

Rethinking edTPA: The Use of InTASC Principles and Standards

Nai-Cheng Kuo (Corresponding author) Department of Teaching and Leading, Augusta University 2500 Walton Way, Augusta, Georgia 30904, USA E-mail: nkuo@augusta.edu

| Received: February 21, 2018 | Accepted: March 17, 2018 | Published: March 19, 2018 |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| doi:10.5296/jei.v4i1.12691 | URL: https://doi.org/10.52 | 96/jei.v4i1.12691 |

Abstract

The Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0, developed by the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO, 2013) in the United States, provide a set of expectations for essential knowledge, critical disposition, and performance needed for high-quality teaching. In this article, there are two parts. Part I addresses issues found in a current mandatory policy—edTPA. Part II explores how teacher educators can use the national teacher education standards to create a learning community where the voices of preservice teachers, teacher educators, and school personnel are equally valued.

Keywords: InTASC standards, edTPA, Teacher education, Preservice teachers

1. Introduction

The Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium (InTASC) Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 are developed by the Council of Chief State School Officers (CCSSO, 2013) in the United States. The InTASC standards and learning progressions provide a set of expectations for essential knowledge, critical disposition, and performances needed for high-quality teaching. These standards allow educators, both inservice and preservice teachers, to show "the increasing complexity and sophistication of teaching practice for each core standard across three developmental levels." (CCSSO, 2013, p. 3). In particular, the learning progressions are to: 1) provide "a robust formative and supportive improvement process to help teachers become more effective," and 2) to articulate "a continuum of growth and higher levels of performance" (p. 10). The InTASC standards and learning progressions facilitate educators in monitoring their own



progress as well and identifying professional learning suitable for their teaching context. This paper involves two parts. Part I addresses issues found in a current teacher performance assessment—edTPA. Part II explores how teacher educators can use the national teacher education standards to create a learning community where the voices of preservice teachers, teacher educators, clinical teachers, and school administrators are valued and it has no cost to preservice teachers.

2. Part One—Issues in the edTPA

The edTPA is a high-stakes assessment published by Pearson Education Incorporated in partnership with the Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, and Equity. At present, eighteen states in the nation adopt edTPA. The majority of the states (32 states) use their own state teacher licensure testing and performance assessment. It is stated by the center that the 2014 edTPA is aligned with the 2013 InTASC model core teaching standards (SCALE, 2014). In special education, for example, preservice teachers are required to complete three tasks: planning, instruction, and assessment. This includes three to five lesson plans within the same learning unit, supportive documentation, video clips of teaching, and narrative commentaries on their teaching across planning, instruction, and assessment. To receive a good score, or at least a passing score, preservice teachers need to write all the information into a detailed set of edTPA rubrics (Au, 2013; Ratner & Kolman, 2016).

To submit their edTPA, preservice teachers have to convert the tasks into appropriate formats and upload them to a designated website. Preservice teachers can use the Pearson ePortfolio system or an integrated edTPA platform provider system such as Chalk & Wire, Data180, Digication, Edthena, Folio180, Foliotek, Lessoncast, LiveText, PASS-PORT, TaskStream, Tk20, TORSH Talent, or Tumblefeed (edTPA, 2017a). Many universities require their preservice teachers to purchase an integrated edTPA platform provider system. Although Pearson Education Incorporated does not require preservice teachers to use an integrated edTPA platform provider system, many universities require preservice teachers to pass internal edTPA rubrics developed by the universities. To use the integrated edTPA platform provider system, preservice teachers are required to sign an agreement form for their university faculty to view, evaluate, and/or use their edTPA materials for training purposes. If preservice teachers' edTPA portfolios do not pass the internal edTPA rubrics developed by the universities, they will be discouraged from submitting their edTPA portfolios to the Pearson ePortfolio system.

There are fifteen rubrics, five for each of the tasks. Scorers are hired by Pearson Education Incorporated and for scoring edTPA portfolios. Pearson Education Incorporated will send universities reports indicating their preservice teachers' scores. No written feedback or suggestions for improvement are provided to preservice teachers or universities – only numbers. Parents must waive privacy rights and permit videotaping their children if they agree to help preservice teachers with edTPA. University faculty are permitted to use former preservice teachers' completed edTPA for training purposes (edTPA, 2015). If preservice teachers fail edTPA, they are not allowed to make changes to their previous materials. They need to submit new edTPA materials for scoring (edTPA, 2017b). Currently, retaking one task

Macrothink Institute™

is \$100, retaking two tasks is \$200, and retaking three tasks is \$300. Pearson Education Incorporated accepts edTPA portfolio submissions eleven months per year (except January).

2.1 A Mirror of No Child Left Act (NCLB)

The edTPA, like NCLB, punishes institutions for their learners' failure based on grades. For example, "the New York State Department of Education (NYSED) has recommended that if fewer than 80% of a program's candidates pass the edTPA, the teacher preparation program must submit a 'professional development plan' to the state" (O'Brien & Robb, 2017, p. 4). Like NCLB, to maintain a good standardized assessment passing rate, all kinds of inappropriate practices begin to appear in higher education teacher preparation programs. For instance, regardless of the high risk of revealing the identities of both former preservice teachers and their target learners, the prior meticulously-detailed edTPA materials are used in university classes for training purposes. Moreover, to avoid risk to the institution's edTPA passing rate, universities become reluctant to place their preservice teachers in difficult schools with less support or classes that have too many challenging students (Chiu, 2014). Schools which do not buy into the edTPA or do not support preservice teachers in completing the edTPA portfolio will no longer receive preservice teachers from universities. Due to the fact that the edTPA only provides numerical feedback and the scorers "bring their particular backgrounds and teaching experiences that are difficult to completely separate from the evaluation process" (Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017, p. 4), it is unclear how much students' scores are affected by the edTPA scorers' backgrounds and experiences.

Au (2013) argues that the edTPA is a misuse of the thoughtfully-developed InTASC standards and learning progressions because it turns these standards into a high-stakes, standardized test. The initial value of the InTASC standards and learning progressions of emphasizing formative and useful feedback has now been replaced by a one-time summative assessment. A teacher educator in New York commented, "the edTPA moves teaching and teacher education in the wrong direction and was preventing candidates from engaging in important learning during their capstone student teaching experience" (Ratner & Kolman, 2016, p. 12). A former student of our institution (who has passed edTPA and is now a formal teacher) commented on the edTPA and said: "The edTPA does not tell us what specifically made us get that score! Just the number! The number does not give us information on ways to improve our instruction." Bartlett, Otis-Wilborn, and Peters's (2017) study further shows that teacher educators in three institution of higher education that prepared special educators, two in the Midwest and one in the Northeast, felt hard-pressed to find ways to support preservice teachers who were not successful on edTPA just based on the number. Overall, the numerical feedback from edTPA gives very little information about how preservice teachers should further improve.

