purpose and Research Questions

The primary aim of this research is to use a literature review methodology to explore the impact of teacher feedback in non-cognitive areas on the academic performance of higher education students, based on an analysis of forty-one research papers published from 2013 to 2023. The main research question is: To what extent does teacher feedback influence the non-cognitive aspects of student performance in higher education? The specific research questions that will be addressed are:

a) What are the findings of the reviewed research on the impact of teacher feedback on the non-cognitive aspects of student performance in higher education?

b) Which types of feedback are most effective in enhancing non-cognitive aspects of student performance in higher education?

c) of teacher feedback on the non-cognitive aspects of student performance in higher education?

d) What was the methodological approach used in the studies under review?

4. Previous Systematic Review Studies

The impact of feedback on learners' non-cognitive skills has been under-researched in recent decades. Black & Wiliam (1998) highlighted the potential of formative assessment and timely feedback in improving learner learning. By providing feedback that targets specific strategies, processes, and areas for improvement, educators can play a crucial role in developing both cognitive and non-cognitive skills, shaping the future of education and psychology.

Although Pekrun et al. (2005) study did not specifically focus on feedback, it developed a framework for understanding academic emotions and their influence on learning. The researchers concluded that effective feedback could affect learners' emotional responses to learning and their non-cognitive skills.

Carol Dweck's (2006) work on mindsets, while not solely focused on feedback, is highly relevant to understanding how feedback can impact non-cognitive skills. The concept of a growth mindset, where individuals believe they can develop their abilities through effort and learning, suggests that feedback, when delivered effectively, can shape students' beliefs about their abilities, resilience, and approach to challenges.

Hattie & Timperley's (2007) meta-analysis examined different types of feedback and their impact on learning outcomes, including both cognitive and non-cognitive aspects. The



researchers emphasized that effective feedback should be timely, specific, and focused on the task rather than the individual.

Hulleman & Harackiewicz (2009) investigated the role of feedback in enhancing learner interest and motivation in science courses. They found that feedback emphasizing the value of effort and linking it to improved performance had a positive impact on both cognitive and non-cognitive outcomes.

Yeager & Dweck (2012) examined how learners' beliefs about their abilities influenced their resilience and response to challenges. They concluded that effective feedback, which encourages a growth mindset, can contribute to developing resilience and persistence.

Wisniewski, Zierer, and Hattie (2020) conducted a systematic research review investigating the effects of feedback on student learning through a meta-analysis of 435 empirical research studies. The results indicated a medium effect of feedback on trainee learning, with a substantial influence from the conveyed information content. Additionally, feedback had a greater impact on cognitive and motor skill outcomes than on motivational and behavioral outcomes.

5. Methodology

The present review was methodologically based on the PRISMA 2020 model by Page et al. (2021), which replaces the 2009 model. It includes the stages of identification, separation, suitability control, and final selection of surveys (Figure 1).





The keywords or phrases used were "Feedback" AND "Non-Cognitive Skills," "Feedback" AND "Non-Cognitive Outcomes of Student's Performance," OR "Teacher's Feedback*" AND "Non-Cognitive Outcomes", OR "Feedback*" AND "Non-Cognitive Skills of Student's Performance", OR "Teacher's Feedback*" AND "Non-Cognitive Skills". In addition, the term social-emotional skills were used. The search was mainly done using English terms since the main volume of literature in the field is published in the English language.

Regarding the rationale for choosing the above terms, initially, the terms "Feedback" and "Non-Cognitive Outcomes" and "Non-Cognitive Skills*" were used, to limit the entries to research related to feedback and non-cognitive skills of the trainees. The term "social-emotional skills*" was also included to focus on research related to the term. Nevertheless, because many investigations related to other scientific fields, along with the terms above, the term "Education*" was also included. Finally, the asterisk symbol was also used in the terms above to include as much research as possible, which contained terms with the same letters.

The present review was conducted in seven bibliographic databases: IEEEXplore, SAGE Journals, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, Google Scholar, Scopus and ResearchGate. With the main aim of broadening the scope of the search compared to previous systematic reviews, the search was initially carried out in Scopus and IEEEXplore, which are two of the largest databases covering a broad subject area. Subsequently, a search was carried out in the scientific databases SpringerLink, ScienceDirect, SAGE Journals and ResearchGate, which include thematic sections focused on the social sciences and humanities. Finally, Google Scholar was also used, despite the limitations in search capabilities, to ensure a comprehensive search across various platforms.

The search based on the above resulted in 152 studies, of which 35 studies were duplicates and were promptly removed. The remaining 117 studies were included in level 1 screening, where titles and abstracts were meticulously analyzed based on the selection criteria (Table 1). To ensure the internal consistency of the procedure, a small number of the same surveys were evaluated, and Cohen's kappa coefficient was calculated (Figure 1). During this process, 42 surveys were excluded, demonstrating the precision and rigor of our selection process.

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria	
Studies written in Greek and English.	Studies written in a language other than	
	English that could not be translated.	
Application in the field of education.	They are not concerned about the application	
	in the field of education.	
They were reporting on the effect of	They do not address the effect of feedback in	
feedback in non-cognitive domains of	non-cognitive domains of learner	
learner performance in higher education.	performance in higher education.	
The summary states some information.	Reviews/theoretical studies	
Publication year from 2013-2023	Publication year before 2013.	

Table 1. Criteria for inclusion/exclusion of studies in the review



The remaining 75 studies proceeded to level 2 screening, where their main text was reviewed. Out of these, 18 surveys were excluded because they required payment for access. The quality of the remaining 57 studies was assessed based on specific criteria: a) the description of the context of the effect of feedback on non-cognitive fields of learners' performance in higher education (cognitive field, type of research), b) the methodological design used (type of data collected, sample of participants), and c) the data collection method and research tools used.