2.2 School Administrators' and Preservice Teachers' Challenges

The inconsistency about edTPA implementation, including passing scores and resources, has caused confusion and challenges to the field. In a qualitative study done by Bartlett, Otis-Wilborn, and Peters (2017), school administrators in urban schools who are already facing a great shortage of special education teachers commented on edTPA:



Are you kidding? We're gonna have somebody on their second year who I finally got hired in the state that has an incredible alarming shortage of special educators. You're gonna tell me you're gonna test somebody in their second year, they're not gonna pass and then they're gonna skedaddle from teaching...you think I'm gonna tell them to make time to do this as a teacher in my school? No way! (p. 10)

In addition, retaking edTPA also causes undue stress to preservice teachers because they need to enroll in a course and pay tuition and fees to maintain their student status. A professor commented on edTPA and said:

You likely enroll in another credit of student teaching or at least have to pay to be placed somewhere to possibly re-do the edTPA. And the burden shifts from us to students which is interesting. If you have a student that struggles in the first place to pass the edTPA and, then, you don't provide them with the same amount of support you did when they're going through, the chance of them being able to pass the retake is possibly less than it was the first time they did it, right? (p. 7)

To prepare preservice teachers for writing edTPA reflective narratives, some universities purchase edTPA preparation materials like ATLAS teaching videos and books, which all add to preservice teachers' tuition and fees. Furthermore, Greenblatt and O'Hara (2015) argue that "the challenges of the edTPA are exacerbated in schools in low-income communities where our k-12 students often are not scoring well on standardized tests" (p. 59). How can preservice teachers be held accountable for their performance on the edTPA if schools lack the conditions to make it possible for them to be successful? The mandatory nature of the edTPA may not cause any big difference in schools that are already well-funded and have rich resources as well as students who are from upper-class families and can afford additional tuition and fees. However, it does more harm than good to schools, which are already facing a great shortage of personnel and resources, as well as students who already have mounting debt to earn their college degree.

2.3 Educators' Dual Roles

Preparing preservice teachers based on national teacher education standards is university faculty's duty and responsibility. If teacher leaders are in partnership with university teacher education programs and they receive stipends from universities to supervise preservice teachers during student teaching, preparing preservice teachers based on these standards is also their duty and responsibility. However, some university faculty members and teacher leaders are concurrently employed by Pearson Education Incorporated to serve as edTPA national scorers. According to edTPA (2015), "overall, approximately 50 percent of scorers hired are faculty/supervisors and 50 percent are teacher leaders" (p. 1). By serving as edTPA national scorers, they earn money from each edTPA portfolio they grade. University faculty who are edTPA in universities regardless of their students' financial burden. Despite the fact that faculty members' dual roles and practices are questionable, universities still want to hire faculty who are edTPA national scorers, so they can ensure that their preservice teachers get prepared by those who are directly trained by Pearson Education Incorporated. Universities



now become "test-preparation" institutions. When more and more university faculty and teacher leaders want to become edTPA national scorers and use their regular work time to work on externally paid jobs, it does not provide a good role model to future educators.

2.4 Artificial Teaching Environment for Cultivating Short-Lived Skills

Once student teaching placement is decided, university faculty members, clinical teachers, and school administrators have to work together to identify "ideal" target learners and classes for their preservice teachers to complete the edTPA. Such settings are often artificial and are contrary to the real-classroom practices. For instance, professors from the University of Wisconsin and the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction argue that in the field of special education:

The teacher candidates are expected to teach a group of students with one focus learner. Regardless of school and district-level inclusion policies and practices, the edTPA requirement that a special education candidate select one focus learner is not aligned with the desired learning outcome of the student teaching placement for the candidate. (Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017, p. 5)

No public school can afford to hire one special education teacher to focus only on one learner. The teacher must equally focus on all learners in a group. To help candidates pass the edTPA, schools are pushed to create a platform for preservice teachers in spite of the reality. Not just in the field of special education, similar problems are also found in the field of English as a secondary language. Chiu (2014) states, "the world within the various edTPA tasks is unrealistic, and the task demands do not take into consideration the real-life demands of teaching in a public school classroom" (p. 29). Preservice teachers are reluctant to be placed in difficult settings with challenging students (Chiu, 2014). Furthermore, schools are required to excuse preservice teachers for missing their practice during student teaching to attend edTPA seminars like writing boot camps. On average, the written reflections are close to 30 single-spaced pages plus detailed lesson plans (Au, 2013; Ratner & Kolman, 2016). One of our clinical teachers commented on edTPA and said, "it is impossible and unrealistic that teachers can write such a lengthy lesson plan (three to four single-spaced pages per lesson) for each 50-minute class every day." It is found that the edTPA has created financial, time, and stress burdens for preservice teachers, and has interfered with their teaching responsibilities (Burns, Henry, & Lindauer, 2015; Greenblatt, 2016; O'Brien & Robb, 2017).

It is concerning when preservice teachers mainly focus their time and energy of student teaching on crafting three to five potentially high-scoring lesson plans, teaching videos, assessments, and commentaries for the edTPA. The other lesson plans, activities, and materials that will not be submitted for the edTPA are done with lower quality due to the exhaustion of their time and energy. This shows that the edTPA only cultivate preservice teachers' short-lived skills. Preservice teachers do not continue or transfer the skills that they use for the edTPA lessons to non-edTPA lessons. With preservice teachers' time and energy shifting to prepare their edTPA portfolios, it will in turns affect students, especially those who are not the target learners of these preservice teachers (Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017).



2.5 A Means to Add Test-Preparation Avails

The negative impact of edTPA does not stop in school practices. It also affect higher education. Teacher educators understand that their preservice teachers must pass edTPA in order to be certified teachers. Thus, they have to change course contents and fieldwork experiences to prepare preservice teachers for edTPA (Othman, Robinson, & Molfenter, 2017). Bartlett, Otis-Wilborn, and Peters's (2017) study shows that "across institutions, teacher educators engaged in curriculum mapping activities that purposefully matched edTPA content and tasks with courses and teaching experiences" (p. 5). O'Brien and Robb (2017) state, "the edTPA is driving virtually all coursework and assessment throughout our program now" (p. 6). Because passing the edTPA is all that matters, the fifteen edTPA rubrics have become the core value of teacher education. Comparing with knowing the purpose and value of education, preservice teachers now care more about how to best capture their target learners on video and how to answer edTPA questions to receive a high score on the rubrics (Chiu, 2014). Because the expertise of university faculty is devalued and teacher education programs become test preparation programs, it will soon affect how future educators view a higher education. Because non-traditional teacher preparation programs are also required to prepare candidates for edTPA, the edTPA policy is likely to encourage the development of companies which provide short- and fast-pathways for teacher preparation and aim at a high passing rate of high-stake exams (O'Brien & Robb, 2017).

2.6 Lack of a Meaningful Mentorship during Student Teaching

Many practices in preparing preservice teachers for the edTPA are contrary to the expectations of the InTASC standards and learning progressions. In this way, how can we expect that teachers will practice these standards when they become teachers? For example, the InTASC standards and learning progressions encourage a mentorship to be the center of creating a collaborative learning culture. However, the edTPA makes it clear that teacher educators are not allowed to provide critical comments on preservice teachers' edTPA materials (on which preservice teachers spend the majority of their time and energy during their student teaching). Teacher educators are not allowed to show their preservice teachers how they will teach differently in the same context or guide them to think critically on their edTPA lessons. With all the restrictions, there is not much meaningful mentorship between university faculty and preservice teachers in the final semester of the program.

The InTASC standards and learning progressions are supposed to encourage preservice teachers to be active learners in the process of pursuing their teaching certification. These standards and progressions provide a vehicle for every stakeholder to work jointly and go beyond any individual becoming the only target to be changed. However, the edTPA discourages the development of a shared sense of responsibility for improving education. In the edTPA, only the voice of scorers employed by Pearson Education Incorporated matters. These scorers hold the highest authority and can deny a candidate's teaching license on the spot. Moreover, preservice teachers need better reading, writing, and technological skills than pedagogical skills to pass the edTPA, (Greenblatt & O'Hara, 2015). Such an assessment promotes preservice teachers to write skillfully (or even lie) to pass edTPA. Not only teacher



educators, clinical teachers, and school administrators but also preservice teachers struggle with how making teaching conform to the edTPA is relevant to the real-classroom practices. While research-valid approaches are encouraged to be used in the classroom, the mandatory policy of requiring teacher educators and preservice teachers to abide by edTPA rubrics is not based on any rigorous empirical studies that show its impact on students' learning.

3. Part Two—An Alternative Way to Use the InTASC Standards

One responsibility of teacher educators at universities is to use meaningful and cost-effective assessments to evaluate preservice teachers' essential knowledge, critical dispositions, and performance during fieldwork and the student teaching semester. Appendix 1 shows an example about how teacher educators can flexibly use the national standards to maximize preservice teachers' learning opportunities while keeping the spirit of the original InTASC standards. Learning from the six issues found in the edTPA, this alternative way of using the InTASC standards aims to:

- > Promote shared responsibility for preservice teachers' performance
- > Advocate the use of national standards at no extra cost to preservice teachers
- > Uphold the integrity of teacher educators' profession

> Broaden the curriculum, rather than narrowing, to prepare preservice teachers for real-world classrooms

> Hold higher education teacher preparation programs accountable for preservice teachers' progression of knowledge, dispositions, and performance

> Encourage a meaningful mentorship during the student teaching semester

This alternative assessment based on the InTASC standards opens a dialogue for preservice teachers, university faculty, clinical teachers, and school administrators to discuss, refine, and decide how to use the standards in the real-world classroom practice. All personnel (*i.e.*, preservice teachers, university faculty, and school personnel) are welcome to share their input and discuss the use of the assessment instrument. In this alternative assessment, the standards serve as the impetus to engage all personnel in debate and dialogues about how to teach professionally and ethically. These standards are not to be used as rubrics to fail preservice teachers or to punish institutions. Instead, they provide information for all personnel to consider, question, and examine the common issues that they are facing in order to share the responsibility for professional growth. Because the assessment results are used to celebrate strengths and identify areas for all personnel's growth and improvement, it encourages educators to view the use of standards positively and to develop a meaningful mentorship during fieldwork and the student teaching semester.

3.1 Suggested Steps about Using the InTASC Standards

Teacher educators can easily modify the InTASC standards and use them as one of the assessments to evaluate preservice teachers' performance during fieldwork or student



teaching. The following suggested steps assist preservice teachers, university faculty, and school personnel in creating an alternative assessment based on the InTASC standards.



Figure 1. Suggested steps about using the InTASC standards

Prior to fieldwork or student teaching, university faculty schedule a meeting with their supervised preservice teachers to discuss the use of this alternative assessment. University faculty should inform preservice teachers that the indicators under each InTASC standard only serve as foundational points. These existing indicators can be (and should be) revised based on the respective cultural context of the school where the preservice teacher is placed. For example, integrative teaching skills may be emphasized in a Science, Technology, Engineering, Arts, and Mathematics (STEAM) school more than in a non-STEAM school.

University faculty will then meet with the teacher candidate's clinical teacher and/or school administrator to discuss the use of this alternative assessment to evaluate the teacher candidate's performance. Changes in the indicators of the InTASC standards should be made as needed.

After meeting with preservice teachers, clinical teachers, and school administrators, university faculty will revise and finalize this alternative assessment. Taking into account contextual factors, one teacher candidate's assessment may be slightly different from the other teacher candidate's assessment. Furthermore, with a little help from university IT staff, teacher educators can make this alternative assessment (see Appendix 1) an editable PDF so that evaluators could type digitally in the final column. It will be even user-friendly to make the checkboxes clickable and code it so that the number of boxes will auto-fill. Overall, this alternative assessment allows both quantitative and qualitative feedback from all personnel to inform instructional support.

How often all personnel should communicate their feedback is decided based on their discussions. Take student teaching as an example, the feedback can be provided in the middle and at the end of the semester or as many times as needed. The evaluation data are to be used to inform ongoing instructional support to preservice teachers.

In addition to this alternative assessment, university faculty should consider multiple assessment data, both formal assessments (*e.g.*, state teacher certification examinations) and informal assessments (*e.g.*, feedback from students, parents, colleagues, and course



instructors of the preservice teacher). Based on preservice teachers' overall performance across different data sources, university faculty can guide them for future professional development.

4. Conclusion

It is easy for university faculty not to do their job and simply ask preservice teachers to pay extra money to be evaluated by the outside profession. It is also attempting for university faculty to find a way to make extra money alongside their regular work hours. A policy like the mandate of the edTPA can cripple the development of a genuine education by encouraging people to work simply "by the book" (*i.e.*, Just follow the edTPA handbook to prepare the teacher!). Education must empower and cultivate individuals to reject and resist an inappropriate policy in all its forms. After all, when a policy benefits interest groups more than the people it intends to serve, it will bring the whole system to halt. If following the national teacher education standards to prepare preservice teachers is university faculty's duty and responsibility, we should ask: Do teacher educators really need a corporation to help them evaluate their teaching? If so, why is it at preservice teachers' expense to "prove" that their universities are using the InTASC standards to prepare them?

References

Au, W. (2013). What is a nice test like you doing in a place like this? The edTPA and corporate education 'reform'. *Rethinking Schools*, 24(4), 22-27.

Bartlett, M., Otis-Wilborn, A., & Peters, L. (2017). Bending or breaking: Appropriating edTPA policy in special education teacher education. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 00(0), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406417720188

Burns, B. A., Henry, J. J., & Lindauer, J. R. (2015). Working together to foster candidate success on the edTPA. *Journal of Inquiry & Action in Education*, 6(2), 18-37.