Following this evaluation, 41 studies were selected for the systematic review, meeting the established criteria. Additionally, the internal consistency of the process was evaluated by calculating Cohen's kappa coefficient (Figure 1).

6. Results

Table 2 presents the researched studies in higher education that were identified through a bibliographic search on the impact of teacher feedback on non-cognitive aspects of student performance. The information provided for each study includes the researcher/s, time and country of implementation, purpose, research type, sample size, subject, and the results obtained.

Researcher s Year	Purpose of research	Type of research Sample size Subject	Results
Country		Subject	
Saeed,	Studying the impact of	quantitative	Feedback is crucial for
Lodhi,	teacher feedback on	research	fostering learners' intrinsic
Sadiq,	students' intrinsic		motivation.
Hashmi,	motivation and		
Sami,	academic performance.	150 undergraduate	
Dustgeer &		students	
Ahmad			
2013			
Pakistan			
	Determining the	action research	The trainees significantly
Medina,	necessary feedback to		improved their ability to
Conway,	enhance	229 undergraduate	prioritize presented
Davis-Max	problem-solving skills	students	information and their
well &	in group learning using		overall problem-solving
Webb	a problem-solving	Pharmaceutical	rubric scores after receiving
2013	rubric.	sciences	verbal and written feedback.
USA			
	Investigating the impact	mixed research	Group collaboration via a
Zhang,	of electronic feedback		blog fosters teamwork,

Table 2. Impact of teacher feedback on non-cognitive aspects of learner performance in higher education.



Song, Shen & Huang 2014	on enhancing learners' writing experience and its correlation with learner motivation, collaboration, and	students	self-reflection, and co-creation of knowledge.
China	satisfaction.		
Lindon-Mor ris & Laidlaw 2014 UK	Investigating how learners' anxiety and self-awareness affected their learning experience in video feedback workshops.	students	Trainees expressed high anxiety about being recorded while interacting with a simulated patient, leading to heightened public self-awareness. Concerns about judgment from fellow learners were prevalent.
Sheldon, Dunning & Ames 2014	Exploringthesignificanceofself-awarenessinexpressingoverlyoptimisticviewsoflearners'expertiseand	action research 157 postgraduate students	After receiving feedback, high-performing learners demonstrated greater self-awareness and a stronger desire to enhance their emotional intelligence
USA	performance, and its expansion to emotional intelligence		compared to less-skilled learners.
Ekholm, Zumbrunn & Conklin 2015 USA	perceptions on	-	Results showed that learners' perceptions of the feedback they receive on their writing assignments partially mediated the relationship between writing self-efficacy and writing
Brown, Peterso &	Exploring learners' beliefs about the role	Academic writing mixed research	self-regulatory beliefs. The research findings not only highlight the
Yao	and purpose of	-	importance of feedback in
2016	feedback and their relationship to self-reported	students 97 male, 181 female	learning but also provide practical insights. They demonstrate how feedback
New Zealand	self-regulation and self-efficacy.		can significantly enhance self-regulation, academic self-efficacy, and grade

average,

point

thereby



offering tangible benefits

			for educators and learners.
Johnson &	Determining the	quantitative	Participants preferred
Cooke	correlation between	research	written feedback, while
coone	self-regulated learning	lobouron	learners who listened to
2016	0 0	102 undergraduate	recorded feedback valued
2010	preference in distance	students	peer interaction and
Australia	education.	statemes	personal challenges more
Mustrania	education.	New Technologies	than those who preferred
		reew reemiorogies	written feedback.
Bono,	Studying the impact of	quantitative	There were no statistically
Núñez-Peña	teachers giving students	-	significant differences in
&	oral and written	research	terms of academic
& Suárez-Pelli	feedback using rubrics.		achievement. However,
cioni	recubler using rubries.	135 undergraduate	learners who received
cioni		students	verbal feedback asked fewer
2017		students	questions. Learners who
2017		Psychology	received written feedback
Spain		rsychology	through rubrics felt less
Spann			anxious about the exams
			and received higher grades.
Prantziou	Exploring students'	quantitative	Learners who are optimistic
T Tunt2100	opinions on receiving	research	about feedback develop and
2017	feedback for improving	research	strengthen their writing
2017	writing self-regulation	38 postgraduate	self-regulation, unlike those
Greece	in postgraduate distance	students	who are pessimistic about
Greece	education.	Academic Writing	receiving feedback.
	Investigating the impact	-	The synchronous discussion
	of synchronous	detion research	between assessors and
Zheng, Cui,	discussion between	64 undergraduate	
Li & Huang	assessors and students	-	significant improvements in
Li & Huung	on written performance,	students	trainees' writing
2018	-	Academic Writing	performance, particularly in
2010	metacognitive	readenine writing	content writing skills. This
China	awareness, and	New Technologies	method also enhanced the
Cillia	self-efficacy in online	reew reemiorogies	quality of affective and
	assessment.		metacognitive feedback,
			metacognitive awareness,
			and self-efficacy.
Recep,	"Researching how	mixed research	Learners with strong
Korkmaz,	students' feedback		self-regulated learning skills
,	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		
Dacanak w	preferences relate to	205 undergraduate	rely less on formative
Arslan	preferences relate to their self-regulated	-	rely less on formative feedback compared to those