Chiu, S. (2014). edTPA: An assessment that reduces the quality of teacher education. *Teachers College, Columbia University, Working Papers in TESOL & Applied Linguistics,* 14(1), 28-30.

Council of Chief State School Officers. (2013). Interstate teacher assessment and support consortium (InTASC) model core teaching standards and learning progressions for teachers 1.0: A resource for ongoing teacher development. Washington, DC: Author.

edTPA. (2015). *edTPA myths and facts*. Pearson Education Incorporated. Retrieved from https://www.uwgb.edu/UWGBCMS/media/Academics/edtpa-myth-busters.pdf

edTPA. (2017a). *Your authorization key*. Pearson Education Incorporated. Retrieved from https://www.edtpa.com/PageView.aspx?f=GEN_AuthorizationKey.html

edTPA. (2017b). *edTPA retake decision-making and support guidelines for programs and faculty*. Pearson Education Incorporated. Retrieved from http://www.edtpa.com/content/docs/edtparetakeguidelines.pdf



Greenblatt, D. (2016). The consequences of edTPA. Educational Leadership, 73(8), 51-54.

Greenblatt, D., & O'Hara, K. E. (2015). Buyer beware: Lessons learned from edTPA implementation in New York State. *Thought & Action, 42*, 57-67.

O'Brien, L., & Robb, S. N. (2017). Rage against the machine: Teacher educators try to throw a wrench in the (edTPA) works. *Critical Education*, 8(7), 1-24.

Othman, L., Robinson, R., & Molfenter, N. F. (2017). Emerging issues with consequential use of the edTPA: Overall and through a special education Lens. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, 40(4), 269-277. https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406417718251

Ratner, A. R., & Kolman, J. S. (2016). Breakers, benders, and obeyers: Inquiring into teacher educators' mediation of edTPA. *Education Policy Analysis Archives*, 24(35), 1-29. https://doi.org/10.14507/Epaa.24.2112

SCALE. (2014). edTPA (2014) crosswalk: Interstate teacher support and assessment consortium (InTASC) model core teaching standards (2013). Stanford Center for Assessment, Learning, and Equity (SCALE).



Appendix

Appendix 1. An Example of Using the InTASC Standards Alternatively

Standard 1: Learner Development

The teacher uses understanding of how learners grow and develop (in cognitive, linguistic, social, emotional, and physical areas) to design and implement developmentally appropriate and challenging learning experiences.

| 1: Essential Respects students for who they are Drawing on her/his understanding of child and | 2: Professional Supports and challenges students to uncover potential And The teacher builds mental | 3: Exemplary Grows alongside students And | Evaluated by: Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel Progress monitoring: |
|--|--|--|---|
| adolescent development, the teacher observes learners, noting changes and patterns in learners across areas of development, and seeks resources, including from families and colleagues, to adjust teaching. The teacher actively seeks out information about learner interests in order to engage learners in developmentally appropriate learning experiences. The teacher engages learners in a variety of learning experiences to capitalize on strengths and build areas of development that are weaker. | models of variations in typical development based on experience with each learner and uses those models to adjust instruction. The teacher incorporates the perspectives of the child and their family/community to integrate new resources and strategies for learner development. The teacher seeks and uses in-school and out-of-school resources to support and accelerate each student's learning and development. The teacher recognizes individual learners' development and calibrates learning experiences, using an appropriate balance of support and challenge, to move learners toward their next levels of development. | understanding of the interconnections among different areas of development to find entry point(s) to support learner development. The teacher communicates regularly with families to mutually understand learner development and engages the learner in understanding, analyzing, and communicating their own growth and needs. The teacher regularly analyzes and reflects on learners' abilities in order to individualize instruction and take responsibility for the optimal development of each and every learner. | <pre># of boxes checked/total # of boxes section Descriptive feedback about needed support (e.g., training, task clarification, resources/materials, performance consequence, etc.) Supportive documents:</pre> |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Page 18).



Standards 2: Learning Differences

The teacher uses understanding of learners' commonalities and individual differences within and across diverse communities to design inclusive learning experiences that enable each learner to meet high standards.

| | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|---|--|---|--------------------|
| 1: Essential | Continues to deepen | Challenges students, provides | Preserve teacher |
| Abides by legal requirements of | understanding and adapt | support, and cultivates respect for | University faculty |
| accommodation | instruction | diverse culture | School personnel |
| | | | * |
| Drawing upon her/his | And | And | Progress |
| understanding of second | The teacher continuously | Across a range of | monitoring: |
| language acquisition, exceptional needs, and learners' background | expands and deepens her/his | differences, the teacher anticipates | |
| knowledge, the teacher observes | understanding of differences and | and enhances access to challenging | # of boxes |
| individual and groups of learners | their impact on learning, using | learning experiences by providing | checked/total # of |
| to identify specific needs and | interactions with learners and | appropriate guidance, instruction, | boxes section |
| responds with individualized | data on learner performance to | and resources. | |
| support. | adjust goals and instruction. | The teacher uses a variety of | |
| | The teacher responds to | approaches to make concepts clear | |
| Recognizing how diverse learners process information and | student learning cues by pacing | and provides extensions that | |
| develop skills, the teacher | and adjusting instruction, | engage learners in deepening | |
| incorporates multiple | enhancing access to challenging | academic content in real-world | D |
| approaches to learning. | learning experiences, and making | situations. | Descriptive |
| Using information on | timely provisions for individual learners with particular learning | The teacher challenges each | feedback about |
| learners' language proficiency | differences or needs. | learner by adapting, scaffolding, | needed support |
| levels, the teacher incorporates | | enriching, and accelerating | |
| tools of language development | The teacher engages | instruction to facilitate higher order | |
| into planning and instruction to | learners in assessing their | thinking such as inquiry and | |
| make content and academic | strengths and learning | creative expression. | |
| language accessible to | preferences and identifies ways to | The teacher guides learners | |
| linguistically diverse learners. | promote each student's growth. | in individualized goal-setting and | Supportive |
| The teacher includes | The teacher refine her/his | progress monitoring. | documents: |
| multiple perspectives in the | understanding of language | The teacher guides learners | uocuments. |
| presentation and discussion of | proficiency levels, the teacher | in taking responsibility for their | |
| content that include each | develops a range of supports to assist learners in developing | own learning. | |
| learner's personal, family, | content understanding and | The teacher works with | |
| community, and cultural | language proficiency. | language learners to build a | |
| experiences and norms. | | common understanding of their | |
| The teacher applies | The teacher strategically use learners' primary language | experiences and needs, and | |
| interventions, modifications, and | to support transfer of language | collaborate on strategies to support | |
| accommodations based on IEPs, | skills and content knowledge. | language learning. | |
| IFSPs, 504s and other legal | The teacher facilitates | The teacher integrates | |
| requirements, seeking advice | | diverse languages, dialects, and | |
| and support from specialized | learners' understanding of diverse | cultures to promote the value of | |
| support staff and families. | communities within and outside of their own communities. | multilingual and multicultural | |
| The teacher follows a | | perspectives. | |
| process, designated by a school | The teacher adapts instruction and uses modified | The teacher promotes an | |
| or district, for identifying and | materials, resources, tools, and | understanding of diversity to help | |
| addressing learner needs and | technology to address learners' | develop learners' cultural | |
| documents learner progress. | diverse needs. | competence. | |
| | | The teacher collaborates | |
| | | with learners, families, and | |
| | | colleagues to enable learners to | |
| | | meet and exceed high standards. | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Page 19).



Standard 3: Learning Environment

The teacher collaborates with others to build a positive learning climate marked by respect, rigor, and responsibility and manages that learning environment to engage learners actively.

| 1: Essential | | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| | 2: Professional | Collaborates with others to | Preserve teacher |
| Conveys expectations for a respectful learning environment | Models respectful interactions | promote respectful interactions | University faculty |
| respectiur learning environment | | promote respectful interactions | School personnel |
| The teacher sets | And | And | Progress |
| appropriate expectations for the | The teacher collaborates | The teacher collaborates | monitoring: |
| learning environment following | with learners in setting | with learners, families, and | _ |
| school/district policies and | expectations for a learning | colleagues to build a safe , | # of boxes |
| communicates expectations | climate that include openness, | positive learning climate. S/he | checked/total # of |
| clearly to families. | mutual respect, support, and | engages learners, families, and | boxes section |
| The teacher articulates | inquiry and in sharing those | colleagues in expressing mutual | boxes section |
| explicit expectations for a safe, | expectations with learners' | expectations for openness, | |
| positive learning environment, | families. | respect, support, and inquiry. | |
| including norms for behavior that | The teacher promotes | The teacher facilitates the | |
| include respect for others, as well | positive peer relationships in | development of school-wide | |
| as responsibility for preparation | support of the learning climate. | norms and values related to | |
| and completion of work. S/he | \Box The teacher guides the | respectful interaction, rigorous | Descriptive |
| develops purposeful routines that | development of classroom | discussions, and individual and | feedback about |
| support these norms. | norms and values related to | group responsibility for quality | needed support |
| The teacher communicates | respectful interaction, full | work. | |
| verbally and nonverbally in | engagement in discussions, and | The teacher promotes | |
| ways that demonstrate respect for | individual responsibility for | celebration of learning by | |
| each learner. | quality work. | engaging learners in showcasing | |
| The teacher is a responsive | The teacher models | their learning and interacting with | |
| and supportive listener, seeing | respectful interaction, verbally | community members about the | |
| the cultural backgrounds and | and nonverbally, and is responsive | quality of their work. | Supportive |
| differing perspectives learners | to the cultural backgrounds and | The teacher supports | documents: |
| bring as assets and resources in | differing perspectives learners | learners' independence and | |
| the learning environment. | bring to the learning environment. | self-direction in identifying their | |
| The teacher manages the | The teacher actively | learning needs, accessing | |
| learning environment, | involves learners in managing the | resources, and using time to | |
| organizing, allocating and | learning environment and making | accelerate their learning. | |
| coordinating resources (e.g., time, | full use of instructional time. S/he | The teacher supports | |
| space, materials) to promote | employs strategies to build learner | learners' growing ability to | |
| learner engagement and minimize | self-direction and ownership of | participate in decision-making, | |
| loss of instructional time. | learning. | problem solving, exploration, and | |
| The teacher varies learning | The teacher provides | invention, both suggesting | |
| activities to involve whole group, | options and resources to engage | resources and guiding their | |
| small group and individual work, | learners with subject matter and to | independent identification of | |
| to develop a range of learner | develop their skills in both | resources. | |
| skills. | collaborative and self-directed | The teacher collaborates | |
| The teacher provides | learning. | with learners in identifying | |
| opportunities for learners to use | The teacher expands the | possibilities for learning locally | |
| interactive technologies | options for responsible use of | and globally through responsible | |
| responsibly. | interactive technologies to extend | use of interactive technologies. | |
| | learning. | | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 22-23).



Standard 4: Content Knowledge

The teacher understands the central concepts, tools of inquiry, and structures of the discipline(s). She/he creates learning experiences that make the discipline accessible and meaningful for learners to assure mastery of the content.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Demonstrates accuracy and uses a | Expands and deepens knowledge | Expands teaching repertoire | Preserve teacher |
| variety of instructional methods | and helps students connect to | through collaboration, and | University faculty |
| variety of instructional methods | real-life application | promotes critical thinking | School personnel |
| The teacher accurately and | And | And | Progress |
| effectively communicates concepts, | The teacher seeks ways to | The teacher | monitoring: |
| processes and knowledge in the | expand or deepen her/his content | collaborates with others to | |
| discipline, and her/his use of | knowledge and ways of | expand her/his content | # of boxes |
| vocabulary and academic language | representing it for learners, | knowledge in order to keep up | checked/total # of |
| is clear, correct and appropriate | presenting diverse perspectives to | with changes in the discipline. | boxes section |
| for learners. | engage learners in understanding, | The teacher evaluates | |
| The teacher draws upon | questioning, and analyzing ideas. | and modifies instructional | |
| her/his initial knowledge of | The teacher discovers | resources and curriculum | |
| common misconceptions in the | additional learner misconceptions | materials for their | |
| content area, uses available | and uses the processes, vocabulary, | comprehensiveness, accuracy | |
| resources to address them, and | and strategic tools of the discipline | for representing particular | |
| consults with colleagues on how to | to build accurate and deep | concepts in the discipline and | Descriptive |
| anticipate learners' needs for | understanding. S/he seeks out or | appropriateness for her/his | feedback about |
| explanations and experiences that | develops resources to fill gaps in | learners. | needed support |
| create accurate understanding in | learner understanding. | The teacher collaborates | |
| the content area. | The teacher provides | with colleagues to expand | |
| The teacher uses multiple | multiple representations and | her/his repertoire of | |
| representations and explanations | explanations of key ideas, with | representations and | |
| that capture key ideas in the | connections to varied learner | explanations of content, | |
| discipline, guide learners through | background knowledge and | including perspectives | |
| learning progressions, and promote | experiences. S/he evaluates and | appropriate to learners from | Supportive |
| each learner's achievement of | modifies instructional resources | different cultures, linguistic | documents: |
| standards. | and curriculum materials to be | backgrounds, and with varied | |
| The teacher engages learners | more accessible and meaningful for | interests, prior knowledge, and | |
| in applying methods of inquiry | her/his learners. | skill levels. | |
| used in the discipline. | The teacher guides learners | The teacher facilitates | |
| The teacher links new | in critiquing processes and | learners' independent use of | |
| concepts to familiar concepts and | conclusions using standards of | methods of inquiry and | |
| helps learners see them in | evidence appropriate to the | standards of evidence in the | |
| connection to their prior | discipline. | discipline. | |
| experiences. | The teacher stimulates | The teacher facilitates | |
| The teacher models and | learner reflection on the | learner autonomy in | |
| provides opportunities for | connection between prior content | examining new concepts in | |
| learners to understand academic | knowledge and new ideas and | relationship to their growing | |
| language and to use vocabulary to | concepts. | base of content knowledge. | |
| engage in and express content | The teacher uses a variety of | The teacher engages | |
| learning. | methods to scaffold learner use of | learners in identifying diverse | |
| The teacher consults with | academic language allowing | perspectives in | |
| other educators to make academic | learners to engage in and express | discipline-specific inquiry to | |
| language accessible to learners | complex thinking. | expand competence in the use | |
| with different backgrounds. | 1 · · · · · · · | of academic language. | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 25-26).