2018 Turkey	learning skills."	68 male, 137 female	with weaker self-regulated learning skills.
Pratiwi, Winarko & Ayu 2018 Indonesia	Determining the impact of implementing problem-solving strategies using online feedback on learners' comprehension of concepts.	60 undergraduate students	Conceptual understanding of problem-solving is enhanced by providing learners with formative assessment and online feedback.
Nafi & Nazari 2020 Afganistan	Investigating the impact of peer feedback on academic performance, stress reduction, and self-regulation among learners.	<pre>quantitative research 150 undergraduate students English as a foreign language</pre>	Peer feedback had a positive impact on the academic performance of learners. It also aided them in evaluating their peers' writing, reducing their anxiety, and increasing their self-regulation.
Wahyuning sih	Studying the impact of corrective feedback on learners' writing	qualitative research6 undergraduate	The provision of corrective feedback enhanced learners' academic writing
2020	performance.	students	performance, boosted their self-confidence, and
Indonesia		English as a foreign language	fostered critical thinking skills.
Porter & Grippa	Studying the effects of AI-enabled real-time		Participants who received this feedback experienced a
2020	feedback on team dynamics and individual behavior.	160 undergraduate students	two-fold increase in self-assessed proficiency, improved performance, and
USA		New Technologies	heightened critical thinking and self-assessment abilities.
Anagnosti & Sofos	Investigating the impact of feedback on improving academic	research	A positive correlation exists between feedback and writing strategies. Feedback
2020	writing and utilizing writing strategies to enhance intrinsic	145 postgraduate students	boosts intrinsic motivation in writing assignments, while a weak positive
Greece	motivation and self-efficacy.	Academic Writing	correlation exists between learners' self-efficacy and
	Investigating the	quantitative	writing strategies. Perceived teacher feedback



Wang & Zhang 2020 China	mediatingeffectoflearninggementontherelationshipbetweenteacherfeedbackandlearnerachievementandexaminingtheimpactofassessmentcharacteristicsontherelationshipbetweenperceivedteacher	2.458	positively impacted students' academic performance, with learning engagement mediating the effect. The frequency, difficulty, and variety of assessments moderated the relationship between perceived teacher feedback and learning engagement.
	feedback and learning engagement. Evaluating the impact	action research	Providing constructive
Younis,	of timely and		feedback improves learner
Imdad & Rahman	constructive feedback on learner performance and understanding	151 undergraduate students	performance by creating a safe learning environment, fostering effective
2021	learner experiences.	35 male, 116 female	communication, and establishing clear learning
Pakistan		Nursing education	objectives. Fear and inadequate communication were the primary barriers impacting performance.
Suamuang, Easter, & Suksakulch ai 2021 Thailand	Investigating the impact of feedback on three self-regulatory constructs on task completion and academic achievement.	1.106 undergraduate	The number of completed assignments has a strong correlation with academic success. Effective time management was the most significant factor influencing the number of tasks completed and acted
i mutunu			as a mediator between self-efficacy and task completion.
Li & Reynolds	Exploring how learners utilized emotional intelligence to moderate the feedback process	mixed research 2 doctoral students	Feedback providers utilizedvariousemotionalintelligencepatternstomanagethefeedback
2021	for research proposal writing.	English as a foreign language	process, influenced by five factors: goals and
China			objectives, previous feedback experience, time



constraints, the intimacy of

N	Determining		relationships, and the feedback providers' perceived importance of components in a research proposal.
Navarro Jover	providing feedback	e	
2021	improves learners' self-regulation and		oneself, and anticipating outcomes are crucial
Spain	academic performance.	• •	motivational factors that influence self-regulation.
	Investigating the effects of an online feedback	135 undergraduate	Results show a significant increase in the use of
Inan-Karag	program that	students	self-regulated learning
ul & Seker	incorporates self-regulated learning	English as a	writing strategy after receiving instruction and
2021	writing strategies in screen feedback,	e	feedback.
Turkey	following the cyclical model of self-regulated learning (anticipation, performance, and reflection on performance).	New Technologies	
Afzaal,	Investigating how an AI	action research	The evaluation results
Nouri, Zia,			indicated that the targeted
Papapetrou, Fors, Wu,	learning management system data to help	178 undergraduate students	use of artificial intelligence supported learners in
Li &	learners self-regulate		self-regulation, thereby
Weegar	-	New Technologies	boosting their motivation
2021	improveacademicperformancebybuildingpredictive		and enhancing their academic performance.
Sweden	models for feedback.		
	Examining the effects	action research	Results indicated that
Theobald &	of different types of	257 un demana du ata	feedback interventions
Bellhäuser	adaptive online feedback (with	e	effectively enhanced learners' self-regulated
2022	metacognitive and		learning and performance.
	-	New Technologies	Motivation and self-efficacy
Germany	on learners' self-regulated learning,		were not significantly impacted by any feedback.



	motivation, and achievement.		
Mayordomo	Studying how perceived	action research	However, there were no
, Espasa,	feedback affects learner		significant differences in
Guasch &	engagement with	191 postgraduate	feedback perception,
Martínez-M	feedback.	students	indicating the importance of
elo		16 male, 175	feedback valence perception
		female	in the resubmission
2022			condition. A significant
			relationship was found
Spain			between affective
			engagement and cognitive
			engagement with feedback,
			depending on how it was
Al Danai P	Investigation the immedia	antion management	perceived.
Al-Darei &	Investigating the impact of various types of	action research	All types of feedback, especially interpretation,
Elhag	of various types of feedback in an online	97 undergraduate	impact learners' academic
2022	learning setting on	students	performance. Learners who
2022	improving student	students	received varied feedback
Oman	performance and	Computer Sciences	showed increased
	engagement.	I	motivation and positive
		New Technologies	impact.
Weng, Ye	Studying the influence	mixed research	Peer feedback significantly
& Xue	of peer feedback on		influenced learners' attitudes
	students' motivation to	76 undergraduate	toward writing lessons,
2022	write.	students	boosting their
			self-confidence with
China		English as a	minimal impact on anxiety.
		foreign language	
Noroozi,	Investigating the Impact	mixed research	The satisfaction of learners'
Kerman,	of Learners' Perceived	125	learning is influenced by
Banihashem	Motivation and	135 undergraduate	their perceived motivation
& Biemans	Feedback on Their Satisfaction with	students	and the fair feedback
2022	Argumentative Essay	Academic Writing	perceived from their peers.
Netherland	Writing in an Online	Academic writing	
	Learning Environment.		
Narciss,	Investigating the impact	action research	Combining internal and
Prescher,	of internal and external		external feedback was more
Khalifah &	feedback on learners'	121 undergraduate	beneficial for achieving
Körndle	achievement, strategies,	students	concept learning, strategy
	and motivation in		use, and learners' intrinsic