Standard 5: Application of Content

The teacher connects concepts, perspectives from varied disciplines, and interdisciplinary themes to real world problems and issues. She/he engages learners in critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and communication to address authentic local and global issues.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--|--|---|--------------------|
| Cultivates integrative, critical | Collaborates with others across | Applies knowledge to plan and | Preserve teacher |
| thinking, and effective | disciplines to promote inquiry and | carry out research to resolve | University faculty |
| communication | communication. | real-life problems | School personnel |
| The teacher helps learners | And | And | Progress |
| see relationships across | The teacher collaborates | The teacher engages | monitoring: |
| disciplines by making connections | with colleagues in another | learners in identifying real world | _ |
| between curriculum materials in a | discipline to create learning | issues that they can explore | # of boxes |
| content area and related | experiences that engage learners in | through projects, using their | checked/total # of |
| perspectives from another content | working with interdisciplinary | acquired and expanding | boxes section |
| area or areas. | themes. S/he guides learners to | knowledge and skill in the content | boxes section |
| The teacher engages | apply knowledge from more than | areas. | |
| learners in applying content | one discipline to address real | The teacher facilitates | |
| knowledge and skills in authentic | world issues. | learners' connections with local | |
| contexts. | The teacher uses problems | and global resources to aid the | |
| The teacher engages | or questions to guide learner | exploration of their chosen focus. | |
| learners in learning and applying | practice in applying the critical | - | Decemintive |
| the critical thinking skills used in | thinking skills and other tools in | The teacher fosters | Descriptive |
| the content area(s). S/he | the content area(s). S/he reinforces | learners' abilities to | feedback about |
| introduces them to the kinds of | learners' awareness of how they | independently identify issues or | needed support |
| problems or issues addressed by | can use these skills. | problems of interest in or across | |
| the content area(s) as well as the | | content area(s) and engages them | |
| local/global contexts for those | The teacher guides learners | in using critical thinking skills to | |
| issues. | in understanding and applying | explore possible solutions. | |
| | literacy and communication skills | The teacher provides a | |
| The teacher engages | in the content area(s) and helps | variety of opportunities for | a |
| learners in developing literacy | learners reflect on how these skills | learners to apply literacy and | Supportive |
| and communication skills that | support clear communication. | communication skills in gathering | documents: |
| support learning in the content | The teacher supports | and analyzing information and in | |
| area(s). S/he helps them recognize | learners in tailoring | preparing and delivering oral | |
| the disciplinary expectations for | communications for different | and/or written presentations of | |
| reading different types of text and | audiences and purposes, consistent | their work, marked by clarity, | |
| for writing in specific contexts. | with appropriate disciplinary | rigor, and suitability for audience. | |
| The teacher provides | conventions and standards. | The teacher structures | |
| opportunities for learners to show | The teacher guides learners | options that engage learners in | |
| their understanding in unique | in developing possible solutions | focusing on a real world problem | |
| ways | to real world problems through | or issue, carrying out the design, | |
| The teacher guides learners | invention or combinations of | and communicating their work. | |
| in gathering, organizing and | ideas. | The teacher engages | |
| evaluating information and ideas | The teacher fosters learners' | learners in independent work to | |
| from digital and other resources | abilities to question and challenge | plan and carry out a research | |
| and from different perspectives. | assumptions embedded in source | project, requiring that they make | |
| The teacher structures | material. | explicit their evaluation of | |
| interactions among learners and | The teacher engages | sources and their reasoning. | |
| with local and global peers to | learners in connecting with local | The teacher fosters learner | |
| support and deepen learning. | and global people and resources. | independence in identifying and | |
| | and Broom people and resources. | accessing local and global | |
| | 1 | accessing room and groom | 1 |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 28-29).



Standard 6: Assessment

The teacher uses designs or adapts multiple methods of assessment to document, monitor, and support learner progress appropriate for learning objectives. She/he uses assessment to engage learners in their own growth and implements assessments in an ethical manner and minimizes bias to enable learners to display the full extent of their learning.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| Follows ethical codes and uses | Collaborates with others, uses | Collects additional data, teaches | Preserve teacher |
| multiple assessments for | effective feedback, and cultivates | metacognitive skills, and promotes | University faculty |
| monitoring progress | prerequisite skills | self-regulation. | School personnel |
| | And | And | Progress |
| The teacher uses, designs or adapts a variety of classroom | | | monitoring: |
| formative assessments, matching | The teacher provides | The teacher uses formative | monitoring. |
| the method and objective. | learners with multiple ways to | classroom assessments to maximize | |
| \square The teacher uses data from | demonstrate performance. | the development of knowledge, | # of boxes |
| multiple types of assessments to | The teacher uses data to | critical thinking, and problem solving skills. | checked/total # of |
| draw conclusions about learner | guide the design of differentiated | | boxes section |
| progress toward learning | individual learning experiences | The teacher gather | |
| objectives and uses this analysis | and assessments. | additional data needed to better | |
| to guide instruction to meet | The teacher collaborates | understand what is affecting learner | |
| learner needs. S/he keeps records | with colleagues to analyze | progress and to advocate for | |
| to support her/his analysis. | performance on formative and | necessary change. S/he works with | |
| The teacher participates in | summative assessments and | colleagues to analyze and expand supports. | Descriptive |
| collegial conversations to | engages in strategies for | | Descriptive feedback about |
| improve instructional practice | improving instruction and | The teacher collaborates with | needed support |
| based on formative and | support. | others to use summative assessment | needed support |
| summative assessment data. | The teacher engages | information to evaluate the effect of the curriculum and instruction on the | |
| | learners in generating criteria | | |
| The teacher engages each learner in examining samples of | for quality work on a particular | learner. | |
| quality work. S/he provides | assignment. S/he identifies key | The teacher engages learners | |
| learners with criteria for the | areas in the criteria on which to | in giving peers feedback on | |
| assignment to guide performance. | give individual feedback that will | performance using criteria | Supportive |
| Using these criteria, s/he points | reinforce each learner's strengths | generated collaboratively. S/he | documents: |
| outs strengths in performance and | and identifies next steps for | builds learners' metacognitive skills | documents. |
| offers concrete suggestions for | growth. S/he designs experiences that help learners apply the | and proposes concrete strategies for improvement. | |
| how to improve their work. | feedback and strengthen their | * | |
| _ | performance. | The teacher engages learners | |
| The teacher makes digital and/or other records to monitor | · | in analyzing their own records and | |
| learners' progress. | The teacher makes records | work with regard to their progress | |
| The teacher matches | of performance available to | toward learning objectives. | |
| learning goals with classroom | learners so that they can monitor their progress and identify needed | The teacher uses multiple | |
| assessment methods and gives | support. | assessment methods/modes to | |
| learners multiple practice | 11 | scaffold individual learner | |
| assessments. | The teacher prepares | development toward the learning | |
| | learners for the content and | objectives and to challenge learners | |
| The teacher engages in | cognitive demands of assessment formats. | to demonstrate understanding in a | |
| ethical practice of formal and informal assessment. | | variety of ways. | |
| | The teacher modifies | The teacher works with | |
| The teacher implements | classroom assessments and | others to minimize bias in | |
| required accommodations in | testing conditions to enable all | assessment practices to ensure that | |
| assessments. | learners. | all learners have a variety of | |
| The teacher differentiates | The teacher identifies and | opportunities to demonstrate their | |
| assessments | advocates for learners needing | learning. | |
| | modifications or adaptations. | | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 31-33).