2022 Germany	concept learning.		motivation and perceived competence than providing internal or external feedback alone.
Johannes & Haase	A comparison of two types of feedback	action research	The type of feedback did not affect self-efficacy and
2022	regarding learning progress and changes in	75 undergraduate students	changes in metacognitive monitoring.
Germany	learner self-confidence. Determining the extent	action research	Peer feedback, as an
Chakarvarti	to which learners benefit from receiving	60 undergraduate	instructional strategy, significantly promotes
2022 USA	information from their peers when developing aritical thinking akilla	students	learners' critical thinking.
Kyne, Lee	critical thinking skills. Studying the effects of electronic feedback on	action research	Learners who received online feedback were more
& Reyes	academic performance and student success.	6.334 undergraduate	likely to pass the course. Additionally, sending
2023		students	personalized feedback emails encouraged higher
Australia		Chemistry	learner success in more significant numbers and
		New Technologies	student evaluation of customized feedback.
	Exploring the intricate connection between		A positive correlation was found between students'
Turner	students' attitudes toward peer and teacher	25 undergraduate students	attitudes toward receiving feedback on developing
2023	feedback, academic performance, and	English as a	disciplinary knowledge and their achievement in literary
Sweden	engaging in dialogue, with a focus on	foreign language	studies. Additionally, actively engaging with
	developing literary disciplinary knowledge.	mixed research	feedback is linked to improved writing ability. The use of written
T '1	Investigating learner engagement with		corrective feedback,
Ismail, Nasri &	teacher feedback from a multidimensional	90 undergraduate students	combined with an effective teaching approach, assists
Salem	perspective, including		learners in adjusting to
2023	acceptance, affective engagement, cognitive	English as a foreign language	various cultural values. It promotes self-regulation,
	and metacognitive		the adoption of new



Saudi Arabia	engagement, and behavioral engagement.		behaviors, and their integration into the learning process.
Liu et al. 2023	Investigating the significance of different types of feedback (simultaneous,		The group that received concurrent feedback had higher scores than the group that received terminal
USA	trial-final) through simulation to optimize timing for supporting learners' skill development.	Medical Education	feedback. There was no difference in cognitive load and anxiety between the groups. Participants receiving concurrent feedback were more satisfied with their learning experience.
Nguyen &	Investigating the impact	mixed research	Using Padlet feedback
Trang	of using Padlet		0
C	feedback on the writing	students	learners' email writing.
2023	and motivation of	English as a	Additionally, integrating
	English language	foreign language	Padlet increased learners'
Vietnam	learners.	New Technologies	motivation to write.
	Investigating how	quantitative	Task value predicted both
Gan, Liu &	different forms of	research	learners' actions on teacher
Nang	motivation predict		feedback and feedback
	learners' engagement in	276 undergraduate	seeking. Intrinsic motivation
2023	teacher feedback and	students	significantly predicted
	feedback-seeking	33 male, 243	teacher feedback action,
China	behavior.	female	while extrinsic motivation
		English as a	and self-efficacy predicted
		foreign language,	feedback seeking.
		Literature	
~	Investigating the	action research	The learning dimension of a
Cacciamani,	correlation between		sense of community is
Perrucci,	community engagement	30 undergraduate	positively related to the
Khanlari &	and feedback	students	number of feedback
Balboni	participation in a		messages about the project's
2022	blended university	New Technologies	positive aspects, as is the
2023	course developed using		social dimension of a sense
Italy	the Progressive Design		of community.
	Method.	avalitative massar-1	Loomono
Vona Wa	•	qualitative research	Learners expressed dissatisfaction with
Yang, Wu,	investigate how		
Liang &	students manage their		feedback that did not meet



Yang	emotions in response to feedback based on their	e	their expectations or was unrealistic. Their feedback
2023	feedback orientation.		orientation supported emotion regulation
China			techniques, which in turn supported adaptive feedback processing.
	It is being investigated	action research	The results showed that
Nouri &	whether an artificial		providing this feedback
Fors	intelligence application	446 undergraduate	significantly boosted
	that offers automated	U U	learners' academic
2023	and intelligent feedback		performance and enhanced
	can assist learners in	New Technologies	their ability to regulate their
Sweden	self-regulating their		own learning.
	learning in a		
	data-driven manner to		
	enhance their		
	performance.		

Thirty-eight of the selected research articles are from journals, while only three are from conferences. Additionally, Thirty-nine investigations were conducted internationally, with two taking place in Greece.

Figure 2 illustrates the number of surveys conducted per year.



Figure 2. Number of investigations per year

6. Discussion of Research Results

The majority of studies (n=18) are from Asian countries (seven from China, two each from Pakistan, Turkey, and Indonesia, and one each from Afghanistan, Thailand, Oman, S. Arabia and Vietnam), followed by the surveys (n=14) from the European continent (from three surveys Spain, Sweden and Germany, two surveys from Greece and one survey the Netherlands, Italy and the United Kingdom), America (n=6) with six surveys from the USA



and Oceania (n=3) with two surveys from Australia and one from New Zealand. In terms of countries, China (n=7) and the USA (n=6) found the most significant number of studies.

Regarding the type of research, the vast majority of research applied action research (n=19) and mixed methods (n=11). At the same time, fewer researchers applied quantitative (n=9) and qualitative data collection methods (n=2). Most of the surveys conducted included a sample of 101 to 500 participants (n=20), followed by surveys with a sample of 51 to 100 people (n=8), 31 to 50 people (N=6), with a larger sample from 500 people (n=3), from 1 to 10 people (n=2) and from 11 to 30 people (n=2). In addition, it is worth noting that seven surveys provided information on the proportion of men and women in the survey sample.

The research studies span a wide range of subjects, reflecting the multidisciplinary nature of the research. Seventeen research do not refer to any specific subject, indicating a broad scope. Of the remaining surveys, ten focus on the course of English as a second (n=2) or as a foreign language (n=8), highlighting the importance of language learning. Four studies are in the health sector, with studies in Medicine (n=2), Nursing (n=1) and Pharmacy (n=1), underscoring the significance of healthcare research. Five research deal with academic writing, one with Psychology, and one each in Physical Education, Informatics, Chemistry and Engineering-Design, showcasing the diversity of academic interests. Finally, fourteen research apply elements of New Technologies, indicating the growing influence of technology in research.

Through this systematic review of research, it was found that feedback can have a significant impact on the development of non-cognitive skills. Regarding communication and interpersonal skills, the provision of different types of feedback (oral-written, perceptual, constructive, electronic, internal-external) by teachers significantly enhanced the skills of various forms of communication (Younis et al., 2021; Kyne et al., 2023), b) listening (Johnson & Cooke, 2016; Bono et al., 2017; Narciss et al., 2022), c) teamwork and collaboration (Zhang et al., 2014; Turner, 2023; Cacciamani et al., 2023), d) conflict resolution (Narciss et al., 2022 Turner, 2023), networking and relationship building (Wang & Zhang, 2020; Turner, 2023; Cacciamani et al., 2023).

Regarding the area of creativity, providing different types of feedback (oral-written, corrective, electronic, a combination of teacher and learner feedback) enhanced critical thinking (Zhang et al., 2014; Wahyuningsih, 2020; Porter & Grippa, 2020; Chakarvarti, 2022) and encouraged learner ingenuity (Medina, 2013). In adaptability, learners demonstrated flexibility and receptiveness to new ideas (Turner, 2023). In the field of leadership and management skills development, the provision of both final and electronic feedback by teachers led learners to make appropriate decisions to solve problems (Medina, 2013; Pratiwi et al., 2018; Kyne et al., 2023) with parallel time management and taking initiatives (Kyne et al., 2023).

The correlation of feedback with work ethic is essential since different types of teacher feedback (perceived, final) had a positive impact on student's academic performance with a significant effect on learning engagement and responsibility (Wang & Zhang, 2020; Liu et al., 2023), while feedback from trainees regarding the development of disciplinary knowledge



and responsibility was positively addressed (Turner, 2023). Regarding cultural competence, the application of written corrective feedback helped the learners to adapt epistemologically and culturally to different cultural values (Ismail et al., 2023) while simultaneously strengthening the social dimension of the sense of community (Cacciamani et al., 2023).

The majority of research findings were in the field of emotional intelligence. The findings indicate a positive connection between feedback and different types of motivation, such as intrinsic, perceived, and extrinsic motivation, as they are essential factors in motivating learners and influencing their learning satisfaction (Saeed et al., 2013; Noroozi et al., 2022; Gan et al., 2023). Furthermore, the research concluded that learners' motivation to learn was significantly enhanced by the provision of various types of feedback, including electronic (Afzaal, 2021; Nguyen & Trang, 2023), interpretive (Al-Darei & Elhag, 2022), internal, and external feedback (Narciss et al., 2022). Additionally, providing teacher feedback significantly strengthened the trainees' motivation to write written assignments (Anagnosti & Sofos, 2020). One study found that motivation was not significantly affected by any type of electronic feedback (Theobald & Bellhäuser, 2022).

Self-regulation research has shown that providing feedback contributes positively and significantly to the improvement of learners' self-regulated learning skills (Brown, Peterson & Yao, 2016; Johnson & Cooke, 2016; Zheng et al., 2018; Nafi & Nazari, 2020; Inan-Karagul, 2021; Theobald & Salem, 2023). This improvement depends to a significant extent on learners' perceptions of feedback (Ekholm et al., 2015; Prantziou, 2017), as well as on their goal-setting and self-efficacy (Navarro Jover, 2021; Suamuang et al., 2021). Furthermore, a study revealed that learners with high self-regulated learning skills rarely depend on formative feedback (Recep et al., 2018).

Among the significant research findings are those related to stress management, according to which the provision of feedback significantly helped learners to reduce their stress (Bono et al., 2017; Nafi & Nazari, 2020; Weng et al., 2022), although in one case learners had a high level of anxiety at the prospect of participating in the feedback process (Lindon - Morris & Laidlaw, 2014). One study showed no difference between cognitive load and anxiety (Liu et al., 2023).

Finally, about other subcategories of emotional intelligence, research has documented that the provision of feedback by teachers encouraged self-reflection (Zhang et al., 2014), self-awareness (Lindon-Morris & Laidlaw, 2014), self-improvement (Sheldon et al., 2014), self-confidence (Wahyuningsih, 2020; Weng et al., 2022).