Standard 7: Planning for Instruction

The teacher selects, creates, and sequences learning experiences and performance tasks that support learners in reaching rigorous curriculum goals based on content standards and cross-disciplinary skills. She/he plans instruction based on information from formative and summative assessments as well as other sources and systematically adjusts plans to meet each student's learning needs. She/he plans instruction by collaborating with colleagues, specialists, community resources, families and learners to meet individual learning needs.

| | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1: Essential | Teach integrative and life skills | Cultivate self-advocacy, | Preserve teacher |
| Develop instruction based on | and promote collaboration with | self-monitoring, and | University faculty |
| standards, needs, and assessment | others | a wide repertoire of skills | School personnel |
| | | - | · · |
| The teacher uses the provided curriculum materials and | And | And | Progress monitoring: |
| content standards to identify | The teacher refines | The teacher collaborates with | monitoring: |
| measurable learning objectives | learning objectives based on | learners in identifying | |
| based on target knowledge and | student learning progressions and | personalized learning objectives | # of boxes |
| skills. | development. | to reach long-term goals. | checked/total # of |
| | The teacher plans a variety | The teacher works with | boxes section |
| The teacher plans and | of resources and learning | learners to identify pathways to | |
| sequences common learning | experiences that build | achieve goals. | |
| experiences linked to the learning | cross-disciplinary skills and are | The teacher helps learners in | |
| objectives, and makes content | matched to the experience, needs | taking responsibility for | |
| relevant to learners. | and interests of individuals. | identifying learning challenges | |
| The teacher identifies | The teacher uses | and using resources to support | |
| learners who need additional | technology to engage learners. | them. | Descriptive |
| support and/or acceleration and | The teacher structures time | The teacher incorporates | feedback about |
| designs experiences to support. | to build prerequisite skills, support | technology in innovative ways in | needed support |
| The teacher integrates | steady progress, and/or extend | planning. | |
| technology resources into | learning. | The teacher engages learners | |
| instructional plans. | The teacher anticipates | in assessing their own learning and | |
| The teacher plans | specific needs or misconceptions | uses this source of data to adjust | |
| instruction using formative and | and addresses them by | and individualize plans. | |
| summative data, developmental | differentiated instruction. | The teacher uses summative | a |
| levels, prior learning, and | The teacher plans learning | assessment data to plan for areas | Supportive |
| interests. | experiences that allow for learner | where learners will need supports | documents: |
| The teacher uses data to | choice and varied pathways to the | or acceleration. | |
| identify adjustments. | goals. | The teacher collaboratively | |
| The teacher identifies and | The teacher aggregates and | evaluates instruction to inform | |
| groups learners based on strengths | disaggregates assessment data, | future planning. | |
| and/or needs. | identifies patterns, and uses data | The teacher uses a wide | |
| The teacher uses learner | for planning. | repertoire of supports in | |
| performance data and her/his | The teacher uses assessment | planning to address individualized | |
| knowledge of learners to support | data to adjust instruction , modify | learner needs and interests. | |
| or advance learning. S/he seeks | planned scaffolds, and/or to | The teacher engages learners | |
| assistance from colleagues for | provide additional supports. | as partners in planning, | |
| resources. | The teacher uses data and | identifying the learning pathways | |
| The teacher uses data on | knowledge of learners to identify | to pursue challenging goals. | |
| learner performance over time to | learning needs. S/he collaborates | The teacher collaborates | |
| inform planning. | with colleagues to plan | with colleagues, specialists, and | |
| The teacher uses informal | interventions and expand | community members to address | |
| interactions with families to | strategies. The teacher works with | each student's learning needs. S/he | |
| adjust plans and to incorporate | families to meet the needs of | build a web of support to meet | |
| home-based resources. | learners. | learners' needs. | |
| | | Tearliers needs. | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 35-37).



Standard 8: Instructional Strategies

The teacher understands and uses a variety of instructional strategies and makes learning accessible to all learners. She/he encourages learners to develop deep understanding of content areas, makes connections across content, and applies content knowledge in meaningful ways.

| 1: Essential Grounds learning goals in standards and judges source trustworthiness | 2: Professional Plays different roles in teaching, offers students choices, and models concepts | 3: Exemplary Advocates for learning, promotes higher-order thinking, and real-life skills | Evaluated by: Preserve teacher University faculty School personnel |
|--|---|---|---|
| | offers students choices, and models concepts And The teacher varies her/his role in the instructional process, acting as instructor, facilitator, coach, and learner in response to the content. The teacher offers learners choices about the topics and formats for major projects. S/he provides options for extensions and independent projects to build their critical and creative thinking skills. The teacher engages learners in identifying their strengths and specific needs for support and uses this for adaption. The teacher scaffolds student learning of academic language in content areas. The teacher supports learners' use of their primary language to facilitate the transfer of language skills and content knowledge. The teacher engages learners in using learning skills and technology tools to access, interpret and apply knowledge that promotes understanding. The teacher develops learners' abilities to pose questions that guide exploration of concepts and application. The teacher models higher-order questioning skills related to content areas and engages them in activities. The teacher engages learners in using group discussion to learn from each other. | higher-order thinking, and real-life skills And The teacher serves as an advocate for learning by consciously selecting instructional roles to best meet the needs of learners. The teacher engages learners in the design and implementation of higher order learning experiences that are aligned with learning objectives. The teacher scaffolds learners' ability to identify their own strengths and needs as learners and to take responsibility for setting individual learning goals, identifying and using strategies to achieve the goals, and seeking resources to support ongoing growth. The teacher engages learners in recognizing how accommodations for learning modes, language proficiency, and special needs help them to be successful. The teacher engages learners in collaborative work to generate, synthesize, and communicate information useful to a specific audience. The teacher collaborates with learners to create learning opportunities in which learners generate questions and design approaches for addressing them. The teacher engages learners in connecting application of concepts from more than one content area to real world problems. | University faculty School personnel Progress monitoring: # of boxes checked/total # of boxes section Descriptive feedback about needed support Supportive documents: |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 39-40).



Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice

The teacher engages in continuous professional learning to meet the needs of each learner more effectively. She/he uses evidence to evaluate continually the effects of her/his decisions on others and adapts professional practices to better meet learners' needs. Moreover, She/he practices the profession in an ethical manner.

| 1: Essential | 2: Professional | 3: Exemplary | Evaluated by: |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Follows laws and ethics, conducts | Takes responsibility for | Collaborates with others and assist | Preserve teacher |
| teaching reflection, and continues | self-assessment, uses others' | others for the betterment of | University faculty |
| to learn | feedback, and helps others | professional practices | School personnel |
| The teacher engages in | And | And | Progress |
| professional learning | The teacher takes | The teacher collaborates with | monitoring: |
| opportunities to reflect on, | responsibility for self-evaluation | colleagues to reflect upon, | |
| identify, and address improvement | of practice and professional | analyze, and improve practice to | # of boxes |
| needs and to enable him/her to | learning. | address learner, school, and | # of boxes checked/total # of |
| provide learners with engaging | The teacher engages in | professional needs. | boxes section |
| curriculum/experiences. | professional learning experiences | The teacher engages and | boxes section |
| The teacher completes | that broaden her/his | leads colleagues within the | |
| professional learning processes and | understanding of diverse | school/ district/ community in | |
| activities required by the state. | learners. | designing and implementing | |
| The teacher actively seeks | The teacher reflects on and | professional learning experiences | |
| professional growth. | analyzes a wide range of evidence | to improve practice. | |
| The teacher observes and | to evaluate the impact of | The teacher leads other | Descriptive |
| reflects upon learners' responses to | instruction and set goals for | educators in using data to help | feedback about |
| instruction to identify areas and set | improvement. | evaluate the effects of their actions | needed support |
| goals for improved practice. | The teacher collaborates | and set goals for improvement. | needed support |
| The teacher seeks and | with colleagues and others to | The teacher supports and | |
| reflects upon feedback from | give, receive and analyze | assists others to extend and refine | |
| colleagues. | feedback on the effects of their | their instructional practices and | |
| The teacher gathers, | actions. | professional behaviors. | |
| synthesizes and analyzes a variety | The teacher collaborates | The teacher works with | |
| of data to adapt instructional | with others to gather, synthesize | colleagues to deepen the | Supportive |
| practices to meet learners' needs. | and analyze data to adapt | awareness of the moral and | documents: |
| The teacher follows ethical | planning, practices and other | ethical demands of practices. | |
| codes of conduct and professional | professional behavior to better | The teacher collaboratively | |
| standards. | meet individual learner needs. | evaluates how well laws and | |
| The teacher complies with | The teacher supports | policies serve learners. | |
| laws, policies, and rules in their | colleagues in exploring and | The teacher advocates for the | |
| practices, including learners' rights, | making ethical decisions and | safe, legal and ethical use of | |
| accesses to information and use of | adhering to professional | information and technology. | |
| technology. | standards. | The teacher assists others in | |
| The teacher recognizes how | The teacher supports | exploring how personal identity | |
| her/his identity affects perceptions | others in following laws and | affect perceptions and assists them | |
| and biases and reflects on the | policies related to learners' rights | in reflecting upon their biases. | |
| fairness and equity of her/his | and teachers' responsibilities. | The teacher shares | |
| decisions. | The teacher anticipates | resources and strategies about | |
| The teacher deepens his/ her | information and technology might | cultural, ethnic, gender, and | |
| understanding of the cultural, | be used in unethical or illegal | learning. | |
| ethnic, gender and learning | ways and takes steps to prevent | The teacher advocates for | |
| differences. | them. | changes in policy and practice | |
| The teacher reflects on the | The teacher uses a | that better address the needs of | |
| needs of individual learners and | deepening understanding of | learners. | |
| how well they are being | cultural, ethnic, gender and | | |
| addressed. | learning differences to reflect on | | |
| | the needs of learners. | | |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 42-44).



Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration

The teacher collaborates with learners, families, colleagues, other school professionals, and community members to ensure learner growth. She/he seeks appropriate leadership roles and opportunities to take responsibility for student learning and to advance the profession.

| | s feedback as well as Adopts innovativ | - University faculty |
|--|--|--|
| relationships conducts resear | auvocates for oth | School personnel |
| □ The teacher participates on the □ instructional team(s) and □ uses advice and support □ from colleagues to meet the □ needs of all learners. □ □ The teacher participates in school-wide efforts to implement a shared vision and contributes to a supportive culture. □ The teacher elicits information about learners and their experiences from families and communities and growth. □ The teacher uses technology and other forms □ of communication to develop □ collaborative relationships □ with learners, families, □ colleagues and the local □ community. □ □ The teacher leads in her/his own classroom, □ assuming responsibility for □ and directing student □ learning toward high □ expectations. □ □ The teacher iointry meet div | And are collaborates with robe data and seek ack on practices. are engages in cision making to n goals and evaluate the goals. Image: The teacher practices that me to the instructional supports colleague improvement of t vision, mission an alignment with le learner performance Image: The teacher roolleagues in devaluate the goals. Image: The teacher continuous evaluate improvement of t vision, mission an alignment with le learner performance Image: The teacher roolleagues in devaluate the connects families y resources that the connects families y resources that the achers and global peers around gage them in deep Image: The teacher collaboratively a communities. Image: The teacher roollaboratively a community of learners. Image: The teacher rinstructional strat colleagues to con roles. Image: The teacher roollaborates with intly conduct action mare findings. Image: The teacher roollaborates with intly conduct action mare findings. | School personnelProgress monitoring:team(s) and ss.team(s) and ss.advocates for tion and e school-wide d goals to ensure irner needs.aupports loping and collaboration ties andadvocates in the unity to meet the and their families. vorks erross the learning neets, families, rrators, and others ement of studentmodels effective gies for orofessional and serves in toles.models effective gies for vorksnotivates ider leadershipvorks collaboratively to and use it as a |

Note. Modified from the InTASC Model Core Teaching Standards and Learning Progressions for Teachers 1.0 (2013, Pages 46-47).



Copyright Disclaimer

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).