For their part, learners were positively disposed to the provision of feedback by teachers, perceiving its effect on self-efficacy and self-regulation (Ekholm et al., 2015), preferring written over recorded feedback (Johnson & Cooke, 2016) and simultaneous versus final (Liu et al., 2023). It is worth noting that the learners pointed out that the feedback should meet their expectations to respond positively (Yang et al., 2023). Finally, although learners are positive about providing feedback from their fellow learners (Zhang et al., 2014; Noroozi et al., 2022), in one research, there was concern that it might not be objective (Lindon - Morris & Laidlaw, 2014).



The results of the effect of e-feedback through artificial intelligence are of interest since its provision enhanced learners' self-regulated learning skills, motivation, critical thinking, and self-reflection skills (Porter & Grippa, 2020; Afzaal et al., 2021; Afzaal et al., 2023). Furthermore, the combination of providing feedback from teachers and fellow learners had significant positive effects on enhancing learners' motivation and self-confidence, reducing their anxiety and increasing their self-regulation (Nafi & Nazari, 2020; Weng et al., 2023; Turner, 2023), in enhancing collaboration and self-reflection (Zhang et al., 2014) as well as promoting critical thinking (Chakarvarti, 2022).

7. Conclusion

The present systematic review was conducted using seven bibliographic databases and initially resulted in 152 studies. After applying the revised PRISMA 2020 statement, duplicate studies were removed, and two-level checks were performed, including exclusion of studies based on title, abstract, criteria, non-access to full text, and completeness of quality criteria. The research yielded 41 studies that addressed the research questions, which have been developed in the past decade in both international and Greek higher education settings.

There are more action research studies with a sample size ranging from 30 to 6,334 people, followed by mixed research with a sample of 25 to 1,106 people, quantitative research with a sample of 38 to 2,458 people, and qualitative research with a sample of 6 to 36 participants. The majority of the research did not focus on any specific academic subject, while several others addressed subjects such as English as a second or foreign language, academic writing, and medicine.

The bibliographic research produced interesting results regarding the impact of teacher feedback on non-cognitive aspects of student performance in higher education. It was found that the provision of any form of feedback by teachers helped the learners by significantly enhancing their motivation to learn and contributing to the development of critical social-emotional skills, such as self-regulation, cooperation, self-reflection, self-esteem, self-confidence, self-efficacy, critical thinking, stress reduction, knowledge construction, emotion regulation, and metacognitive awareness. The learners also responded positively to receiving feedback from teachers, perceiving its benefits in developing their social-emotional skills, expressing preferences for specific types of feedback, and meeting their expectations. However, a few studies identified an increase in trainees' stress levels and concerns about being judged inadequate by their peers.

In terms of types of feedback, the provision of oral and written feedback, electronic-online feedback, and peer feedback positively impacted learners' academic performance and the development of their social-emotional skills. To a lesser extent, similar results were found in research on other types of feedback, such as using rubrics, perceptual feedback, interpretive feedback, internal and external feedback, and simultaneous feedback.

8. Limitations - Proposals

The limitations of the current research include the limited number of studies reviewed, the restriction to specific search engines, the inaccessibility of some studies, and the focus solely



on the contribution of feedback to non-cognitive aspects of learner performance in higher education. Suggestions for future research could involve exploring, both theoretically and practically, how feedback contributes to the non-cognitive aspects of learners' performance in primary and secondary education.

References

Afzaal, M., Nouri, J., Zia, A., Papapetrou, P., Fors, U., Wu, Y., Li, X., & Weegar, R. (2021). Explainable AI for data-driven feedback and intelligent action recommendations to support students' self-regulation. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 4,* 723447. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frai.2021.723447/full

Afzaal, M., Zia, A., Nouri, J., & Fors, U. (2023). Informative Feedback and Explainable AI-Based Recommendations to Support Students' Self-regulation. *Technology, Knowledge, and Learning*, 1-24. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-023-09650-0

Al-Darei, I. S., & Elhag, A. (2022). The effect of feedback type in the e-learning environment on students' achievement and motivation. *Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning*, *5*(3), 694-705. https://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.1111527

Anagnosti, S. G., & Sofos, L. (2020). The Role of Feedback in Academic Writing, Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Efficacy of Hellenic Open University students. *Open Education: the journal for Open and Distance Education and Educational Technology*, *16*(2), 142-161. https://doi.org/10.12681/jode.22859

Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). *Inside the black box: Raising standards through classroom assessment*. Granada Learning.

Bono, R., Núñez-Peña, M., & Suárez-Pellicioni, M. (2017). Rubrics use and in-class feedback in higher education: Students' perceptions and their effect on academic achievement. *In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Higher Education Advances*, 338-346. Editorial Universitat Politècnica de València. https://doi.org/10.4995/HEAD17.2017.5198

Boud, D., & Molloy, E. (2013). Rethinking models of feedback for learning: the challenge of design. *Assessment & Evaluation in higher education*, *38*(6), 698-712. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2012.691462

Brackett, M. A. (2019). *Permission to Feel: Unlocking the Power of Emotions to Help Our Kids, Ourselves, and Our Society Thrive*. Celadon Books. ISBN-10: 1250212847

Brown, G. T., Peterson, E. R., & Yao, E. S. (2016). Student conceptions of feedback: Impact on self-regulation, self-efficacy, and academic achievement. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, *86*(4), 606-629. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjep.12126



Burgess, A., & Mellis, C. (2015). Feedback and assessment for clinical placements: achieving the right balance. *Advances in medical education and practice*, 373-381. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S77890

Cacciamani, S., Perrucci, V., Khanlari, A., & Balboni, G. (2023). Sense of community and peer feedback in a blended University Course. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-11982-4

Chakarvarti, P. (2022). Investigating the Effectiveness of Peer Feedback in Developing Critical Thinking Skills in Undergraduate Students. *Journal of Education Review Provision*, 2(3), 91-95. https://doi.org/10.55885/jerp.v2i3.192

Conley, D. T. (2015). *Getting Ready for College, Careers, and the Common Core: What Every Educator Needs to Know.* Jossey-Bass. ISBN: 978-1-118-55114-1

Davidson, C. N., & Goldberg, D. T. (2012). *The Future of Thinking: Learning Institutions in a Digital Age*. MIT Press. ISBN: 9780262513593

Dunlosky, J., & Bjork, R. A. (Eds.). (2016). *Handbook of Metacognition in Education*. Routledge. ISBN: 9780805863536

Dunlosky, J., & Metcalfe, J. (2009). *Metacognition*. Sage Publications. ISBN: 9781412939720

Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random house.

Ekholm, E., Zumbrunn, S., & Conklin, S. (2015). The relation of college student self-efficacy toward writing and writing self-regulation aptitude: Writing feedback perceptions as a mediating variable. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 20(2), 197-207. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2014.974026

Gan, Z., Liu, F., & Nang, H. (2023). The Role of Self-Efficacy, Task Value, and Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations in Students' Feedback Engagement in English Learning. *Behavioral Sciences*, *13*(5), 428. https://doi.org/10.3390/bs13050428

Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ*. Bantam. ISBN: 9780553804911

Greenberg, M. T., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Social and Emotional Learning: Research, *Practice, and Policy*. CASEL.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of educational research*, 77(1), 81-112. https://doi.org/10.3102/003465430298487

Hulleman, C. S., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (2009). Promoting interest and performance in high
school science classes. Science, 326(5958), 1410-1412.https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1177067



Inan-Karagul, B., & Seker, M. (2021). Improving Language Learners' Use of Self-Regulated Writing Strategies Through Screencast Feedback. *SAGE Open*, *11*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211064895

Ismail, S. M., Nasri, M., & Salem, A. (2023). Revisiting Saudi University EFL Learners' engagement to teachers written corrective feedback from a socio-cognitive perspective. *Conhecimento & Diversidade*, *15*(37), 118-134. https://doi.org/10.18316/rcd.v15i37.10915

Johannes, C., & Haase, A. (2022). The impact of feedback mode on learning gain and self-efficacy: A quasi-experimental study. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 0(0). https://doi.org/10.1177/14697874221131970

Johnson, G. M., & Cooke, A. (2016). Self-regulation of learning and preference for written versus audio-recorded feedback by distance education students. *Distance Education*, *37*(1), 107-120. https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2015.1081737

Kyne, S. H., Lee, M. M., & Reyes, C. T. (2023). Enhancing academic performance and student success through learning analytics-based personalised feedback emails in first-year chemistry. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. https://doi.org/10.1039/D3RP00032J

Li, M., & Reynolds, B. L. (2021). Academic emotions in giving genre-based peer feedback: an emotional intelligence perspective. *Applied Linguistics Review*, (0), 000010151520200134. https://doi.org/10.1515/applirev-2020-0134

Lindon-Morris, E., & Laidlaw, A. (2014). Anxiety and self-awareness in video feedback. *The clinical teacher*, *11*(3), 174-178. https://doi.org/10.1111/tct.12103

Liu, A., Duffy, M., Tse, S., Zucker, M., McMillan, H., Weldon, P., ... & Long, M. (2023). Concurrent versus terminal feedback: The effect of feedback delivery on lumbar puncture skills in simulation training. *Medical Teacher*, 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1080/0142159X.2023.2189540

Matthews, M. S., & Rugutt, J. K. (Eds.). (2019). Soft Skills as an Approach to Innovation: Leveraging Technical and Non-Technical Skills. IGI Global.

Mayordomo, R. M., Espasa, A., Guasch, T., & Martínez-Melo, M. (2022). Perception of online feedback and its impact on cognitive and emotional engagement with feedback. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(6), 7947-7971. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10948-2

Medina, M. S., Conway, S. E., Davis-Maxwell, T. S., & Webb, R. (2013). The impact of problem-solving feedback on team-based learning case responses. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 77(9). https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe779189

Nafi, A., & Nazari, H. (2020). The Usage of Peer Feedback and its Influence on Afghan EFL Learners' Academic Achievement at Kandahar University Afghanistan. *London Journal of*



ResearchinHumanitiesandSocialSciences.https://journalspress.com/LJRHSS_Volume20/781_The-Usage-of-Peer-Feedback-and-its-Influence-on-Afghan-EFL-Learners-Academic-Achievement-at-Kandahar-University-Afghanistan.pdf

Narciss, S., Prescher, C., Khalifah, L., & Körndle, H. (2022). Providing external feedback and prompting the generation of internal feedback fosters achievement, strategies, and motivation in concept learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 82, 101658. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2022.101658

Navarro Jover, J. M. (2021). Auto-Feedback to Improve Academic Performance. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 11(1), 180-193. https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.1120

Nguyen, D.M.T., Trang, N.H. (2023). The Effects of Using Peer Feedback through Padlet on EFL Students' Email Writing and Their Learning Motivation. *International Journal of Social Science and Human Research*, *6*, 3400-3409. https://doi.org/10.47191/ijsshr/v6-i6-24

Noroozi, O., Kerman, N., Banihashem, S. K., & Biemans, H. J. (2022). The role of students' perceived motivation and perceived fairness of peer feedback for learning satisfaction in online learning environments. *In Proceedings of ICRES 2022*, 273-278. https://library.wur.nl/WebQuery/wurpubs/fulltext/585169

Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Frenzel, A. C., & Perry, R. P. (2005). Achievement emotions questionnaire. Learning and Individual Differences. https://doi.org/10.1037/t21196-000

Porter, B., & Grippa, F. (2020). A platform for AI-enabled real-time feedback to promote digital collaboration. *Sustainability*, *12*(24), 10243. https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410243

Pranziou, I. (2017). Feedback on Written Assignments and Writing Self-Regulation in External Studies: Perceptions of First-Year Postgraduate Students of the of Hellenic Open University. *International Conference on Open & Distance Education*, *9*(2A), 66-77. https://doi.org/10.12681/icodl.1094

Pratiwi, H. Y., Winarko, W., & Ayu, H. D. (2018). The impact of problem-solving strategy with online feedback on students' conceptual understanding. *In Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1006*(1), 012024. IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1006/1/012024

Recep, Ã., Korkmaz, Ã. Z., Bacanak, A., & Arslan, Ã. M. (2018). An exploration of the relationship between students' preferences for formative feedback and self-regulated learning skills. *MOJES: Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 4(4), 14-30. https://ejournal.um.edu.my/index.php/MOJES/article/view/12671/8156

Rimm-Kaufman, S. E., & Pianta, R. C. (Eds.). (2015). *Handbook of Social and Emotional Learning: Research and Practice*. Guilford Press. ISBN-10: 9781462527915



Saarni, C. (2007). *The Development of Emotional Competence*. Guilford Press. ISBN: 9781572304345

Saeed, R., Lodhi, R. N., Sadiq, S., Hashmi, A., Sami, A., Dustgeer, F., & Ahmad, M. (2013). The effect of professed teacher feedback on the relation of intrinsic motivation regarding university students' academic performance. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 26(10), 1385-1390. https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.wasj.2013.26.10.1349

Salovey, P., & Mayer, J. D. (Eds.). (2016). Emotional Intelligence. Psychology Press.

Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. *Educational Psychology Review*, 7(4), 351-371. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02212307

Sheldon, O. J., Dunning, D., & Ames, D. R. (2014). Emotionally unskilled, unaware, and uninterested in learning more: Reactions to feedback about deficits in emotional intelligence. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *99*(1), 125–137. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0034138

Shepard, L. A. (2000). The role of assessment in a learning culture. *Educational researcher*, 29(7), 4-14. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X029007004

Suamuang, W., Easter, M. A., & Suksakulchai, S. (2021). Relations between instructor feedback, self-regulation, assignment completion and academic achievement in Thai higher learning institutions. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, *18*(1), 85-109. https://doi.org/10.32890/mjli2021.18.1.4

Theobald, M., & Bellhäuser, H. (2022). How am I going and where to next? Elaborated online feedback improves university students' self-regulated learning and performance. *The Internet and Higher Education*, *55*, 100872. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2022.100872

Turner, E. (2023). Dialogic feedback and literary disciplinary knowledge in L2 writing instruction: how attitude to feedback influences academic achievement. *Research Papers in Education*, *38*(1), 21-44. https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2021.1941216

Wahyuningsih, S. (2020). The role of corrective feedback on academic writing performance: efl students' perceptions. *Edulingua: Jurnal Linguistiks Terapan dan Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris*, 7(1). https://ejournal.unisnu.ac.id/JE/article/view/1167/1273

Wang, S., & Zhang, D. (2020). Perceived teacher feedback and academic performance: The mediating effect of learning engagement and moderating effect of assessment characteristics. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 45(7), 973-987. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2020.1718599

Weissberg, R. P., Durlak, J. A., Domitrovich, C. E., & Gullotta, T. P. (Eds.). (2015). Social and Emotional Learning: Past, Present, and Future. Springer.



Weng, F., Ye, S.X., & Xue, W. (2022). The effects of peer feedback on L2 students' writing motivation: An experimental study in China. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-022-00669-y

Wisniewski, B., Zierer, K., & Hattie, J. (2020). The power of feedback revisited: A meta-analysis of educational feedback research. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 3087. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.03087

Yang, L., Wu, Y., Liang, Y., & Yang, M. (2023). Unpacking the Complexities of Emotional Responses to External Feedback, Internal Feedback Orientation and Emotion Regulation in Higher Education: A Qualitative Exploration. *Systems*, *11*(6), 315. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems11060315

Yeager, D. S., & Dweck, C. S. (2012). Mindsets that promote resilience: When students believe that personal characteristics can be developed. *Educational psychologist*, 47(4), 302-314. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2012.722805

Younis, M., Imdad, P., & Rahman, A. A. U. (2021). Effects of constructive and timely feedback on academic performance of students. *Pakistan Journal of Educational Research*, *4*(4). https://doi.org/10.52337/pjer.v4i4.464

Zahid, A., Hong, J., & Young, C. J. (2017). Surgical supervisor feedback affects performance: a blinded randomized study. *Cureus*, *9*(5). https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1276

Zhang, H., Song, W., Shen, S., & Huang, R. (2014). The effects of blog-mediated peer feedback on learners' motivation, collaboration, and course satisfaction in a second language writing course. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, *30*(6). https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.860

Zheng, L., Cui, P., Li, X., & Huang, R. (2018). Synchronous discussion between assessors and assessees in web-based peer assessment: Impact on writing performance, feedback quality, meta-cognitive awareness, and self-efficacy. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 43(3), 500-514. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2017.1370533



Acknowledgments

Not Applicable.

Funding

Not Applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Informed consent

Obtained.

Ethics approval

The Publication Ethics Committee of the Macrothink Institute.

The journal's policies adhere to the Core Practices established by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally double-blind peer reviewed.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy or ethical restrictions.

Data sharing statement

No additional data are available.

Open access

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.